Posthumous — a time when something is related or similar to the ancient Roman city Postumus., in fewer words, was once alive and well and now all that’s "gone out the window." (Sugar Ray, 2001, "When It’s Over, Sugar Ray").

***Good-Natured Gossip: about whom is the professor about whom I (Jacob Parr) happened to learn news — from a different professor who was retrieving his bike from the about whom’s office this past semester and said to me — as I was looking for either the about whom or Balashov*** to discuss my incredible, rigorous, absolute revolutionary formal analytic refutation of all of Kant — "He’s [the about whom] on leave this semester, this isn’t my office, I’m just grabbing my bicycle." (Dr. Piers Stephens, 2023, [whom I only recognized from his faculty photo and being easily recognizable]); which made sense since the about whom said that his [the about whom’s] wife was (as told to Jacob Parr in 2017) a professor "living and teaching in California" and possibly still is doing.

**** I can prove false Balashov's entire specialty, as Einstein was wrong and Balashov specializes in Einsteinian Spacetime and has been writing specifically about false distinctions about erroneous nominally—time-related-pseudoconcepts that are barely distinguishable nominally, namely "perdurance", which I take as a complete farce and like some types of pseudostatements, a bundle... that said, pace Balashov's Linguistics and most other Metaphysics, specifically mereology — which I recently flattened with a new insight related to that which I call "Enjoinment" and that which I call "Laidency" [or "Latent" or "Laident" [alternate spellings]] — which are distinct from "emergent properties":

Emergence — when a property magically appears out of nowhere

Laidency — when a property is already present in at least one of the parts and appears when either of the following occurs: when more parts are collected or enjoined, when a property-providing part is used in a property-expressing way, or when two or more parts are enjoined in such a way as to express at least one property otherwise left unexpressed

Example: a brick house cannot be burned down because each individual brick does not burn or melt, but a brick house can still fall over if either the kind of enjoinment does not entail expression of the sturdiness and hardness as seen in the individual bricks or does not incorporate at least one additional part that, when enjoined with brick, entails an expression of sturdiness

A single stick breaks easily but a bundle of sticks is difficult to break only because the original stick is by degree: relatively sturdy to its parts — plant cells — but not sturdy to those of larger orders of magnitudes

A brick is a solid block and can not easily be lived in — by a human — but is nevertheless porous, and as such can be enjoined with at least more of itself to express "empty space" at various orders of magnitude

Thus consciousness is not an emergent property nor supervenient, as all properties are laident.

Positively, with only an undergraduate education, by Jacob R. Parr
June 18th 2023
Therefore, \( (\text{Genera} \neq \text{Species} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Species} \iff \text{Genera}) \quad \iff \quad \text{There are} \quad \text{Kinds and Degrees}^*\)

**Lemma 1, 2 above. (see Bergson, 1988, Memory and Matter 1988)**

"N.B.: "S" used above means "the literal variable ranging over all possible sets of all other sets and would thus be a metavariable." Literally" and here is in reference with the course text used for UGA's undergraduate course on symbolic logic (for humanities) \textit{The Logic Book} I aced the 5th edition as taught by Dr. Rene Jagnow***

**The subject of the book I just realized I need to write and will probably ( Hume, 1748, "Section vi. Of Probability", \textit{AN ENQUIRY CONCERNING HUMAN UNDERSTANDING} ) be the greatest two-name book simply because it will be the most correct book to have ever been and possibly will ever possibly be written by ( at least ) Jacob Parr, June 2023: see below for excerpt

\[ \text{Therefore, } \text{Genera} \neq \text{Species} \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Species} \iff \text{Genera} \]

\[ = \quad \text{There are} \quad \text{Kinds and Degrees}^* \]

\[ \text{Lemma 1, 2 above. (see Bergson, 1988, Memory and Matter 1988) } \]

**N.B.: "S" used above means "the literal variable ranging over all possible sets of all other sets and would thus be a metavariable." Literally" and here is in reference with the course text used for UGA's undergraduate course on symbolic logic (for humanities) \textit{The Logic Book} I aced the 5th edition as taught by Dr. Rene Jagnow***
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\[ = \quad \text{There are} \quad \text{Kinds and Degrees}^* \]
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