

Nominal quantification as top-level anaphora

MARIA BITTNER

Abstract

So far, we have focused on discourse reference to atomic individuals and specific times, events, and states. The basic point of the argument was that all types of discourse reference involve attention-guided anaphora (in the sense of Bittner 2012: Ch. 2). In particular, when grammatical verbal categories, such as *person* (PRN), *tense* (TNS), and/or *aspect* (ASP), are realized in a language, they involve discourse anaphora to a *top-ranked event* (speech act, $\top\varepsilon$, or last-mentioned event, $\perp\varepsilon$) and/or to a top-ranked discourse referent of a category-specific type—to wit, *individual*, $\top\delta$ or $\perp\delta$, for PRN; *time*, $\top\tau$ or $\perp\tau$, for TNS; or *state*, $\top\sigma$ or $\perp\sigma$, for ASP.

We now turn to discourses involving anaphora to and by quantificational expressions of various types. Today, we focus on quantification over individuals, but the analysis we develop will directly generalize to temporal quantification (over times, events, and/or states, see March 22) as well as modal quantification (over worlds, see March 29–April 5). The basic idea is to build on the classical Fregean theory of quantificational determiners as logical relations between sets of individuals, but to factor in attention-guided anaphora to an by quantificational expressions and to extend this approach to quantification to discourse referents of other types (including times, events, states, and ultimately, worlds).

To implement this research program we build on prior work on update with *plural information states* by van den Berg (1994, 1996) and Brasoveanu (2007). However, we revise these earlier update systems to improve intuitive transparency as well as generality. For example, we eliminate the ‘dummy individual’ (\star) from model structures. Maximization and distributivity are built into the type-neutral architecture instead of typed object-language operators. Generalization across types then follows automatically, without stipulating additional operators. Last but not least, we replace variable-based anaphora of van den Berg and Brasoveanu with attention-guided anaphora, implemented in extensions of UC_0 and UC_τ with plural discourse reference ($UC_{\delta+}$ and $UC_{\tau+}$, respectively). Quantification then emerges as one more species of top-level anaphora—to wit, anaphora to top-ranked sets.

Outline

1. Quantification as plural reference (vdBerg 1994, 1996, Brasoveanu 2007)
2. Quantification in *Update with Centering* (Bittner 2011, 2012)
3. “Quantifier subordination” as top-level anaphora
4. Quantifier scope: Topic over background

1 QUANTIFICATION AS PLURAL REFERENCE

- (1) i. *Al invited some friends.*
 ii. *Most people came and they had a good time together.*

• **Dynamic Plural Logic** (DPIL, vdBerg 1994, 1996, see Appendix A)

- i. contexts as *sets of assignments*, e.g. $J_0 \dots J_2$ below, w. *non-deterministic* update
 ii. predicates relate *sets of entities*, e.g. $\langle \{f_1\}, \{a\} \rangle \in \llbracket F^2 \rrbracket$, if f_1 is a friend of a
 iii. *distr. pred's*, e.g. C^1 for ‘came’, distribute over sets, e.g. $\{f_1\}, \{f_2\}, \{f_3\} \in \llbracket C^1 \rrbracket$
coll. pred's, including *quantifiers*, are predicated of sets, e.g. $\{f_1, f_2, f_3\} \in \llbracket \mathbf{2}^+ \rrbracket$

(2) J_0 Minimal info-state $J_0 = \{g_\star\}$,
 $x_1 \quad x_2 \quad x_3 \quad x_4 \quad \dots$ where ‘ \star ’ denotes the *dummy individual*
 $\star \quad \star \quad \star \quad \star$

i. *Al ...*

$\varepsilon_{x_1} \wedge \Delta_{x_1}(x_1 = al) \dots$

J_{1a}

Sample output for model $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathcal{D}, \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket \rangle$ s.t.

$x_1 \quad x_2 \quad x_3 \quad x_4 \quad \dots$ $\llbracket al \rrbracket = a$
 $a \quad \star \quad \star \quad \star$

... invited some friends.

$\dots \wedge \mathbf{M}_{x_2}(\varepsilon_{x_2} \wedge \Delta_{x_2}(F^2 x_2 x_1)) \wedge \mathbf{M}_{x_3}(\varepsilon_{x_3} \wedge \Delta_{x_3}(x_3 = x_2 \wedge I^2 x_1 x_3)) \wedge \mathbf{2}^+ x_3$

J_{1b}

$x_1 \quad x_2 \quad x_3 \quad x_4 \quad \dots$ Assume further:

$a \quad f_1 \quad f_1 \quad \star$ $\cup \{X \subseteq \mathcal{D} \mid \langle X, \{a\} \rangle \in \llbracket F^2 \rrbracket\} = \{f_1, \dots, f_5\} =: F_{1-5}$

$a \quad f_2 \quad f_2 \quad \star$ $\cup \{X \subseteq F_{1-5} \mid \langle \{a\}, X \rangle \in \llbracket I^2 \rrbracket\} = \{f_1, \dots, f_4\} =: F_{1-4}$

