



FLORE

Repository istituzionale dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze

Predicting reading and spelling disorders: a 4-year prospective cohort study

Questa è la Versione finale referata (Post print/Accepted manuscript) della seguente pubblicazione:

Original Citation:

Predicting reading and spelling disorders: a 4-year prospective cohort study / Bigozzi, Lucia; Tarchi, Christian; Caudek, Corrado; Pinto, Giuliana. - In: FRONTIERS IN PSYCHOLOGY. - ISSN 1664-1078. - ELETTRONICO. - 7:337:(2016), pp. 1-12. [10.3389/fpsyg.2016.00337]

Availability:

This version is available at: 2158/1028357 since: 2021-02-16T10:14:51Z

Published version: DOI: 10.3389/fpsyg.2016.00337

Terms of use: Open Access

La pubblicazione è resa disponibile sotto le norme e i termini della licenza di deposito, secondo quanto stabilito dalla Policy per l'accesso aperto dell'Università degli Studi di Firenze (https://www.sba.unifi.it/upload/policy-oa-2016-1.pdf)

Publisher copyright claim:

(Article begins on next page)



Predicting reading and spelling disorders: a 4-year prospective cohort study

Lucia Bigozzi¹, Christian Tarchi^{1*}, Corrado Caudek¹, Giuliana Pinto¹

¹University of Florence, Italy

Submitted to Journal: Frontiers in Psychology

Specialty Section: Educational Psychology

ISSN: 1664-1078

Article type: Original Research Article

Provisional PDF published on:

Received on: 07 Jan 2016

Accepted on: 23 Feb 2016

Pr

Citation:

23 Feb 2016

Bigozzi L, Tarchi C, Caudek C and Pinto G(2016) Predicting reading and spelling disorders: a 4-year prospective cohort study. *Front. Psychol.* 7:337. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2016.00337

Copyright statement:

Frontiers website link: www.frontiersin.org

© 2016 Bigozzi, Tarchi, Caudek and Pinto. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY</u>). The use, distribution and reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) or licensor are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

This Provisional PDF corresponds to the article as it appeared upon acceptance, after peer-review. Fully formatted PDF and full text (HTML) versions will be made available soon.



Predicting reading and spelling disorders: a 4-year prospective cohort study

1 Lucia Bigozzi1, Christian Tarchi2*, Corrado Caudek2, Giuliana Pinto1

- ¹ Dept. of Education and Psychology, University of Florence, Italy
- 3 ² NEUROFARBA, University of Florence, Italy
- 4 * **Correspondence:** Christian Tarchi, Dept. of Education and Psychology, University of Florence,
- 5 via San Salvi 12, Florence, Italy
- 6 christian.tarchi@unifi.it
- Keywords: reading disorder, spelling disorder, predictors₃, phonological awareness₄, invented
 spelling₅, textual competence₆.

9 Abstract

- 10 In this 4-year prospective cohort study, children with a reading and spelling disorder, children with a 11 spelling impairment, and children without a reading and/or spelling disorder (control group) in a transparent orthography were identified in third grade, and their emergent literacy performances in 12 kindergarten compared retrospectively. 642 Italian children participated. This cohort was followed 13 from the last year of kindergarten to third grade. In kindergarten, the children were assessed in 14 phonological awareness, conceptual knowledge of writing systems and textual competence. In third 15 grade, 18 children with a reading and spelling impairment and 13 children with a spelling impairment 16 17 were identified. Overall, conceptual knowledge of the writing system was the only statistically significant predictor of the clinical samples. No differences were found between the two clinical 18
- 19 samples.

20

21 **1** Introduction

22 Spelling disorders have often been found to be associated with reading disorders (Lyon et al., 2003),

- a finding that is further supported by the consideration that reading and spelling performances are
- also associated in the general population (Bates et al., 2006). The existence of associations between
 disorders poses questions about whether they share the same cognitive basis (Pennington, 2006).
- Furthermore, studies on reading and spelling disorders need to take the level of consistency of the
- 27 mapping between letters and sounds in words into account as a level of explanation, and increase our
- understanding of transparent orthographies (Ziegler et al., 2010). This 4-year prospective cohort
- study compared, in kindergarten, the early cognitive skills of a sample of spelling-disabled pupils
- 30 (SD) with those of a sample of reading-and-spelling-disabled pupils (RSD) and with those of a
- 31 sample of children without a reading and/or spelling disorder (control group). The study was
- 32 conducted in an Italian-speaking population and is characterised by the fact that Italian provides a
- 33 transparent orthography. A better knowledge of the differences in the early cognitive skills between

these three groups of children can contribute to identifying the predictors of spelling impairments, 34

35 which are still underspecified and poorly understood (American Psychology Association, 2013).

36 1.1 Definition of reading and spelling disorders

37 In line with the findings suggesting an association between learning disorders — e.g. between 38 reading (dyslexia) and spelling disorders (dysorthographia) (Egan and Tainturier, 2011; Lyon et al., 39 2003; Moll et al., 2014) — the latest edition of the American Psychiatric Association's Diagnostic 40 and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-5) combines the DSM-IV diagnoses of a number 41 of disorders: reading disorder, mathematics disorder, disorder of written expression and learning 42 disorder not otherwise specified (American Psychology Association, 2013). The DSM-5, however, 43 stresses the possibility of a dissociation between these different learning disorders (Berninger et al., 44 2015), as it requires separate coding of deficits belonging to specific domains. Thus, dyslexia is 45 defined as a learning disorder that produces an impairment in reading and requires the specification 46 of whether word reading accuracy, reading rate or fluency, spelling, or reading comprehension are 47 compromised (ICD-9 code: 315.00; ICD-10 code: F81.0). Likewise, dysorthographia is defined as a 48 learning disorder with an impairment in written expression, and it requires the specification of 49 whether spelling accuracy, grammar and punctuation accuracy, clarity, or organization of written expression are compromised (ICD-9 code: 315.2; ICD-10 code: F81.81). Following the indication of 50 51 the DSM-5 (American Psychology Association, 2013), in this study we identified two clinical 52 groups: (1) children with a specific learning disorder with an impairment in reading accuracy and 53 fluency (315.00), which was associated with a specific learning disorder with an impairment in 54 written expression, in particular in spelling accuracy (315.2), and (2) children with a specific learning 55 disorder with an impairment in written expression only, in particular in spelling accuracy (315.2). 56 These disorders were diagnosed in absence of comorbidity with other neuro-developmental (e.g.,

57 ADHD) or mental disorders (e.g., anxiety disorder) that typically co-occur with specific learning 58 disorders.

1.2 59 Spelling in reading and writing

60 Interestingly, the term "spelling" is used for both reading and writing. Whereas the use of spelling disorder for a writing disorder is quite obvious, many influential definitions of the reading disorder 61 also include spelling problems in children (Lyon et al., 2003; Pennington, 2009), as well as in adults 62 63 (Afonso et al., 2015). For example, according to the International Dyslexia Association and National 64 Institutes of Child Health and Human Development, a reading disorder is characterized by difficulties 65 with accurate and/or fluent word recognition and by poor spelling and decoding abilities.

- 66 By focusing on the spelling impairment, this study's overall aim is to contribute to a better
- 67 understanding of the association between reading and spelling disorders. In fact, spelling is a
- 68 bridging skill between reading and writing which, if impaired, produces a reading-writing disorder.
- 69 However, spelling is asymmetrical, as it is more difficult when writing than when reading. Thus, a
- 70 mild spelling impairment may allow pupils to master the easier process (*i.e.*, reading), but not the
- 71 more difficult one (*i.e.*, writing). Conversely, a severe spelling impairment may cause pupils to
- 72 struggle in both processes, reading and writing. According to past research, a specific writing
- 73 impairment might be a residual problem of those pupils who have managed to compensate for earlier 74 reading difficulties (Newman et al., 1993). Studies on spelling disorders vs. reading-spelling
- 75 disorders are lacking, mostly because research on reading disorder has focused on reading only, thus
- 76

77 **1.3** The role of the transparency of the writing system

78 Reading and spelling disorders change depending on the level of transparency of a writing system

- 79 (i.e. how consistently letters map onto sounds —Paulesu et al., 2001; Raman and Weekes, 2005). In
- 80 transparent writing systems (e.g. Italian or German), in which each letter is almost always
- 81 pronounced in the same way in different words, the typical problem of children with a reading
- disorder is reading fluently, rather than accuracy (Zoccolotti et al., 2014; 2015; Barca et al., 2006).
- 83 Conversely, in opaque writing systems (e.g. English or French), in which some letters are
- 84 pronounced in different ways in different words, children with a reading disorder struggle to read
- 85 fluently and also correctly (Wimmer and Mayringer, 2002; Wimmer and Schurz, 2010). Instead,
- children with a spelling impairment are inaccurate writers in both orthography systems, transparent
 and opaque (Angelelli et al., 2010). It should also be noted that, in most languages, spelling is more
- difficult than reading (Newman et al., 1993). This difficulty gap is enhanced in transparent
- orthographies, in which the regularity of the orthographic system is higher in grapheme-phoneme
- 90 relations (forward regularity) than in phoneme-grapheme relations (backward regularity)
- 91 (Notarnicola et al., 2012; Wimmer and Mayringer, 2002) for example, in Italian the phoneme /k/
- 92 can correspond to two different graphemes, 'c' as in /'kwoko/ ('cuoco,' en. tr. 'chef'), or 'q' as in
- 93 /kwì/ ('qui,' en. tr. 'here').

