

## Introduction to the special issue on Artificial Intelligence for Justice (AI4J)

Floris Bex<sup>1</sup> · Henry Prakken<sup>1,2</sup> · Tom van Engers<sup>3</sup> · Bart Verheij<sup>4</sup>

Published online: 9 March 2017  
© Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2017

Artificial intelligence is currently a centre of attention of legal professionals. An abundance of startup companies explore the application of AI techniques in the domain of law, and there is even talk of artificially intelligent legal assistants disrupting the legal market space. Factors driving the increased attention for legal AI include:

- Technological breakthroughs in machine learning, natural language processing, ubiquitous computing, data science, and argumentation technology;
- The changing attitude towards technology in the legal domain;
- The much increased availability of legal data on the internet;
- The recent success of AI applications in the private and public domain;
- The success of technology supporting access to law, legal empowerment, and transparency;
- The increased need for norms embedded in technology (autonomous driving and warfare, big data analysis for crime fighting and counterterrorism).

Against this background, we organized a one-day workshop ‘AI for Justice (AI4J)’ on August 30, 2017, in The Hague, The Netherlands. The workshop was held in

---

✉ Bart Verheij  
bart.verheij@rug.nl

Floris Bex  
tbc@csc.liv.ac.uk

<sup>1</sup> Department of Information and Computing Sciences, Utrecht University, Utrecht, The Netherlands

<sup>2</sup> Faculty of Law, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

<sup>3</sup> Leibniz Center for Law, Faculty of Law, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

<sup>4</sup> Institute of Artificial Intelligence and Cognitive Engineering, University of Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

association with the 22nd European Conference on Artificial Intelligence (ECAI 2016). The workshop's theme connected to the ECAI 2016 special topic 'AI for human values'. Moreover, the workshop seemed particularly appropriate at this location given that The Hague is the residence of several international courts, such as the International Court of Justice, the Permanent Court of Arbitration, the International Court of Criminal Justice and the United Nations International Criminal Tribunal for the former Yugoslavia.

The aim of the workshop was to investigate opportunities and challenges in AI applied to the law, with a particular focus on the relevance of the recent technological breakthroughs for AI & Law research and for legal practice. Questions addressed included the following:

- How can AI & Law research contribute to improving legal work in, for example, courts, law firms, public administration, police practice and businesses?
- How should AI & Law research change in light of the recent research breakthroughs and technological developments? For example, how can traditional research on legal knowledge bases, legal reasoning and legal argument be combined with data science, machine learning and natural language processing?

The program consisted of an invited lecture by Karl Branting (MITRE, USA) and paper presentations (seven long, five short). Papers were selected in a peer review procedure following an open call for papers. The program and all papers presented at the workshop are available at the workshop's web site (<http://www.ai.rug.nl/~verheij/AI4J>). Based on the proceedings of the workshop, and selected in a second round of peer review, six papers have been adapted for this special issue of the journal *Artificial Intelligence and Law*.

In the invited paper *Data-Centric and Logic-Based Models for Automated Legal Problem Solving*, Karl Branting analyzes how logic-based approaches to legal problem solving can work together with data-centric techniques, depending on the legal task one aims to support.

In the paper *Norms and Value Based Reasoning: Justifying Compliance and Violation*, Trevor Bench-Capon and Sanjay Modgil argue that software agents should be able to reason about norms and values, especially when the rules sometimes should be broken.

In the paper *On the Concept of Relevance in Legal Information Retrieval*, Marc van Opijnen and Christiana Santos discuss a conceptual framework for relevance in information retrieval, tuned to the development and improvement of legal software tools.

In the paper *Reading Agendas Between the Lines, an exercise*, Giovanni Sileno, Alexander Boer and Tom van Engers discuss the operationalization of software agents in the setting of compliance checking, discussing tax frauds in real-estate transactions as an illustration.

In the paper *Recognizing Cited Facts and Principles in Legal Judgements*, Olga Shulayeva, Advait Siddharthan and Adam Wyner investigate to what extent facts and principles can be identified in precedent cases, by studying agreement between human annotators and by supervised machine learning.

In the paper *Proof With and Without Probabilities*, Bart Verheij discusses correct evidential reasoning using arguments, scenarios and probabilities, focusing on connections between qualitative and quantitative analytic methods, and using Alfred Hitchcock's film *To Catch A Thief* as an illustration.

For financial support for the AI4J workshop, we are grateful to the following organisations:

- The International Association for Artificial Intelligence and Law (IAAIL);
- The Foundation for Legal Knowledge Based Systems (JURIX);
- The BeNeLux Vereniging voor Kunstmatige Intelligentie (BNVKI);
- The Institute for Artificial Intelligence and Cognitive Engineering, University of Groningen (ALICE).

We are indebted to the organizers of ECAI 2016 for their support, and most of all to our speakers, submitters, reviewers, and audience, without whom the workshop would not have been possible.

### **Program committee**

Kevin Ashley, University of Pittsburgh, USA

Katie Atkinson, University of Liverpool, UK

Trevor Bench-Capon, University of Liverpool, UK

Karl Branting, The MITRE Corporation, USA

Pompeu Casanovas, Universitat Autnoma de Barcelona, Spain; Deakin University, Australia

Jack G. Conrad, Thomson Reuters, USA

Enrico Francesconi, ITTIG-CNR, Italy

Tom Gordon, Fraunhofer FOKUS, Germany

Guido Governatori, NICTA, Australia

Matthias Grabmair, Intelligent Systems Program, University of Pittsburgh, USA

Jeroen Keppens, Kings College London, UK

David Lewis, Chicago, USA

Monica Palmirani, CIRSIFID, Italy

Dory Reiling, Court of Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Erich Schweighofer, University of Vienna, Austria

Jaap van den Herik, Leiden University, The Netherlands

Serena Villata, INRIA Sophia Antipolis, France

Radboud Winkels, University of Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Adam Wyner, University of Aberdeen, UK