$a \quad f_3 \quad f_3 \quad \star$

$a \quad f_4 \quad f_4 \quad \star$

$a \quad f_5 \quad \star \quad \star$

ii. *Most people came and they had a good time together.*

$\Delta_{x_3}(P^1 x_3) \wedge \mathbf{M}_{x_4}(\varepsilon_{x_4} \wedge \Delta_{x_4}(x_4 = x_3 \wedge C^1 x_4)) \wedge \mathbf{most} x_3 x_4 \wedge G^1 x_4$

J_2

Assume further:

$x_1 \quad x_2 \quad x_3 \quad x_4 \quad \dots$ $\cup \{X \subseteq F_{1-4} \mid X \in \llbracket C^1 \rrbracket\} = \{f_1, \dots, f_3\} =: F_{1-3}$

$a \quad f_1 \quad f_1 \quad f_1$ $\cup \{X \subseteq F_{1-3} \mid X \in \llbracket G^1 \rrbracket\} = F_{1-3}$

$a \quad f_2 \quad f_2 \quad f_2$

$a \quad f_3 \quad f_3 \quad f_3$

$a \quad f_4 \quad f_4 \quad \star$

$a \quad f_5 \quad \star \quad \star$

2 QUANTIFICATION IN UPDATE WITH CENTERING

- ***UC₀ with plural reference*** (UC_{δ⁺}, Bittner 2011, 2012, see Appendix B)
 - i. contexts as *sets of sequence pairs*, e.g. c_1, \dots, c_8 below, w. *deterministic update*
 - ii. predicates relate *entities*, e.g. $\langle f_1, a \rangle \in \llbracket F^2 \rrbracket$, and $f_1, f_2, f_3 \in \llbracket C^1 \rrbracket$
 - iii. *collective pred's* are predicated of sums, e.g. $f_{1-3} := \sqcup \{f_1, f_2, f_3\} \in \llbracket G^1 \rrbracket$
card. pred's & quantifiers are predicated of sets, e.g. $F_{1-3} := \{f_1, f_2, f_3\} \in \llbracket 2^+ \rrbracket$

Table 2. New Drt-abbreviations (see Appendix C)

• static conditions (type t)

$$A \circ B \quad := \quad \exists u(u \sqsubseteq A \wedge u \sqsubseteq B)$$

$$A_a = \mathbf{max}(B_{at}) \quad := \quad A \in B \wedge \forall u_a(u \in B \rightarrow u \sqsubseteq A)$$

$$\emptyset A_{at} \quad := \quad A \neq \emptyset \wedge \forall u_a \forall v_a(u \in A \wedge v \in A \wedge u \circ v \rightarrow u = v)$$

• global projections (type $s(st)a$, for $a \in \text{DR}(\Theta)$)

$$A_{sa} \parallel^* \quad := \quad \lambda i_s \lambda I_{st} \lambda u_a (\exists j_s (Ij \wedge u = Aj))$$

$$(A \parallel_{B_1 \dots B_n})^* \quad := \quad \lambda i_s \lambda I_{st} \lambda u_a (\exists j_s (Ij \wedge B_{1i} = B_{1j} \wedge \dots \wedge B_{ni} = B_{nj} \wedge u = Aj))$$

⋮

- (3) i. AI^\top [invited] some friends[⊥].

$$\top[x | x =_i al]; (([x | \text{friend}\langle x, \top\delta \rangle]^\perp; [\text{invite}\langle \top\delta, \perp\delta \rangle]); [2^+ \{ \perp\delta \}])$$

c_1	c_2	c_3	$\checkmark c_3$
$\langle \langle a \rangle, \langle \rangle \rangle$	$\langle \langle a \rangle, \langle f_1 \rangle \rangle$	$\langle \langle a \rangle, \langle f_1 \rangle \rangle$	
	$\langle \langle a \rangle, \langle f_2 \rangle \rangle$	$\langle \langle a \rangle, \langle f_2 \rangle \rangle$	
	$\langle \langle a \rangle, \langle f_3 \rangle \rangle$	$\langle \langle a \rangle, \langle f_3 \rangle \rangle$	
	$\langle \langle a \rangle, \langle f_4 \rangle \rangle$	$\langle \langle a \rangle, \langle f_4 \rangle \rangle$	
	$\langle \langle a \rangle, \langle f_5 \rangle \rangle$		

- ii. Most people^\top

$$([\text{pers}\langle \perp\delta \rangle]; \top[X | X =_I \perp\delta]; [\emptyset \langle \top\delta t \rangle]^\top; ([\text{cm}\langle \perp\delta \rangle]; [\mathbf{most}\{ \top\delta t, \perp\delta \}])$$