94 The Italian language, because of its characteristics of transparency and reading-spelling asymmetry,

- 95 provides optimal conditions to study spelling impairment as an independent disorder, and spelling
- 96 impairment in association with a reading impairment. In addition, Italian spelling in writing plays a
- 97 leading role for the acquisition of both, reading and writing (Pinto et al., 2015), which makes the
- 98 exploration of the early predictors of this process even more crucial.

99 1.4 Predictors of reading and spelling disorders

100 In this study, children with a spelling disorder (SD), children with a reading and spelling disorder

101 (RSD), and children without a reading/spelling disorder (control group) were identified in third

102 grade. Their emergent literacy performances in the last year of kindergarten were then retrospectively

- 103 compared. According to Pennington (2006), in fact, finding a common antecedent deficit would
- 104 confirm the severity hypothesis, according to which RSD is an earlier and more severe form of the
- same etiology underlying the SD. In this paragraph, we discuss the literature on the predictors of
- 106 reading and spelling disorders.
- 107 Although spelling has not received a similar amount of research interest as reading, there are several 108 studies available on predictors of spelling, also in transparent orthographies. Many of these studies 109 support the existence of different cognitive predictors of reading and spelling. According to Vaessen
- and Blomert (2013), among the most important predictors of reading, only phonological awareness
- 111 (i.e. the ability to identify and manipulate units of sounds) and letter-sound matching skills (i.e. the
- ability to match letters to corresponding speech sounds) are also predictors of spelling, especially in
- 113 transparent orthographies.
- 114 Among the aforementioned skills, phonological awareness is the most debated, in particular
- 115 concerning its relationship with the acquisition of reading and spelling skills across different
- 116 languages. For quite some time, phonological awareness had been considered to be the most
- 117 important predictor of reading (Paulesu et al., 2001) and spelling acquisition (Babayiğit and
- 118 Stainthorp, 2007; Vaessen and Blomert, 2013). Recently, however, several researchers have
- 119 questioned its status in transparent orthographies, in both normal acquisition of reading and spelling

120 (Babayiğit and Stainthorp, 2007) on the one side, and in learning disorders (Bigozzi et al., 2016;

121 Wimmer and Schurz, 2010) on the other one. A better understanding of the role of phonological

awareness in reading and writing thus requires the assessment of phonological awareness before the

123 onset of formal literacy, since conventional acquisition of reading and writing exerts an

124 autoregressive effect on phonological awareness (Nikolopoulos et al., 2006).

125 Letter-sound matching skills are particularly important for reading fluency in beginner readers

126 (Vaessen and Blomert, 2013), but fluency quickly reaches full development in transparent

127 orthographies, which reduces the importance of letter-sound matching skills. In opaque (Caravolas et

- al., 2001) and transparent orthographies (Landerl and Wimmer, 2008; Torppa et al., 2013), instead,
- 129 letter-sound matching skills remain associated to later spelling performances, although the effect-size
- 130 of this association has been questioned, on the basis of the argument that knowing which letter 131 belongs to which speech sound is not as important as using this knowledge efficiently and
- automatically (Vaessen and Blomert, 2013). Finally, in contrast with the clear association between
- 133 RAN and reading disorders in transparent orthographies (Torppa et al., 2013), the theoretical link
- between RAN and spelling is also debated (Babayiğit and Stainthorp, 2007; Nikolopoulos et al.,
- 135 2006; Torppa et al., 2013; Vaessen and Blomert, 2013).

136 Interest in the beginning stages of literacy development has focused attention on the very early

137 invented spelling created by young children prior to formal reading and spelling instruction. Invented

spellings, meant both as children's early attempts at writing (Read, 1971) and as children's early

139 attempts at reading (Liberman, 1971), have been considered as a marker of children's phonological

140 awareness, and of their knowledge of the phonemic segments (sounds) represented by an alphabet.

- 141 This assumed that since pre-reading children did not have a visual image of words fixed in their
- 142 memory, when they sought to represent words they did so based on articulatory features.

143 Several authors have claimed that literacy outcomes are better predicted by an association between

144 phonological awareness and letter knowledge, rather than by tasks tapping into oral phonological

skills only (Pinto et al., 2009; Hulme and Snowling, 2013; Ouellette and Sénéchal, 2008; Wimmer
and Schurz, 2010). Blaiklock (2004) contributed to the understanding of the combination of

147 and Schurz, 2010). Blaklock (2004) contributed to the understanding of the combination of 147 phonological-orthographic representations in kindergarten by demonstrating that the orthographic

representations of words actually mediate the relationship between phonological awareness and

- 149 literacy processes. Pinto et al. (2009) also suggested that children's conceptual knowledge of the
- 150 writing system captures this interplay between phonological and orthographic representations of the
- 151 words, strongly predicting literacy acquisition.

152 Typically, conceptual knowledge of the writing system is assessed by an invented spelling task, in 153 which the participant creates sound-signs that correspond to their level of knowledge of the writing 154 system, from simple signs that discriminate writing from drawing, to an awareness that longer words 155 require more signs than shorter words, to a 1:1 correspondence between sounds and signs in a word, 156 although signs are not alphabetically correct. This early cognitive skill refers to phonological-157 orthographic connectivity and encompasses the systematic (even if not conventional) matching of 158 sounds with written letters, and the productive component of writing, the ability to graphically build 159 and develop a stable pattern of orthographic signs (even if unconventional and incorrect). In this 160 sense, this factor takes into account the combined contribution of phonological awareness with other 161 skills that are related to literacy acquisition and impaired in children with a reading disorder, that is

162 grapho-motor skills (see Berninger et al., 2008), and visual attention (see Germano et al., 2014).

- 163 Conceptual knowledge of the writing system includes child's knowledge of the print conventions, of 164 the names of letters, and of the letter sounds (Niessen et al., 2011).
- 165 Notwithstanding recent advances in research on conceptual knowledge of the writing system, its
- 166 unique contribution to children's acquisition of reading and spelling needs to be better understood
- 167 (Niessen et al., 2011). Our research on emergent literacy predictors of reading and reading disorders
- 168 (Bigozzi et al., 2016), and spelling (Pinto et al., 2009) in the Italian language has found that, when
- 169 the conceptual knowledge of a writing system was included with phonological awareness among
- kindergarten predictors, the predictive power of phonological awareness disappeared, probably
- because its effect was absorbed by the conceptual knowledge of the writing system and integrated with orthographic knowledge. These results bring further evidence to Wimmer and Schurz's
- hypothesis that reading disorders are better explained by an early deficit in orthographic-
- 175 hypothesis that reading disorders are better explained by an early dener in orthographicphonological connectivity (2010). Conceptual knowledge of the writing system is also a better
- 175 predictor of reading and reading disorders (Bigozzi et al., 2016), and spelling in writing (Pinto et al.,
- 176 2009), than children's textual competence. In an emergent literacy perspective, textual competence is
- an ability that is inter-related with other kindergarten competences, and is considered a
- developmental precursor to conventional forms of reading and writing (Lonigan et al., 2000). Thus,
- the ability to connect the phonological and orthographic representations of a word, (*i.e.*, conceptual
- 180 knowledge of the writing system) seems to be a more important cognitive skill for predicting reading
- 181 and writing acquisition than the ability to get to grips with the individual units of meaning conveyed
- 182 by the word and to form a network of relations between words that are in the text (*i.e.*, textual
- 183 competence).

