

Erratum to: Reflections on the Jābāli Episode in the *Vālmīki Rāmāyaṇa* (Ayodhyākāṇḍa)

Ramkrishna Bhattacharya¹

Published online: 12 September 2015
© Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2015

Erratum to: J Indian Philos DOI 10.1007/s10781-015-9278-3

The original publication of the article includes some errors which were overlooked. The corrections are given below.

On Page 1, line 9 of the abstract section, the word **epistemology**, should read as **epistemology**. [comma to be deleted]

On Page 2, last line of the last paragraph, the word *MBh* should read as *Mbh*. In footnote 1, the sentence that read as, **the worst of the negativists** should read as **‘the worst of the negativists’**.

On Page 3, footnote 3 lines 3–4, the sentence ‘**Such is a thief, such a Buddhist; know that in this the Tathagata and Nastika are included,**’ **although** should be read as “**A Buddhist [or the Buddha, *buddhaḥ*] is like a thief; and know that a *Cārvāka* is (like) a Buddhist**” [*tathāgata*, so Cm] (2241*.14–15; **this is apparently the only occurrence of the word buddha in the *Rām* tradition**). Jābāli thereafter responds (2249* = 2241*.21–26) with the denial that he is a *Cārvāka*, and declares that he only spoke as he did to win Rāma over.’ **However.**

On Page 13, line 9, the words “**are insertions**” should be read as “**is an insertion**”. On Page 14, line 3 of Paragraph 2, the words, “**this fact**” should be read as “**the very existence of the critical edition**”.

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:[10.1007/s10781-015-9278-3](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10781-015-9278-3).

✉ Ramkrishna Bhattacharya
carvaka_rkb@yahoo.com; ramkrishna.bhattacharya@gmail.com

¹ Pavlov Institute, 98, Mahatma Gandhi Road, Kolkata 700 007, India

The missing reference for the citation Bulcke C, should read as **Bulcke, C. (1993). *Rāmakathā: utpatti aur vikāsa*. Allahabad: Hindi Parishad, Prayaga Vishvavidyalaya (first pub. 1950).**

On page 16, the text in reference 18, “*BSBhBrahma-sūtra-bhāṣya Advaita* should read as **Advaita**. On Page 18, reference, *Rām* 1844..., the word **Bvana** should read as **Gaudana**. On page 19, reference **Vasudevahiṃḍī** should read as **Vasudevahiṃḍī**. In the reference Vātsyāyana..., the words **Kāmasūtra Jayamaṅgalā** should read as **Kāmasūtra Jayamaṅgalā**. On Page 19, reference *Subhashitaratnabhandagara*..., the word “**Deilli**” should read as “**Dilli**”.

The references *SVM*, *PPu* (2), **Hazra, R. C. (3)**, **Malliṣeṇa (1)** and **Thakur, A. (1)** should be ignored as these should have been removed from the article.