$\checkmark c_3$	c_4	$\checkmark c_4$	c_5	$\checkmark c_5$
	$\langle \langle F_{1-4}, a \rangle, \langle f_1 \rangle \rangle$		$\langle \langle F_{1-4}, a \rangle, \langle f_1 \rangle \rangle$	
	$\langle \langle F_{1-4}, a \rangle, \langle f_2 \rangle \rangle$		$\langle \langle F_{1-4}, a \rangle, \langle f_2 \rangle \rangle$	
	$\langle \langle F_{1-4}, a \rangle, \langle f_3 \rangle \rangle$		$\langle \langle F_{1-4}, a \rangle, \langle f_3 \rangle \rangle$	
	$\langle \langle F_{1-4}, a \rangle, \langle f_4 \rangle \rangle$			

and they_⊥[⊥]

$$\top[x | x =_I \sqcup \{ \perp\delta \}]; [2^+ \langle \mathcal{A} \top\delta \rangle]; [\text{hv.good.time.tg}\langle \top\delta \rangle]$$

c_6	$\checkmark c_6$	$\checkmark c_6$
$\langle \langle f_{1-3}, F_{1-4}, a \rangle, \langle f_1 \rangle \rangle$		
$\langle \langle f_{1-3}, F_{1-4}, a \rangle, \langle f_2 \rangle \rangle$		
$\langle \langle f_{1-3}, F_{1-4}, a \rangle, \langle f_3 \rangle \rangle$		

had a good time together

- iii. *One girl*_T^T [*had a prior engagement*]_{one}
 ((^T[*x* | *girl*⟨*x*⟩, *x* ∈_i ⊤ δt]^T; [*hv.prior.eng*⟨⊤ δ⟩]); [**1**{⊤ δ||}])
 C₇ C₈ ✓C₈
 ⟨⟨f₃, f₁₋₃, F₁₋₄, a⟩, ⟨f₁⟩⟩
 ⟨⟨f₃, f₁₋₃, F₁₋₄, a⟩, ⟨f₂⟩⟩
 ⟨⟨f₃, f₁₋₃, F₁₋₄, a⟩, ⟨f₃⟩⟩
 ⟨⟨f₄, f₁₋₃, F₁₋₄, a⟩, ⟨f₁⟩⟩ ⟨⟨f₄, f₁₋₃, F₁₋₄, a⟩, ⟨f₁⟩⟩
 ⟨⟨f₄, f₁₋₃, F₁₋₄, a⟩, ⟨f₂⟩⟩ ⟨⟨f₄, f₁₋₃, F₁₋₄, a⟩, ⟨f₂⟩⟩
 ⟨⟨f₄, f₁₋₃, F₁₋₄, a⟩, ⟨f₃⟩⟩ ⟨⟨f₄, f₁₋₃, F₁₋₄, a⟩, ⟨f₃⟩⟩

3 “QUANTIFIER SUBORDINATION” AS TOP-LEVEL ANAPHORA

Observation: An indefinite introduced in the scope of a distributive quantifier can antecede a singular anaphoric pronoun in the next clause only if the pronoun, too, is in the scope of a distributive operator. (Karttunen 1976, Roberts 1987)

- (4) i. *Most*^T *chess-sets*^T
 (^T[*x* | *chess.set*⟨*x*⟩]^T; ((^T[*X* | *X* =_I ⊤ δ||]; [[∅]⟨⊤ δt⟩])^T; ...
 C₁ C₂
 ⟨⟨x₁⟩, ⟨⟩⟩ ⟨⟨X₁₋₄, x₁⟩, ⟨⟩⟩
 ⟨⟨x₂⟩, ⟨⟩⟩ ⟨⟨X₁₋₄, x₂⟩, ⟨⟩⟩
 ⟨⟨x₃⟩, ⟨⟩⟩ ⟨⟨X₁₋₄, x₃⟩, ⟨⟩⟩
 ⟨⟨x₄⟩, ⟨⟩⟩ ⟨⟨X₁₋₄, x₄⟩, ⟨⟩⟩
 [*came with a[±] spare pawn*]_{most}
 ([*x* | *spare.pawn*⟨*x*⟩, *come.with*⟨⊤ δ, x⟩]; [**most**{⊤ δt, ⊤ δ||}])
 C₃ ✓C₃
 ⟨⟨X₁₋₄, x₁⟩, ⟨y₁⟩⟩
 ⟨⟨X₁₋₄, x₂⟩, ⟨y₂⟩⟩
 ⟨⟨X₁₋₄, x₃⟩, ⟨y₃⟩⟩
- ii. DIS
 (^T[*X* | *X* =_I ⊤ δ||]; [[∅]⟨⊤ δt⟩])^T; ...
 C₄
 ⟨⟨X₁₋₃, X₁₋₄, x₁⟩, ⟨y₁⟩⟩
 ⟨⟨X₁₋₃, X₁₋₄, x₂⟩, ⟨y₂⟩⟩
 ⟨⟨X₁₋₃, X₁₋₄, x₃⟩, ⟨y₃⟩⟩
 [*It*]_{⊥T} [*was taped to the box*]_T.]_{DIS}
 ([⊥ δ =_I **max**{⊥ δ||_T δ}]; [**1**^A⊥ δ]); [*taped.to.box*⟨⊥ δ, ⊤ δ⟩]; [⊤ δt =_I ⊤ δ||])
 ✓C₄ ✓C₄ ✓C₄ ✓C₄

4 QUANTIFIER SCOPE: TOPIC OVER BACKGROUND

Model: Al's students $S := \{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$ had test, t , with questions $Q := \{q_1, q_2, q_3\}$
 Student s_1 answered q_1, q_2, q_3 ; s_2 answered q_1, q_2 ; and s_3 didn't answer anything.