184 **1.5** Aims of the study

185 The aim of this study was to determine whether RSD and SD shared the same predictive pattern in

- 186 kindergarten in terms of emergent literacy skills. In particular, (1) we focused on children's
- 187 conceptual knowledge of the writing system, and (2) we tested in a transparent writing system
- 188 whether the conceptual knowledge of the writing system is an antecedent of RSD and SD children's

189 common impairment in spelling, similarly to what was found for reading acquisition and reading

190 disorders (Bigozzi et al., 2016). We also studied the role of phonological awareness, because its

- 191 predictive role for reading and spelling skills in transparent orthographies is debated.
- 192 The Italian language, which is a transparent writing system, allows to explore the relationship
- between emergent literacy and reading and spelling disorders, and fill the gap with our understanding
- 194 of such a relationship in the context of opaque languages (e.g., English). In addition, the higher
- degree of transparency in the sign-sound correspondence in comparison with the sound-sign
- 196 correspondence, allows one to clearly identify two clinical groups, RSD and SP, and run a
- 197 comparative analysis between them and with the reference population.
- 198 The present study addressed these aims by carrying out a 4-year prospective cohort study. From a 199 methodological perspective, a prospective cohort study shares the advantages of a longitudinal
- 200 approach. However, previous longitudinal studies on reading and spelling disorders included only
- 201 pupils from the population at risk of SD or RSD (e.g. familiarity or specific language impairment, see
- for instance Lyytinen et al., 2004), but excluded all those children with reading and/or spelling
- 203 disorders that are present in the population not at risk. We designed a prospective cohort study so as
- to include all children from the natural population, at-risk and not-at-risk for learning disorders. From
- 205 this general population, the SD and RSD samples were extracted from the same cohort, and were
- 206 compared to the same control group. This approach provides a better control of potentially

- 207 confounding variables (e.g. socio-economic status), and allows to better understand the relation
- 208 between reading and spelling disorder. A prospective cohort study presents a further advantage. It
- 209 allows to assess predictors of reading and spelling disorder symptoms manifesting in the 3rd grade
- among children's early skills in kindergarten, before the onset of formal literacy (*i.e.*, before
- children's early skills are influenced by the autoregressive effect of conventional learning of reading
- and spelling in primary school).

213 We expect the RSD and SD groups to show an impaired conceptual knowledge of the writing system

in kindergarten, when compared to the control group (hypothesis 1). We expect the RSD and SD

215 groups to show no impairment in phonological awareness or textual competence, when compared to

the control group (hypothesis 2). Finally, we expect the SD and RSD groups to show no significant

differences between each other in phonological awareness, conceptual knowledge of the writing

218 system, and textual competence (hypothesis 3).

219 2 Material and Methods

220 2.1 Participants

221 We followed a cohort of 642 Italian children from a mid-sized city in Central Italy (mean age: 222 4.98±.31 years; 299 girls and 343 boys) for 4 years, from the last year of kindergarten to the third 223 grade. From this sample, we had previously excluded children showing a formal mastery of reading 224 and writing during kindergarten. The parents of the participants gave informed consent for the 225 participation of their children in the study. The measures were administered at a time agreed upon 226 with the school and with due adherence to the requirements of privacy and informed consent required 227 by the Italian law (Law Decree DL-196/2003). Regarding the ethical standards for research, the study 228 referred to the last version of the Declaration of Helsinki (World Medical Association, 2013). The 229 present study was approved by the Ethical Committee of the Department of Psychology at the 230 University of Firenze, Italy. In the third grade, from the cohort of children, three groups were

- identified: 18 RSD pupils (12 boys and 6 girls), 13 SD pupils (9 boys and 4 girls), and 611 normally-
- reading and -spelling pupils (322 boys and 289 girls). Interestingly, the two clinical samples
 respected the boy:girl ratio typically found in the literature for both reading and spelling disorder
- (Moll et al., 2014). Thus, the control group (children without a reading and/or spelling disorder) also
- 235 presented a prevalence of boys over girls.

236 In the Italian educational system, children typically start kindergarten at the age of three, and finish it 237 when they are five. Children then start primary school when they are six years old. Primary school 238 lasts five grades. The school year begins in mid-September and ends in mid-June. All classes 239 participating in the study (kindergarten and primary school) were part of the same school district 240 therefore they shared some characteristics: similar educational and teaching practices and middle 241 socio-economical level. Most importantly, in Italy the formal teaching of literacy begins in primary 242 school, and follows a specific curriculum, as set down in national law. All the participating 243 kindergartens were following the national guidelines issued by the Ministry of Education, which 244 were valid at the time of the study. Since all emergent literacy skills are strongly dependent on family 245 or kindergarten practices (Lonigan et al., 2000), we checked that no schools were following a specific 246 program on formal literacy, and that no participant was already able to read and write in a 247 conventional way at the time of the kindergarten assessment.

- 248 An important characteristic of Italian schools is low mobility: families tend to live in the same
- 249 neighborhood over several generations. Children generally attend school in the same area. Therefore,
- 250 in this study, subject attrition through the three stages was extremely low.

251 2.2 Research design

252 We present 4-year longitudinal data from a study of children from kindergarten to third grade.

- 253 Children's emergent literacy skills were assessed in kindergarten, at the beginning of the last school
- 254 year. Four years later, when the participants were in third grade, we singled out the pupils who had
- received a diagnosis of reading and spelling disorder and the ones with a diagnosis of spelling
- disorder, and retrospectively analyzed their emergent literacy skills, comparing their performances to
- their normally-reading and normally-spelling peers. To ensure that all pupils had equal opportunity to be flagged as RSD or SD, we checked that none of the children included in the control group had
- be flagged as RSD or SD, we checked that none of the children included in the control received a diagnosis of a specific learning disorder.
- 257 received a diagnosis of a specific rearining disorder.
- 260 The RSD and SD participants had received their diagnosis from the clinical units of the Italian
- 261 National Health System, which follows the International Classification of Mental Disorders, ICD-10
- 262 (World Health Organization, 1992). The clinical units gave the researchers of this study access to
- each SD and RSD child's protocol, in accordance with local privacy laws and standards.

264 2.2.1 Clinical groups

- In the following, we describe the criteria to be included in the SD or RSD group. Each SD and RSD
- child had displayed difficulties learning and using academic skills for at least six months, despite the
- 267 provision of targeted interventions. SD displayed difficulties with written expression, with an
- impairment in written spelling, grammar or punctuation, as assessed by the Battery for the
 Assessment of Developmental Reading and Spelling Disorders (Sartori et al., 2007). RSD displayed
- 207 Assessment of Developmental Reading and Spennig Disorders (Sarton et al., 2007). RSD displayed 270 inaccurate and slow word reading, as assessed by MT Battery of Reading (Cornoldi and Colpo,
- 271 1998). With regard to cut-off scores, Moll et al. (2014) demonstrated that the association between
- RSD and SD depends on what thresholds we set to decide who to include in the two clinical groups,
- thus in this study we adopted strict criteria to form the groups. In the RSD group, children had a
- reading accuracy and fluency score below the 5th percentile, as well as a written spelling
- 275 performance score below the 5th percentile. In the SD group children's writing accuracy was lower
- than the 5th percentile, whereas their reading performance was above the 5th percentile (see table 1).
 RSD and SD children did not show any intellectual disability, as assessed by the Wechsler
- 277 Intelligence Scale for Children-III (Wechlser, 2006), were not affected by uncorrected visual or
- auditory acuity, any mental or neurological disorder, psychosocial adversity, lack of proficiency in
- 280 Italian or inadequate educational instruction. These aspects were assessed through the clinical
- synthesis of the individual's history (developmental, medical, family and educational), school
- 282 reports, and psycho-educational assessment.

283 **2.2.2 Control group**

- 284 Children just failing to meet the cut-off points of pathological performance (e.g., a performance of
- 285 7th percentile) were kept in the control group as their reading and spelling was not impaired at a
- clinical level, and represent a sample from the reference population. In Italy psychopathologies or
- disabilities are identified by the local health authorities at the parents' request (Law 104/1992; Law
- 288 170/2010; Ministerial Decree 12 July 2011). After the diagnostic procedure ends, the local health
- authority gives the papers to the parents, who deliver them to the school, so that the procedures of
- 290 school inclusion can be started (Decree of the President of the Council of Ministers 185/2006).