(5)i. *Al's students*^T *had a test*⁺ (*today*).

$[x | x =_i \text{al}]$; ${}^T[x | x =_i \sqcup \text{std}^{\text{of}} \langle \perp \delta \rangle, \mathbf{2}^+ \langle {}^A x \rangle]$; $[x \text{ test} \langle x \rangle, \text{take} \langle \top \delta, x \rangle]$

C_1	C_2	C_3
$\langle \langle \rangle, \langle a \rangle \rangle$	$\langle \langle s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle a \rangle \rangle$	$\langle \langle s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle t, a \rangle \rangle$

ii. *Most*^T *kids*^T *[did well]*_{most}.

${}^T[x | \text{kid} \langle x \rangle, x \in_i {}^A \top \delta]$ T ; $(({}^T[X | X =_I \top \delta ||]; [{}^\emptyset \langle \top \delta t \rangle])$ T ; $([do.well.on \langle \top \delta, \perp \delta \rangle])$

C_4	C_5	$[most \{ \top \delta t, \top \delta _{\perp \delta} \}]$
$\langle \langle s_1, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle t, a \rangle \rangle$	$\langle \langle s_{1-3}, s_1, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle t, a \rangle \rangle$	$\langle \langle s_{1-3}, s_1, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle t, a \rangle \rangle$
$\langle \langle s_2, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle t, a \rangle \rangle$	$\langle \langle s_{1-3}, s_2, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle t, a \rangle \rangle$	$\langle \langle s_{1-3}, s_2, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle t, a \rangle \rangle$
$\langle \langle s_3, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle t, a \rangle \rangle$	$\langle \langle s_{1-3}, s_3, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle t, a \rangle \rangle$	

iii. *At least one*^T *student*_T ...

$([std \langle \top \delta \rangle]; {}^T[X | X =_i \top \delta ||_{\perp \delta}]; [{}^\emptyset \langle \top \delta t \rangle])$ T ; ...

$\checkmark C_6$	C_7
	$\langle \langle s_{1-2}, s_{1-3}, s_1, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle t, a \rangle \rangle$
	$\langle \langle s_{1-2}, s_{1-3}, s_2, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle t, a \rangle \rangle$

[answered every⁺ *question*⁺]_{at.least.one}

$(([x \text{ que}^{\text{on}} \langle x, \perp \delta \rangle]; [X | X =_I \perp \delta ||])$ ${}^+$; ...

C_8	C_9
$\langle \langle s_{1-2}, s_{1-3}, s_1, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle q_1, t, a \rangle \rangle$	$\langle \langle s_{1-2}, s_{1-3}, s_1, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle Q_{1-3}, q_1, t, a \rangle \rangle$
$\langle \langle s_{1-2}, s_{1-3}, s_1, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle q_2, t, a \rangle \rangle$	$\langle \langle s_{1-2}, s_{1-3}, s_1, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle Q_{1-3}, q_2, t, a \rangle \rangle$
$\langle \langle s_{1-2}, s_{1-3}, s_1, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle q_3, t, a \rangle \rangle$	$\langle \langle s_{1-2}, s_{1-3}, s_1, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle Q_{1-3}, q_3, t, a \rangle \rangle$
$\langle \langle s_{1-2}, s_{1-3}, s_2, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle q_1, t, a \rangle \rangle$	$\langle \langle s_{1-2}, s_{1-3}, s_2, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle Q_{1-3}, q_1, t, a \rangle \rangle$
$\langle \langle s_{1-2}, s_{1-3}, s_2, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle q_3, t, a \rangle \rangle$	$\langle \langle s_{1-2}, s_{1-3}, s_2, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle Q_{1-3}, q_2, t, a \rangle \rangle$
$\langle \langle s_{1-2}, s_{1-3}, s_2, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle q_3, t, a \rangle \rangle$	$\langle \langle s_{1-2}, s_{1-3}, s_2, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle Q_{1-3}, q_3, t, a \rangle \rangle$

$([ans \langle \top \delta, \perp \delta \rangle];$	$]_{\text{every } \perp \top}$	$]_{\text{at.least.one } \top}$
	$[\perp \delta t =_I \perp \delta _{\top \delta}]$);	$[\mathbf{1}^+ \{ \top \delta \}]$