- 291 Specific learning disabilities can be detected by teachers too, by notifying the child's family so that
- 292 they can proceed to start a diagnostic procedure with the local health authorities (Inter-Ministerial
- 293 Ministry of Education, Universities and Research-Ministry of Health Decree; 17/4/2013)¹. At the
- 294 time of the study, control group children were not affected by any type of pathology, nor were they
- included in a diagnostic procedure, or identified by the teachers as children with special educational 295 296 needs.
- 297 **INSERT TABLE 1**

298 2.3 Measures

299 Preschoolers were evaluated through tests measuring emergent literacy skills (phonological

- 300 awareness, textual competence and conceptual knowledge of the writing system). All the children's
- 301 products were coded by two independent judges. Agreement between the judges was between 88%
- and 99%; cases of disagreement were resolved through discussion. All the measures reported 302
- 303 acceptable and good reliability scores.

304 2.3.1 Phonological awareness

305 Identification and production of sound patterns (Dowker and Pinto, 1993). The children were

- exposed to two verbal stimuli, one containing rhymes, and the other a series of alliterating words. 306
- 307 The instruction was: "Now I am going to tell you a poem, which is a bit like a story but not quite.
- 308 And I would like you to make one up too." They were asked to produce a poem of their own, with the
- 309 stimuli acting as examples. The order of the two stimuli was counterbalanced. Three scores were
- 310 derived: rhythm (children's ability to reproduce the prosody); rhyme (children's ability to detect the 311 rhymes within the stimulus); and alliteration (children's ability to detect alliterations within the
- stimulus). The alpha coefficient for this instrument was .82. From this test, three measures were 312
- 313
- derived.
- 314 Identification and production of rhythm. The children's ability to reproduce the prosody (rhythm)
- 315 was scored as follows: 0 no rhythm produced, 1 one rhythm produced, 2 two or more rhythms
- produced. Pupils' scores ranged from 0 to 2. Agreement between the judges was 94%. 316
- Identification and production of rhyme. The children's ability to detect the rhymes within the 317
- stimulus was scored as follows: 0 no rhymes produced, 1 one rhyme produced, 2 two or more rhymes 318
- 319 produced. Pupils' scores ranged from 0 to 2. Agreement between the judges was 97%. An example of
- a poem with rhyme detection from a kindergarten participant was: 320
- 321 mi piacciono le farfalle [I like butterflies]
- 322 azzurre, rosse e gialle [blue, red, and yellow]
- 323 Identification and production of alliteration. The children's ability to detect alliterations within the
- 324 stimulus was scored as follows: 0 no alliterations produced, 1 one alliteration produced, 2 two or
- 325 more alliterations produced. Pupils' scores ranged from 0 to 2. Agreement between the judges was
- 326 98%. An example of a poem with alliteration detection from a kindergarten participant was:

¹ See https://www.european-agency.org/country-information/italy/national-overview/identification-of-specialeducational-needs for more information on the identification of physical and mental disabilities and disorders in Italy

- 327 scivolano gli sciatori sciando [the skiers slide while they're skiing]
- 328 Identification of phonemes (Dowker and Pinto, 1993). The children were asked to identify similar

329 words among triplets of words, two of which had a phoneme in common. The alpha coefficient for

this instrument was .79. Agreement between the judges was 93%; cases of disagreement were

resolved through discussion. Children were exposed to nine three-word sets, and had to identify the

two words with the initial phoneme in common. In three series they had to identify the initial

- 333 phoneme (e.g. PALO PESCA NOTTE), in three series they had to identify the intermediate
- 334 phoneme (e.g. AGO UGO EVA), and in three series they had to identify the final phoneme (e.g.
- BORSA PRATO TRENO). The following score was assigned: 0 if children correctly coded 0 to 2
- triplets, 1 if children correctly coded 3 to 5 triplets, and 2 if children correctly coded 6 to 9 triplets.
- 337 Pupils' scores ranged from 0 to 2.

338 2.3.2 Conceptual knowledge of a writing system

Invented spelling (Pinto et al., 2009). The scoring procedure we developed aimed to measure the

340 extent to which an unconventional (e.g., incorrect) response made by a kindergarten child captured

- two main features of the written alphabetic language: the phonetic structure of the words (i.e. the
- number and the type of phonemes) that the child represented and the level of orthographic
- 343 representation he/she adopted., and were sensitive enough to classify the lower level responses of
- 344 kindergarten children. Children's early written productions were analyzed in a quantitative and also
- 345 qualitative manner using three categories, measuring the children's knowledge of the sound-sign
- 346 correspondence but also of the word boundaries, word morphology, directionality of print, number
- and shapes of letters required/allowed to compose a word. The children were asked to draw and
- write, from which three different scores were obtained. The alpha coefficient for this instrument was
 .92. Two independent raters coded the children's products. The inter-rater reliability was 94%.
- 350 Disagreements were resolved by discussion between the two raters.

351 Conceptual knowledge of orthographic notation. The children were asked to write down their name,

the words they knew, and the word 'mela' (apple), for a minimum of two items. This score defined

353 how similar children's signs were to conventional letters. Scores were assigned as follows: 0 for

drawings, 1 for scribbles, 2 for forms similar to letters, 3 for sequences of well-shaped letters.

- 355 Conceptual knowledge of the orthographic variation of sound quantity. Children were asked to write
- down two long words (one given by the experimenter, one of their choice), and two short words (one
- 357 given by the experimenter, one of their choice), for a total of four items. This score defined whether
- the children were aware of the numeric correspondence between sounds and signs (one sign per
- sound). Scores were assigned as follows: 0 for drawings; 1 for performances based on a non-
- 360 correspondence between signs and sounds (words of the same length, or the longer word written
- 361 shorter than the short word); 2 for performances in which the difference in length is present and
- 362 correct, without a 1:1 correspondence between signs and sounds; 3 for performances in which the
- difference in length is present and correct, with a 1:1 correspondence between signs and sounds.

Conceptual knowledge of the orthographic variation of phonemic units. The children were asked to

write two pairs of words, each of which were formed by two words with the same first part and only

- 366 the last letter different, for a total of two items This score defined whether the children were aware 367 that words which sound similar are also written in a similar way, with small variations. Scores were
- assigned as follows: 0 for drawings, 1 for performances in which the two words were written, either
- identically, or completely differently; 2 for performances with a partial equivalence and a partial

- differentiation, where the two parts do not correspond to sound variations, however; 3 for
- 371 performances with a partial equivalence and a partial differentiation, in which the two parts
- 372 correspond perfectly to variations in sounds.

373 2.3.3 Textual competence

- 374 Story production (Spinillo and Pinto, 1994). The children were asked to tell a narrative. In the Italian
- 375 school, kindergarten and primary school, this type of instruction refers to the production of fictional
- 376 stories. All participants understood the instructions well and produced fictional stories. The story was
- recorded, transcribed and analyzed by two independent judges on three parameters: structure,
- 378 cohesion and coherence. The inter-rater reliability was 91%. Disagreements were resolved by
- discussion between the two raters. The alpha coefficient for this instrument was .91.
- Structure. The story structure was coded by eight elements: a) title, b) conventional story opening, c)
 characters, setting, d) problem, e) central event, f) resolution, g) conventional story closing. The
 system to attribute the structure scores was:
- first level, non-story (1 point): simple descriptions of actions without any characteristics of narrative
 style such as a conventional story opening or conclusion;
- second level, sketch story (2 points): introduction of the setting and the main character, conventional
 story opening is often present, but both the problem and resolution are missing;
- third level, incomplete story (3 points): elementary narrative structure, setting and characters are
 introduced, often with a conventional story opening and conclusion, but a central event is missing;
- 389 fourth level, essential story (4 points): non-essential structural elements, such as setting, are missing;
- fifth level, complete story (5 points): all eight elements are included, with only the title consideredoptional
- 392 Causal cohesion: to assess the causal cohesion in children's stories, all the causal linguistic elements
- 393 were identified (e.g. because, thus, so, and the like). On the basis of the quantity of causal cohesive
- 394 elements used in the stories, balanced by the total number of words, three increasing levels of causal
- cohesion were identified: absent (0 points), low (1 point), medium (2 points) and high (3 points).
- 396 Temporal cohesion: to assess the temporal cohesion in children's stories, all the temporal linguistic
- elements were identified (e.g. once upon a time, then, because, after that, therefore, and the like). On
- the basis of the quantity of temporal cohesive elements used in the stories, balanced by the total
- number of words, three increasing levels of temporal cohesion were identified: absent (0 points), low
- 400 (1 point), medium (2 points) and high (3 points).
- 401 Coherence: to analyze coherence in the children's narratives, the number of incoherencies were
- 402 identified. On the basis of the number of incoherencies, balanced by the total number of sentences,
- 403 three increasing levels of cohesion were identified: absent (0 points), low (1 point), medium (2
- 404 points) and high (3 points).

405 **2.4 Data analysis**

- 406 Each variable's extreme outliers were identified and eliminated by observing the relative box-plots.
- 407 Through examination of the skewness and kurtosis of each dependent variable's probability
- 408 distribution we verified that all variables were normally distributed. The statistical software R version 400 2200 (D G = 7200 2015)
- 409 3.2.0 (R Core Team, 2015) was used to perform a linear mixed effects (LME) analysis of the 410 relationship between group type (SD, RSD or control group) and the notational knowledge of a
- 410 relationship between group type (SD, RSD or control group) and the notational knowledge of a 411 writing system, phonological awareness and textual awareness. Separate LME models were run for
- 411 writing system, phonological awareness and textual awareness. Separate LME models were 412 each DV with the lmer function from the packages lme4 (Bates et al., 2014) and lmerTest
- 412 (Kuznetsova et al., 2015). Model fitting was done by employing restricted maximum likelihood
- 414 (REML). Compared to standard linear regression models, LME models are well suited for the
- 415 analysis of unbalanced data sets (e.g. Sikorska et al., 2015). LME analysis decomposes model effects
- 416 into the contribution of a fixed component (here the group) and a random component (here the class
- 417 nested within the school nested within the school district). By including random-effect factors, the
- 418 model can take the hierarchical structure linked to these factors into account.
- 419 Including a by-school within district and by-class within school within district random slope for the
- 420 group led to an overparameterized model (correlation of -1.00 or 1.00 of the intercepts and slopes for
- 421 the random effects), so we simplified the final models to include random intercepts for district, for
- 422 school within district, and for class within school within district, and by- district random slopes for
- 423 group. Collinearity was not an issue: all fixed-effect correlations (|r|) were less than .35.
- 424 The fixed effect estimates are provided by regression coefficients. To obtain an "effect size" of the
- 425 group effect on notational knowledge, phonological awareness and textual awareness, we computed
- 426 the LME standardized regression coefficients (β). When group membership is dummy coded with the
- 427 control group as the baseline, a change in group membership results in a change of β standard
- 428 deviations in the outcome. The standardized regression coefficients, therefore, provide a measure of
- 429 effect size akin to Cohen's d by taking the hierarchical nature of the data into account.
- 430 Visual inspection of residual plots did not reveal any obvious deviations from assumptions of
- 431 homoscedasticity or normality. p-values were obtained using the pbkrtest in R (Halekoh and
- 432 Højsgaard, 2014) for likelihood ratio test and parametric bootstrapping (with 10,000 resamples), and
- the multcomp package (Hothorn et al., 2008) with a Tukey correction for multiple comparisons.
- 434 **3 Results**

435 **3.1 Descriptive results**

- 436 In table 2 pupils' performances (SD, RSD and control group) in kindergarten skills are reported.
- 437 INSERT TABLE 2

438 **3.2** Differences in predictors between SD, RSD and control group

- 439 After applying a Box-Cox transformation to correct for skewness, a principal component analysis
- 440 (PCA) was performed on the centered and scaled variables describing the conceptual knowledge of a
- 441 writing system, that is, orthographic notation (FNScr), phonemic units (FNSuSe), and sound quantity
- 442 (FNVarNum). The first PC was used as an index of conceptual knowledge of the writing system
- 443 (CKWS 72% explained variance). The correlations between CKWS and FNScr, FNSuSe, and
- FNVarNum were .86, .83, and .85, respectively. By using the same procedure, we created a
- 445 phonological awareness index (PA). The correlations between PA (74% of explained variance) and

- the variables rhythm (CFRit), rhyme (CFRim), alliteration (CFAllPro) and phonemes (CFfon) were
- 447 .92, .66, .94 and .89 respectively. Likewise, an index of textual competence (TC) was created. The
- 448 correlations between TC (74% of explained variance) and the variables structure (StoStr), causal
- 449 cohesion (StoCau), temporal cohesion (StoTem), and coherence (StoCoe) were .92, .66, .89 and .94,
- 450 respectively. Table 3 reports the correlations between the three principal components, conceptual
- 451 knowledge of the writing system, phonological awareness and textual competence.

452 INSERT TABLE 3

- 453 For conceptual knowledge of the writing system, including group in the model significantly increased
- 454 the fit compared with a null, intercept-only model, $\chi_2^2 = 7.93$, p = .0189, p(bootstrap) = .0204,
- thus indicating a main effect of group. Tukey post hoc contrasts showed a statistically significant
- difference between the SD and control groups, z = 3.39, p = .0023, and between the RSD and control
- 457 groups, z = 2.73, p = .0166, but not between the SD and RSD groups, z = 1.63, p = .2268. The β 458 weights for the difference between the control group (baseline) and the RSD and SD groups were
- 438 weights for the difference between the control group (baseline) and the RSD and SD groups were 459 equal to -0.59 and -1.21, respectively. Conditional R GLMM^2 (Johnson, 2014) was equal to .36
- 459 equal to -0.59 and -1.21, respectively. Conditional K_OLIVIN' 2 (Johnson, 2014) was equal to .50 460 (variance explained by both fixed and random factors), with 12% of the explained variance due to the
- 461 fixed-effects factor (see Figure 1).

462 INSERT FIGURE 1

- 463 For phonological awareness, we found no main effect of group, $\chi_2^2 = 1.38$, p = .5007,
- 464 p(bootstrap) = .4510; Conditional R_GLMM^2 = .21, with .67% of the explained variance due to the
- 465 fixed-effects factor (see Figure 2).
- 466 INSERT FIGURE 2
- 467 Likewise, we found no main effect of group for textual competence, $\chi 2^2 = .73$, p = .6942,
- 468 p(bootstrap) = .6471; Conditional R_GLMM^2 = .21, with 0.47% of the explained variance due to 469 the fixed-effects factor (see Figure 3).
- 470 INSERT FIGURE 3

471 **3.3** Analyses using matched control group

472 In a different set of analyses, we only selected control participants from the classes where either an

- 473 SD or an RSD child was found, to control for the effect of relevant confounding variables, i.e. socio-
- 474 economic status, educational environment and gender. Two separate control groups were created: one
- for SD children (n =62) and one for RSD children (n = 98). When only one SD or RSD child was
- 476 present in a class, or when SD or RSD children present in a class had the same gender, controls were
- 477 also matched for gender.
- 478 LME models were used to examine the group difference between SD or RSD children as measured
- 479 by the conceptual knowledge of the writing system, phonological awareness, or textual competence
- 480 dependent variables, with the same random-effect structure as described before. SD children had
- 481 lower conceptual knowledge of the writing system scores than school-matched controls , χ_1^2
- 482 =10.37, p=.0019; the β weights for the difference between the control (baseline) and the SD and RSD
- 483 groups were equal to -.46 (s.e.=.22) and -0.87 (s.e.=.27), respectively. No statistically significant

- 484 difference was found between SD children and controls with respect to phonological awareness,
- 485 $\chi_1^2=.97$, p=.3240, or textual competence, $\chi_1^2=.92$, p=.3385.
- 486 RSD children also showed lower conceptual knowledge of the writing system scores than school-
- 487 matched controls, $\chi_1^2=.4.20$, p=.0403; no statistically significant difference was found between
- 488 RSD children and controls with respect to phonological awareness, $\chi_1^2=.12$, p=.7272, or textual
- 489 competence, $\chi_1^2=.49$, p=.4824.

490 **3.4 Reading performances in first grade**

- To confirm the severity hypothesis, that specific spelling impairment might be a residual problem of
- 492 pupils who have compensated earlier reading difficulties, we examined the reading performances of493 the three groups in first grade. According to the norms of the reading test used in this study (Cornoldi
- 494 and Colpo, 1998), the cut-off score to diagnose an impairment in reading fluency is .51
- 495 syllables/second (5th percentile). Control group pupils were reading .76 syllables/second (±.12). RSD
- 496 were already showing an impairment in reading in the first grade, as they were reading .40
- 497 syllables/second $(\pm.10)$. Instead, SD pupils just failed to meet the cut-off score of pathological
- 498 performance (.57±.18 syllables/second). In third grade, SD reading fluency performance improved
- 499 drastically (see table 1).