C_{10}	C_{11}
$\langle \langle s_{1-2}, s_{1-3}, s_1, \dots \rangle, \langle Q_{1-3}, q_1, \dots \rangle \rangle$	$\langle \langle s_{1-2}, s_{1-3}, s_1, \dots \rangle, \langle Q_{1-3}, q_1, \dots \rangle \rangle$
$\langle \langle s_{1-2}, s_{1-3}, s_1, \dots \rangle, \langle Q_{1-3}, q_2, \dots \rangle \rangle$	$\langle \langle s_{1-2}, s_{1-3}, s_1, \dots \rangle, \langle Q_{1-3}, q_2, \dots \rangle \rangle$
$\langle \langle s_{1-2}, s_{1-3}, s_1, \dots \rangle, \langle Q_{1-3}, q_3, \dots \rangle \rangle$	$\langle \langle s_{1-2}, s_{1-3}, s_1, \dots \rangle, \langle Q_{1-3}, q_3, \dots \rangle \rangle$
$\langle \langle s_{1-2}, s_{1-3}, s_2, \dots \rangle, \langle Q_{1-3}, q_1, \dots \rangle \rangle$	
$\langle \langle s_{1-2}, s_{1-3}, s_2, \dots \rangle, \langle Q_{1-3}, q_2, \dots \rangle \rangle$	

Model: Al's students $S := \{s_1, s_2, s_3\}$ had test, t , with questions $Q := \{q_1, q_2, q_3\}$
 Student s_1 answered q_1 and q_2 ; s_2 answered q_2 ; and s_3 answered q_3 .

(6)i. *Al's students*^T *had a test*⁺ (today).
 $[x | x =_I al]; {}^T[x | x =_I \sqcup std^{of} \langle \perp \delta \rangle, \mathbf{2}^+ \langle {}^A x \rangle]; [x | test \langle x \rangle, take \langle \top \delta, x \rangle]$
 $\begin{matrix} C_1 & C_2 & C_3 \\ \langle \langle \rangle, \langle a \rangle \rangle & \langle \langle s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle a \rangle \rangle & \langle \langle s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle t, a \rangle \rangle \end{matrix}$

ii. *It*_⊥^T *was hard but doable*.
 ${}^T[x | x =_I \mathbf{max} \{ \perp \delta ||_{\top \delta} \}]; [\mathbf{1} \langle {}^A \top \delta \rangle]; [hard \langle \top \delta \rangle, doable \langle \top \delta \rangle];$
 $\begin{matrix} C_4 & \checkmark C_4 & \checkmark C_4 \\ \langle \langle t, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle t, a \rangle \rangle & & \end{matrix}$

iii. [*every*
 ${}^T[x | que^{on} \langle x, \top \delta \rangle] {}^T; (({}^T[X | X =_I \top \delta ||]; [{}^\emptyset \langle \top \delta t \rangle]) {}^T; \dots$
 $\begin{matrix} C_5 & C_7 \\ \langle \langle q_1, t, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle t, a \rangle \rangle & \langle \langle Q_{1-3}, q_1, t, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle t, a \rangle \rangle \\ \langle \langle q_2, t, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle t, a \rangle \rangle & \langle \langle Q_{1-3}, q_2, t, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle t, a \rangle \rangle \\ \langle \langle q_3, t, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle t, a \rangle \rangle & \langle \langle Q_{1-3}, q_3, t, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle t, a \rangle \rangle \end{matrix}$

[*at least one student ...*

 $([x | std \langle x \rangle, x \in_i {}^A \top \delta]) {}^\perp; ([X | X =_I \perp \delta ||]; [{}^\emptyset \langle \perp \delta t \rangle]) {}^\perp;$
 $\begin{matrix} C_8 & C_9 \\ \langle \langle Q_{1-3}, q_1, t, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle s_1, t, a \rangle \rangle & \langle \langle Q_{1-3}, q_1, t, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle S_{1-3}, s_1, t, a \rangle \rangle \\ \langle \langle Q_{1-3}, q_1, t, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle s_2, t, a \rangle \rangle & \langle \langle Q_{1-3}, q_1, t, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle S_{1-3}, s_2, t, a \rangle \rangle \\ \langle \langle Q_{1-3}, q_1, t, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle s_3, t, a \rangle \rangle & \langle \langle Q_{1-3}, q_1, t, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle S_{1-3}, s_3, t, a \rangle \rangle \\ \langle \langle Q_{1-3}, q_2, t, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle s_1, t, a \rangle \rangle & \langle \langle Q_{1-3}, q_2, t, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle S_{1-3}, s_1, t, a \rangle \rangle \\ \langle \langle Q_{1-3}, q_2, t, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle s_2, t, a \rangle \rangle & \langle \langle Q_{1-3}, q_2, t, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle S_{1-3}, s_2, t, a \rangle \rangle \\ \langle \langle Q_{1-3}, q_2, t, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle s_3, t, a \rangle \rangle & \langle \langle Q_{1-3}, q_2, t, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle S_{1-3}, s_3, t, a \rangle \rangle \\ \langle \langle Q_{1-3}, q_3, t, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle s_1, t, a \rangle \rangle & \langle \langle Q_{1-3}, q_3, t, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle S_{1-3}, s_1, t, a \rangle \rangle \\ \langle \langle Q_{1-3}, q_3, t, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle s_2, t, a \rangle \rangle & \langle \langle Q_{1-3}, q_3, t, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle S_{1-3}, s_2, t, a \rangle \rangle \\ \langle \langle Q_{1-3}, q_3, t, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle s_3, t, a \rangle \rangle & \langle \langle Q_{1-3}, q_3, t, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle S_{1-3}, s_3, t, a \rangle \rangle \end{matrix}$