500 **4 Discussion**

501 This 4-year study followed a cohort of Italian children from the last year of kindergarten to the third

- 502 grade, when pupils were diagnosed with RSD or SD. Their kindergarten performance in conceptual
- knowledge of the writing system, their phonological awareness, and their textual competence were
- 504 retrospectively compared to the performance of a control group peers. Our main findings are
- 505 described below.

506 4.1 RSD and SD children versus NRS peers

- 507 In kindergarten, SD and RSD children show an impaired conceptual knowledge of the writing system 508 relative to control children without a reading and/or spelling disorder. The results from this cohort of 509 children confirmed the results of a previous study on Italian children with a reading disorder (Bigozzi 510 et al., 2016), and extend those finding to SD pupils too. In two previous studies (Pinto et al., 2009;
- 510 et al., 2016), and extend those finding to SD pupils too. In two previous studies (Pinto et al., 2009 511 2012) conceptual knowledge of the writing system was shown to be an important predictor of
- 512 spelling acquisition in first grade. This study extends the predictiveness of children's invented
- 512 spelling to the atypical learning trajectory of spelling too, as SD children were characterized by poor
- 514 performances in this measure. Moreover, we found no evidence of differences in phonological
- 515 awareness (in kindergarten) between SD, RSD and control group children, thus supporting the idea
- that phonological awareness shows a limited power in predicting RSD (Bigozzi et al., 2016; Pinto et
- al., 2015; Wimmer and Schurz, 2010). Our results thus suggest that SD and RSD are associated
- 518 disorders (Bates et al., 2006; Egan and Tainturier, 2011; Lyon et al., 2003).
- 519 That the conceptual knowledge of the writing system resulted to be the only statistically significant
- 520 predictor does not show that phonological awareness is unrelated to the development of spelling
- 521 skills (Babayiğit and Stainthorp, 2007; Vaessen and Blomert, 2013). Indeed, the conceptual
- 522 knowledge of the writing system is a complex task, which integrates different cognitive, perceptual
- 523 and grapho-motor activities, with a phonological load (phonological coding of the input,
- 524 identification of phonological units, ideation and choice of a transcoding system, and then execution

- 525 of the transcoding system). Thus, we speculate that phonological awareness is integrated within
- 526 conceptual knowledge of the writing system, rather than substituted by it, in agreement with previous
- theories stating that this factor is the medium through which phonological awareness exerts its effect 527
- 528 on reading skills (Ouellette and Sénéchal, 2008). Given the multicomponential nature of conceptual
- 529 knowledge of the writing system, besides the phonological load, other components could contribute
- 530 to the predictivity of this factor on RSD and SD. For instance, the impairment could take place at the 531
- level of the visual-motor integration (Adi-Japha and Freeman, 2001). Future studies should explore 532 these issues to increase our understanding of the specific contribution of conceptual knowledge of the
- 533 writing system.

534 4.2 **RSD children versus SD peers**

535 Our data show that SD and RSD children share a similar performance in phonological awareness and

536 textual competence, and similar impairment in conceptual knowledge of the writing system. This

537 result leaves still unanswered the question of whether the two clinical groups differ from each other

538 in performances in kindergarten predictors. SD and RSD pupils do not show any difference in terms

539 of performances in kindergartner skills.

540 We propose that RSD and SD children should be understood as belonging to two points on a

541 continuum, rather than having two distinct pathologies. Although RSD and SD have similar levels of

impairment in conceptual knowledge of the writing system, they show different spelling deficits, 542

543 with a different level of severity: a spelling disorder (low severity) and reading and spelling disorder

544 (high severity). We propose that this difference stems from the process of formal literacy. This

- 545 proposal is consistent with the idea that variations in reading and spelling performances are 546
- influenced by many biological and contextual factors, (e.g., literacy environment at home and quality

547 of instruction, see Hulme and Snowling, 2013).

548 The formalization and conventionalization that take place in primary school of the skills informally 549 involved in the conceptual knowledge of the writing system in kindergarten requires pupils to 550 perform two cognitive actions, spelling in writing and spelling in reading, with the former being 551 more difficult than the latter (Newman et al., 1993; Wimmer and Schurz, 2010). Because of the 552 asymmetry between the demands of spelling and reading in the formal setting, children diagnosed on the basis of a specific reading impairment, typically have writing problems too, while other pupils 553 554 only have a significant impairment in writing. In this sense, we agree with Pennington's severity 555 hypothesis (2006) and Newman et al.'s (1993) residual problem hypothesis: the specific spelling 556 impairment might be a residual problem of pupils who have managed to compensate for earlier mild 557 reading difficulties. The analysis of participants' reading performances in first grade supports this hypothesis, as SD pupils' reading performances just failed to meet the cut-off score of pathological 558 559 performance. However, the small sample sizes of the two clinical groups, SD and RSD, does not 560 allow us to exclude the existence of significantly differing levels of impairment in conceptual 561 knowledge of the writing system, which could also contribute to the potential explanation of the 562 differential manifestation of the spelling deficit in SD and RSD. These considerations might apply specifically to transparent writing systems. If spelling and reading are asymmetric in all languages, 563 564 such asymmetry is enhanced in transparent writing systems (Notarnicola et al., 2012; Wimmer and 565 Mayringer, 2002). Indeed, opaque orthographies might induce higher rates of a combined reading and spelling disorder, whereas transparent orthographies could create the conditions for children to 566 567 compensate their spelling difficulties when reading, especially by relying on the phonological route.

568 The main conclusion of this study is that RSD and SD children in a transparent writing system share 569 a common deficit among kindergartener's skills: conceptual knowledge of the writing system. As 570 Sampaio and Capellini (2014) highlighted, students who are exposed to literacy in a reflection-571 focused way show better literacy performances, as the orthographic processes become automatic and 572 they can draw their attention to the content of the text, rather than to the correct spelling of it. 573 Longitudinal studies on later reading and spelling performances may help identify early cognitive 574 predictors, although it is important to note that such predictors do not determine disorders in an all or 575 nothing way, as developmental interactions among early cognitive skills are likely and concur with 576 the genetic risk of the manifestations of symptoms (Hulme and Snowling, 2013). At the practical 577 level, identifying a plausible cognitive variable predicting later literacy disorders is critical for 578 planning for educational intervention. Conceptual knowledge of the writing system could be a target 579 skill to be included in screening tools for early identification of reading and spelling disorders. To 580 this aim, future research should test its sensitivity (i.e., the proportion of true positives identified) and 581 specificity (i.e., the proportion of true negatives identified), to validate invented spelling as a 582 screening system (Andrade et al., 2015). An early intervention on skills that can potentially hinder 583 the acquisition of reading and spelling can decrease the possibility of negative outcomes, also 584 preventing a decrease in motivation and self-imposing restrictions on the literacy activities that

585 children with a learning disorder often exhibit.

586 This study had several limitations. We found that phonological awareness is predictive of RSD when

- integrated with conceptual knowledge of the writing system. It would be interesting to consider
 different measures for phonological awareness, besides those used in the present study, as the impact
- of this construct on the prediction of reading and spelling disorders could depend on what component

is measured (see Germano and Capellini, 2011). Although we propose that reading and spelling

- 591 disorders share a common core (conceptual knowledge of the writing system), other explanations
- 592 may be possible, including impairment of other skills that are involved in the acquisition of
- 593 orthographic knowledge (e.g., RAN, such as the sensitivity to orthographic regularities, letter
- 594 knowledge and the implicit learning skills). Future studies should test the hypothesis that SD children
- are pupils who had previous reading difficulties and managed to resolve them. Moreover, future
- 596 studies should also explore the factors contributing to help SD children to better cope with their
- 597 reading difficulties.