answered

 $[ans \langle \perp \delta, \top \delta \rangle];$
 C_{10}
 $\begin{matrix} \langle \langle Q_{1-3}, q_1, t, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle S_{1-3}, s_1, t, a \rangle \rangle \\ \langle \langle Q_{1-3}, q_2, t, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle S_{1-3}, s_1, t, a \rangle \rangle \\ \langle \langle Q_{1-3}, q_2, t, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle S_{1-3}, s_2, t, a \rangle \rangle \\ \langle \langle Q_{1-3}, q_3, t, s_{1-3} \rangle, \langle S_{1-3}, s_3, t, a \rangle \rangle \end{matrix}$
 $]_{at.least.one} \perp \top$
 $[\mathbf{1}^+ \{ \perp \delta ||_{\top \delta} \}];$
 $\checkmark C_{10}$

*every question*_⊥

 $[\top \delta t =_I \top \delta ||]$
 $\checkmark C_{10}$

APPENDIX A:
DYNAMIC PLURAL LOGIC (DPIL, vdBerg 1996, unless noted)

D1 (DPIL basic expressions)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Var} &= \{x, y, z, \dots\} \\ \mathbf{Prd}^1 &= \{A^1, B^1, C^1, \dots, Z^1, \mathbf{1}, \mathbf{1}^+, \mathbf{2}, \mathbf{2}^+, \dots\} \\ \mathbf{Prd}^2 &= \{A^2, B^2, C^2, \dots, Z^2, \mathbf{most}, \mathbf{all}, \mathbf{no}\} \\ \mathbf{Prd}^3 &= \{A^3, B^3, C^3, \dots, Z^3\} \end{aligned}$$

D2 (DPIL syntax)

- i. $Ru_1 \dots u_n \in \mathbf{Wff}$, if $R \in \mathbf{Prd}^n$ & $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathbf{Var}$
- ii. $u_1 = u_2 \in \mathbf{Wff}$, if $u_1, u_2 \in \mathbf{Var}$
- iii. $\neg\varphi \in \mathbf{Wff}$, if $\varphi \in \mathbf{Wff}$
- iv. $(\varphi \wedge \psi) \in \mathbf{Wff}$, if $\varphi, \psi \in \mathbf{Wff}$
- v. $\varepsilon_u \in \mathbf{Wff}$, if $u \in \mathbf{Var}$
- vi. $\Delta_u(\varphi) \in \mathbf{Wff}$, if $u \in \mathbf{Var}$ & $\varphi \in \mathbf{Wff}$
- vii. $\mathbf{M}_u(\varphi) \in \mathbf{Wff}$, if $u \in \mathbf{Var}$ & $\varphi \in \mathbf{Wff}$

D3 (DPIL models, assignments, info-states)

\mathcal{M} . A DPIL-model is a structure $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{M}}, \star, \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathcal{M}} \rangle$ such that:

- i. $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is a non-empty set (of \mathcal{M} -entities) and $\star \notin \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{M}}$ (dummy)
 - ii. For $B \in \mathbf{Prd}^n$, $\llbracket B \rrbracket_{\mathcal{M}} \subseteq \{\langle X_1, \dots, X_n \rangle \mid \emptyset \subset X_i \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{M}}\}$
 - iii. $\llbracket n \rrbracket_{\mathcal{M}} = \{X: |X| = n\}$ $\llbracket \mathbf{most} \rrbracket_{\mathcal{M}} = \{\langle X, Y \rangle: |X \cap Y| > |XY|\}$
 $\llbracket n^+ \rrbracket_{\mathcal{M}} = \{X: |X| \geq n\}$: (other quantifiers)
- \mathbf{g} . $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{M}} := \{g \mid g: \mathbf{Var} \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{M}} \cup \{\star\})\}$ (set of \mathcal{M} -assignments)
 $g_{\star} \in \{g \mid g: \mathbf{Var} \rightarrow \{\star\}\}$ (default \mathcal{M} -assignment)
 $g[u/d] := (g \setminus \{\langle u, g(u) \rangle\}) \cup \{\langle u, d \rangle\}$ (u -to- d alternative to g)
- \mathbf{G} . An \mathcal{M} -info state is a set of \mathcal{M} -assignments. For any info-states $G, H \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{M}}$:
- $$\begin{aligned} G(u) &:= \{g(u): g \in G \ \& \ g(u) \neq \star\} && u \in \mathbf{Var} \\ G|_{u=d} &:= \{g \in G \mid g(u) = d\} && u \in \mathbf{Var}, d \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{M}} \cup \{\star\} \\ G|_{u \neq d} &:= \{g \in G \mid g(u) \neq d\} && u \in \mathbf{Var}, d \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{M}} \cup \{\star\} \\ G[u/d] &:= \{g[u/d]: g \in G\} && u \in \mathbf{Var}, d \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{M}} \cup \{\star\} \\ G[u/X] &:= \{g[u/d]: g \in G \ \& \ d \in X\} && u \in \mathbf{Var}, X \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{M}} \cup \{\star\} \\ G[u_1 \dots u_n]H &\text{ iff } G(u_1) = \dots = G(u_n) = \emptyset \\ &\ \& \ \forall d_1, \dots, d_n \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{M}}: G[u_1/d_1] \dots [u_n/d_n] = H[u_1/d_1] \dots [u_n/d_n] \end{aligned}$$