598 **5 References**

- Adi-Japha, E., and Freeman, N. (2001). Development of differentiation between writing and drawing
 systems. *Developmental Psychology*, *37*: 101-114. doi:10.1037/0012-1649.37.1.101
- Afonso, O., Suárez-Coalla, P. and, Cuetos, F. (2015). Spelling impairments in Spanish dyslexic
 adults. *Frontiers in Psychology* 6: 1–10. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2015.00466.
- American Psychology Association (2013). *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders* (5th
 Ed.). Washington, DC: Author.
- Andrade, O.G., Andrade, P.E. and, Capellini, S.A. (2015).Collective screening tools for early
 identification of dyslexia. *Frontiers in Psychology* 5:1581. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.01581
- 607 Angelelli, P., Notarnicola, A., Judica, A., Zoccolotti, P. and, Luzzatti, C. (2010). Spelling
- impairments in Italian dyslexic children: phenomenological changes in primary school. *Cortex* 46,
 1299–1311. doi:10.1016/j.cortex.2010.06.015.

- 610 Babayiğit, S. and, Stainthorp, R. (2007). Preliterate phonological awareness and early literacy skills 611 in Turkish. *Journal of Research in Reading* 30, 394–413. doi:10.1111/j.1467-9817.2007.00350.x.
- 612 Barca, L., Burani, C., Di Filippo, G. and, Zoccolotti, P. (2006). Italian developmental dyslexic and
- 613 proficient readers: where are the differences? *Brain and Language* 98: 347–51.
- 614 doi:10.1016/j.bandl.2006.05.001.
- 615 Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B. and, Walker, S. (2014). lme4: Linear mixed-effects models using 616 eigen and s4. r package version 1.1-7. http://cran.r-project.org/package=lme4.
- Bates, T.C., Castles, A., Luciano, M., Wright, M.J., Coltheart, M. and, Martin, N.G. (2006). Genetic
- and environmental bases of reading and spelling: a unified genetic dual route model. *Reading and*
- 619 Writing 20, 147–71. doi:10.1007/s11145-006-9022-1.
- 620 Berninger, V.W, Nielsen, K.H., Abbott, R.D., Wijsman, E. and, Raskind, W. (2008). Gender
- differences in severity of writing and reading disabilities. *Journal of School Psychology* 46, 151–72.
 doi:10.1016/j.jsp.2007.02.007.
- 623 Berninger, V.W, Richards, T.L. and, Abbott, R.D. (2015). Differential diagnosis of dysgraphia,
- dyslexia, and owl ld: behavioral and neuroimaging evidence. *Reading and Writing* 28, 1119-1153.
- 625 doi:10.1007/s11145-015-9565-0.
- 626 Bigozzi, L., Tarchi, C., Pezzica, S., & Pinto, G. (2016). Evaluating the predictive impact of an
- 627 emergent literacy model on dyslexia in Italian children: A four-year prospective cohort study.
 628 *Journal of Learning Disabilities* 49, 51-64. doi:10.1177/0022219414522708.
- 629 Blaiklock, K.E. (2004). The importance of letter knowledge in the relationship between phonological
- 630 awareness and reading. Journal of Research in Reading 27, 36–57.doi:10.1111/j.1467-
- 631 9817.2004.00213.x.
- 632 Caravolas, M., Hulme, C. and, Snowling, M.J. (2001). The foundations of spelling ability: evidence
- 633 from a 3-year longitudinal study. *Journal of Memory and Language* 45, 751–74.
- 634 doi:10.1006/jmla.2000.2785.
- 635 Cornoldi, C. and, Colpo, G. (1998). *Prove Di Lettura MT-2 per La Scuola Primaria* [Reading test
 636 MT-2 for Primary school]. Florence, IT: Giunti O.S.
- 637 Dowker, A. and, Pinto, G. (1993). Phonological devices in poems by English and Italian children.
 638 *Journal of Child Language* 20, 697–706. doi:10.1017/S0305000900008540.
- Egan, J. and, Tainturier, M. (2011). Inflectional spelling deficits in developmental dyslexia. *Cortex*47, 1179–96. doi:10.1016/j.cortex.2011.05.013.
- 641 Germano, G.D. and, Capellini, S.A. (2011). Performance of students with dyslexia, learning
- 642 disabilities and learning difficulties in metaphonological abilities tests (PROHFON). *Jornal da*
- 643 *Sociedade Brasiliera de Fonoaudiologia* 23. doi: 10.1590/S2179-64912011000200010.
- 644 Germano, G.D., Reilhac, C., Capellini, S.A. and, Valdois S. (2014). The phonological and visual
- basis of developmental dyslexia in Brazilian Portuguese reading children. *Frontiers in Psychology* 5:
 1169. doi: 10.3389/fpsyg.2014.01169

- 647 Halekoh, U. and, Højsgaard, S. (2014). A Kenward-Roger approximation and parametric bootstrap
- 648 methods for tests in linear mixed models The R package pbkrtest. Journal of Statistical Software
- 649 59, 1–30. http://www.jstatsoft.org/v59/i09/.
- Hothorn, T., Bretz, F. and, Westfall, P. (2008). Simultaneous inference in general parametric models. *Biometrical Journal* 50, 346–63. doi:10.1002/bimj.200810425.
- Hulme, C. and, Snowling, M.J. (2013). Learning to Read: What we know and what we need to understand better. *Child Development Perspectives* 7, 1–5. doi:10.1111/cdep.12005.
- Kuznetsova, A., Brockhoff, P.B. and, Christensen, R.H.B. (2015). lmerTest: Tests in linear mixed
 effects models. http://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/lmerTest/index.html.
- 656 Landerl, K. and, Wimmer, H. (2008). Development of word reading fluency and spelling in a
- consistent orthography: An 8-Year follow-up. *Journal of Educational Psychology* 100, 150–61.
 doi:10.1037/0022-0663.100.1.150.
- Liberman, I.Y. (1971). Basic research in speech and lateralization of language: some implications for reading disability. *Bullettin of the Orton Society* 21, 72–87.
- 661 Lonigan, C.J., Burgess, S.R. and, Anthony, J.L. (2000). Development of emergent literacy and early
- reading skills in preschool children: Evidence from a latent-variable longitudinal study.
- 663 Developmental Psychology 36, 596–613. doi: 10.1037/0012-1649.36.5.596.
- Lyon, G.R., Shaywitz, S.E. and, Shaywitz, B.A. (2003). A definition of dyslexia. *Annals of Dyslexia*53, 1–14. doi:10.1007/s11881-003-0001-9.
- Lyytinen, H., Ahonen, T., Eklund, K., Guttorm, T., Kulju, P., Laakso, M. L., ... Viholainen, H.
 (2004). Early development of children at familial risk for dyslexia: Follow-up from birth to school age. *Dyslexia 10*, 146–78. doi:10.1002/dys.274.
- Moll, K., Kunze, S., Neuhoff, N., Bruder, J. and, Schulte-Körne, G. (2014). Specific learning
 disorder: Prevalence and gender differences. *PloS One* 9:e103537.doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0103537.
- Morken, F. and, Helland, T. (2013). Writing in dyslexia: Product and process. *Dyslexia* 19: 131–48.
 doi:10.1002/dys.1455.
- Newman, S., Fields, H. and, Wright, S. (1993). A developmental study of specific spelling disability. *British Journal of Educational Psychology* 63, 287–96. doi:10.1111/j.2044-8279.1993.tb01058.x.
- Niessen, N.L., Strattman, K. and, Scudder, R. (2011). The influence of three emergent literacy skills
 on the invented spellings of 4-year-olds. Communication Disorders Quarterly 32 (2): 93–102.
- 677 doi:10.1177/1525740110363624.
- 678 Nikolopoulos, D., Goulandris, N., Hulme, C., and, Snowling, M.J. (2006). The cognitive bases of
- learning to read and spell in Greek: Evidence from a longitudinal study. *Journal of Experimental Child Psychology* 94, 1–17. doi:10.1016/j.jecp.2005.11.006.