D4 (DPIL semantics). For any DPIL-model $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{M}}, \star, \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket_{\mathcal{M}} \rangle$ and info-states $G, H \subseteq \mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{M}}$:

- i. $\llbracket Ru_1 \dots u_n \rrbracket^{\mathcal{M}} = \{\langle G, G \rangle: \langle G(u_1), \dots, G(u_n) \rangle \in \llbracket R \rrbracket_{\mathcal{M}}\}$
- ii. $\llbracket u_1 = u_2 \rrbracket^{\mathcal{M}} = \{\langle G, G \rangle: G(u_1) = G(u_2)\}$ Murray 08
- iii. $\llbracket \neg\varphi \rrbracket^{\mathcal{M}} = \{\langle G, G \rangle: G \neq \emptyset \ \& \ \neg\exists H, K: \emptyset \subset H \subseteq G \ \& \ \langle H, K \rangle \in \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket^{\mathcal{M}}\}$ Brsvn07
- iv. $\llbracket (\varphi \wedge \psi) \rrbracket^{\mathcal{M}} = \{\langle G, H \rangle: \exists K (\langle G, K \rangle \in \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket^{\mathcal{M}} \ \& \ \langle K, H \rangle \in \llbracket \psi \rrbracket^{\mathcal{M}})\}$
- v. $\llbracket \varepsilon_u \rrbracket^{\mathcal{M}} = \{\langle G, H \rangle: G[u]H\}$ vdB diss+96
- vi. $\llbracket \Delta_u(\varphi) \rrbracket^{\mathcal{M}} = \{\langle G, H \rangle: G|_{u=\star} = H|_{u=\star} \ \& \ \forall d \in G(u): \langle G|_{u=d}, H|_{u=d} \rangle \in \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket^{\mathcal{M}}\}$
- vii. $\llbracket \mathbf{M}_u(\varphi) \rrbracket^{\mathcal{M}} = \{\langle G, H \rangle: \langle G, H \rangle \in \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket^{\mathcal{M}} \ \& \ \forall K: \langle G, K \rangle \in \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket^{\mathcal{M}} \Rightarrow K(u) \subseteq H(u)\}$ Brsvn07

D5 (DPIL-truth).

φ is true in \mathcal{M} , written $\mathcal{M} \models \varphi$, iff $\exists H: \langle \{g_{\star}\}, H \rangle \in \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket^{\mathcal{M}}$

APPENDIX B:

 UC₀ WITH PLURAL REFERENCE (UC_{δ+}, Bittner 2012: Ch. 5)

D1 The set of UC_{δ+} types is the smallest set Θ s.t.: (i) $t, \delta, s \in \Theta$, and (ii) $(ab) \in \Theta$ if $a, b \in \Theta$. The subset $\text{DR}(\Theta) = \{\delta, \delta t\}$ is the set of *discourse referent types*.

D2.1 A UC_{δ+} frame is a set $\mathcal{F} = \{\mathcal{D}_a \mid a \in \Theta\}$ such that:

- i. $\mathcal{D}_t = \{0, 1\}$ and \mathcal{D}_δ are non-empty disjoint sets
- ii. $\mathcal{D}_s = \bigcup_{n \geq 0, m \geq 0} \{\langle \langle d_1, \dots, d_n \rangle, \langle d'_1, \dots, d'_m \rangle \rangle : d_i, d'_j \in \mathcal{D}_{dr}\}$,
with $\mathcal{D}_{dr} = \bigcup \{\mathcal{D}_a : a \in \text{DR}(\Theta)\}$
- iii. $\mathcal{D}_{ab} = \{f \mid \emptyset \subset \text{Dom } f \subseteq \mathcal{D}_a \ \& \ \text{Ran } f \subseteq \mathcal{D}_b\}$

D2.2 An \mathcal{F} -mereology is a structure $\mathbf{M} = \langle \mathcal{D}_\delta, \sqsubseteq_\delta^A \rangle$ such that $\langle \mathcal{D}_\delta, \sqsubseteq_\delta \rangle$ is a join-semilattice and $\gamma \in^A x \Leftrightarrow \gamma \sqsubseteq_\delta x \ \& \ \forall z: z \sqsubseteq_\delta \gamma \rightarrow z = \gamma$