- 681 Notarnicola, A., Angelelli, P., Judica, A. and, Zoccolotti, P. (2012). Development of spelling skills in
- a shallow orthography: The case of Italian language. *Reading and Writing* 25, 1171–94.
- 683 doi:10.1007/s11145-011-9312-0.
- 684 Ouellette, G., and Sénéchal, M. (2008). Pathways to literacy: A study of invented spelling and its role 685 in learning to read." *Child Development* 79, 899–913. doi:10.1111/j.1467-8624.2008.01166.x.
- 686 Paulesu, E., Démonet, J.F., Fazio, F., McCrory, E., Chanoine, V., Brunswick, N., Cappa, S.F. et al.
- 687 (2001). Dyslexia: Cultural diversity and biological unity. *Science* 291: 2165–67.
- 688 doi:10.1126/science.1057179.
- Pennington, B.F. (2009). *Diagnosing Learning Disorders: A Neuropsychological Framework* (2nd
 Ed.). New York: Guilford Press.
- 691 Pennington, B.F. (2006). From single to multiple deficit models of developmental disorders.
- 692 *Cognition* 101, 385–413. doi:10.1016/j.cognition.2006.04.008.
- 693 Pinto, G., Bigozzi, L., Accorti Gamannossi, B., and Vezzani, C. (2012). Emergent literacy and early
- 694 writing Skills. *The Journal of Genetic Psychology 173*, 330–354.
- 695 doi:10.1080/00221325.2011.609848.
- 696 Pinto, G., Bigozzi, L., Accorti Gamannossi, B., and Vezzani, C. (2009). Emergent literacy and
- learning to write: a predictive model for Italian language. *European Journal of Psychology of Education 24*, 61–78. doi:10.1007/BF03173475-
- Pinto, G., Bigozzi, L., Tarchi, C., Accorti Gamannossi, B., & Canneti, L. (2015). Cross-lag analysis
 of longitudinal associations between primary school students' writing and reading skills. *Reading*
- 701 *and Writing* 28, 1–23. http://doi.org/10.1007/s11145-015-9569-9
- R Core Team. (2015). *R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing*. Vienna, AU.
 http://www.r-project.org.
- Raman, I., and Weekes, B.S. (2005). Deep dysgraphia in Turkish. *Behavioural Neurology* 16, 59–69.
 doi:10.1155/2005/568540.
- Read, C. (1971). Pre-school children's knowledge of English phonology. *Harvard Educational Review* 41, 1–34.
- 708 Sampaio, M.N., and Capellini, S.A. (2014). Intervention program efficacy for spelling difficulties.
- 709 *CoDAS* 26. doi:10.1590/2317-1782/201420140374. Retrieved from
- 710 http://www.scielo.br/scielo.php?script=sci_arttext&pid=S2317-17822014000300183
- 711 Sartori, G., Job, R., and Tressoldi, P.E. (2007). *Batteria per la Valutazione della Dislessia e della*
- 712 Disortografia Evolutiva [Battery for the assessment of dyslexia and dysorthographia]. Firenze, IT:
- 713 Giunti O.S.
- 714 Sikorska, K., Montazeri, N.M., Uitterlinden, A., Rivadeneira, F., Eilers, P.H.C., and Lesaffre, E.
- 715 (2015). GWAS with longitudinal phenotypes: Performance of approximate procedures. *European*
- 716 Journal of Human Genetics. doi:10.1038/ejhg.2015.1.

- 717 Spinillo, A.G., and Pinto, G. (1994). Children's narratives under different conditions: a comparative
- study. British Journal of Developmental Psychology 12, 177–93. doi:10.1111/j.2044-
- 719 835X.1994.tb00627.x.
- 720 Torppa, M., Parrila, R., Niemi, P., Lerkkanen, M.K., Poikkeus, A.M., and Nurmi, J.E. (2013). The
- 721 double deficit hypothesis in the transparent Finnish orthography: A longitudinal study from
- kindergarten to grade 2. *Reading and Writing* 26, 1353–80. doi:10.1007/s11145-012-9423-2.
- Vaessen, A., and Blomert, L. (2013). The cognitive linkage and divergence of spelling and reading development. *Scientific Studies of Reading* 17, 1–19. doi:10.1080/10888438.2011.614665.
- 725 Wechlser, D. (2006). Wechsler Intelligence Scale for Children III. Florence, IT: Giunti O.S.
- 726 Wimmer, H., and Mayringer, H. (2002). Dysfluent reading in the absence of spelling difficulties: a
- specific disability in regular orthographies. *Journal of Educational Psychology* 94, 272–77.
- 728 doi:10.1037//0022-0663.94.2.272.
- Wimmer, H., and Schurz, M. (2010). Dyslexia in regular orthographies: Manifestation and causation. *Dyslexia* 16, 283–99. doi:10.1002/dys.411.
- World Health Organization (1992). International Statistical Classification of Diseases and Related
 Health Problems Tenth Revision (2nd Ed). Geneva: Authors.
- 733 World Medical Association. (2013). Declaration of Helsinki: Ethical Principles for Medical
- 734 Research Involving Human Subjects. Fortaleza. Retrieved from
- 735 http://www.wma.net/en/30publications/10policies/b3/index.html
- 736 Ziegler, J.C, Bertrand, D., Tóth, D., Csépe, V., Reis, A., Faísca, L., Saine, N., Lyytinen, H., Vaessen,
- A. and Blomert, L. (2010). Orthographic depth and its impact on universal predictors of reading: A
- ross-language investigation. *Psychological Science* 21, 551–59. doi:10.1177/0956797610363406.
- 739 Zoccolotti, P., De Luca, M., Marinelli, C.V., and Spinelli, D. (2014). Modeling individual differences
- in text reading fluency: A different pattern of predictors for typically developing and dyslexic
- 741 readers. *Frontiers in Psychology* 5, 1–18. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2014.01374.
- 742 Zoccolotti, P., De Luca, M., and Spinelli, D. (2015). Discrete versus multiple word displays: A re-
- analysis of studies comparing dyslexic and typically developing children. *Frontiers in Psychology*6:1530. doi:10.3389/fpsyg.2015.01530.
- 745

746 **6 Tables**

- 747 Table 1
- 748 Cut-off scores, number and proportion of children falling below the cut-offs, reading speed
- 749 (syllable/seconds), reading accuracy (number of errors), and writing accuracy (number of errors) of
- control group, RSD and SD children in third grade (mean, standard deviations and range)

	Cut-off (5 th percentile)	N (%)	Control group	RSD	SD
Reading speed	1.18	18 (2.80)	3.5±1.2 (1.55-5)	1.15±.50 (.90-1.18)	3±1.1 (1.50-3.90)
Reading errors	13	18 (2.80)	4.9±3.50 (0-6)	15±4.3 (13-19)	5±4.8 (1-6)
Writing errors	11	31 (4.83)	4.31±3.50 (0-8)	14.50±2.80 (13-18)	15.30±3.50 (14-20)

- 751
- 752 Table 2
- 753 Descriptive statistics of kindergarten measures: mean and standard deviation (minimum; maximum)

Construct	Measure	Control group	RSD	SD
	Rhythm	1.05±.76 (0;2)	1.38±.59 (0;2)	.92±.76 (0;2)
Phonological	Rhyme	1.13±.80 (0;2)	1.52±.60 (0;2)	1.23±.73 (0;2)
Awareness	Alliteration	.64±.75 (0;2)	.90±.63 (0;2)	.67±.78 (0;2)
	Phonemes	1.04±.76 (0;2)	1.19±.51 (0;2)	.92±.64 (0;2)

	Notation	2.12±.65 (0;2.3)	1.50±.74 (0;3)	1.42±.73 (0;2.3)
Conceptual knowledge of a writing system	Sound quantity	1.54±.58 (0;2)	1.19±.66 (0;2)	1.17±.72 (0;2)
	Phonemic units	1.52±.91 (0;3)	1.14±.84 (0;3)	.88±.43 (0;1.5)
	Structure	2.04±1.53 (0;5)	1.71±1.23	1.67±.89 (0;3)
	Causal cohesion	.76±.58 (0;3)	.86±.36 (0;1)	1.17±.58 (0;2)
Textual competence	Temporal cohesion	1.28±.95 (0;3)	1.05±.67 (0;3)	1.00±.43 (0;2)
	Coherence	1.14±.69 (0;2)	1.00±.55	1.17±.72 (0;2)
Table 3		191		
Correlations between the three principal components, conceptual knowledge of the writing system (CKWS), phonological awareness (PA) and textual competence (TC).				

757	(CKWS), phonological awareness (PA) and textual competence (TC)

	CKWS	PA	TC	
CKWS	1.00			
PA	.26*	1.00		
TC	.23*	.28*	1.00	
Note. *p<.0001				

762 **7 Figures**

Figure 1. Plot representation of SD, RSD and control group in conceptual knowledge of the writingsystem in kindergarten

765

- Figure 2. Plot representation of SD, RSD and control group in phonological awareness in
- 767 kindergarten
- 768
- Figure 3. Plot representation of SD, RSD and control group in textual competence in kindergarten
- 770