D3 A UC_{δ+} model is a tuple $\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{M}, \llbracket \cdot \rrbracket \rangle$ s.t. \mathcal{F} is a UC_{δ+} frame, \mathbf{M} is an \mathcal{F} -mereology, and $\llbracket \cdot \rrbracket$ maps $A \in \text{Con}_a$ to $\llbracket A \rrbracket \in \mathcal{D}_a$. Moreover, $\forall a \in \text{DR}(\Theta), i \in \mathcal{D}_s$:

$$\begin{aligned} \llbracket \top a \rrbracket(i) &\doteq ((\textcircled{1}i)_a)_1 & \llbracket \top' a \rrbracket(i) &\doteq ((\textcircled{1}i)_a)_2 & \llbracket \top^{\Rightarrow} a \rrbracket(i) &\doteq \{((\textcircled{1}i)_a)_n : n \geq 1\} \\ \llbracket \perp a \rrbracket(i) &\doteq ((\textcircled{2}i)_a)_1 & \llbracket \perp' a \rrbracket(i) &\doteq ((\textcircled{2}i)_a)_2 & \llbracket \perp^{\Rightarrow} a \rrbracket(i) &\doteq \{((\textcircled{2}i)_a)_n : n \geq 1\} \end{aligned}$$

D4 (UC_{δ+} syntax)

- i. $\text{Con}_a \cup \text{Var}_a \subseteq \text{Term}_a$
- ii. $(A = B) \in \text{Term}_t$, if $A, B \in \text{Term}_a$
 $(A \lesssim B) \in \text{Term}_t$, if $A, B \in \text{Term}_s$
 $(A \sqsubseteq B) \in \text{Term}_t$, if $A, B \in \text{Term}_\delta$
- iii. $\mathbf{n}(A), \mathbf{n}^+(A) \in \text{Term}_t$, if $A \in \text{Term}_{at}$ and $\mathbf{n} \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$
 $\mathbf{most}(A, B) \in \text{Term}_t$, if $A, B \in \text{Term}_{at}$
- iv. $\neg \varphi, (\varphi \wedge \psi) \in \text{Term}_t$, if $\varphi, \psi \in \text{Term}_t$
- v. $\exists u_a \varphi \in \text{Term}_t$, if $u_a \in \text{Var}_a$ and $\varphi \in \text{Term}_t$
- vi. $\lambda u_a (B) \in \text{Term}_{ab}$, if $u_a \in \text{Var}_a$ and $B \in \text{Term}_b$
- vii. $BA \in \text{Term}_b$, if $B \in \text{Term}_{ab}$ and $A \in \text{Term}_a$
- viii. ${}^A A \in \text{Term}_\delta$, if $A \in \text{Term}_\delta$
- ix. $(A_a \top \bullet B), (A_a \perp \bullet B) \in \text{Term}_s$, if $a \in \text{DR}(\Theta), A_a \in \text{Term}_a$ and $B \in \text{Term}_s$
- x. $(A \top; B), (A \perp; B) \in \text{Term}_{(st)st}$, if $A, B \in \text{Term}_{(st)st}$

D5 (UC_{δ+} semantics)

- i. $\llbracket A \rrbracket^g = \llbracket A \rrbracket$, if $A \in \text{Con}_a$
 $\llbracket A \rrbracket^g = g(A)$, if $A \in \text{Var}_a$
- ii. $\llbracket (A = B) \rrbracket^g = 1$, if $\llbracket A \rrbracket^g = \llbracket B \rrbracket^g$; else, 0
 $\llbracket (A \lesssim B) \rrbracket^g = 1$, if $\llbracket A \rrbracket^g \lesssim_s \llbracket B \rrbracket^g$; else, 0
 $\llbracket (A \sqsubseteq B) \rrbracket^g = 1$, if $\llbracket A \rrbracket^g \sqsubseteq_\delta \llbracket B \rrbracket^g$; else, 0
- iii. ABBR: If $A \in \text{Term}_{at}$, $\mathfrak{G} \llbracket A \rrbracket^g := \{d \in \mathcal{D}_a \mid \llbracket A \rrbracket^g(d) = 1\}$ (set characterized by A)
 $\llbracket \mathbf{n}(A) \rrbracket^g = 1$, if $|\mathfrak{G} \llbracket A \rrbracket^g| = n$; else, 0
 $\llbracket \mathbf{n}^+(A) \rrbracket^g = 1$, if $|\mathfrak{G} \llbracket A \rrbracket^g| \geq n$; else, 0
 $\llbracket \mathbf{most}(A, B) \rrbracket^g = 1$, if $|\mathfrak{G} \llbracket A \rrbracket^g \cap \mathfrak{G} \llbracket B \rrbracket^g| \geq |\mathfrak{G} \llbracket A \rrbracket^g \setminus \mathfrak{G} \llbracket B \rrbracket^g|$; else, 0

- iv. $\llbracket \neg \varphi \rrbracket^g = 1$, if $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket^g = 0$; else, 0
 $\llbracket (\varphi \wedge \psi) \rrbracket^g = 1$, if $\llbracket \varphi \rrbracket^g = 1$ and $\llbracket \psi \rrbracket^g = 1$; else, 0
- v. $\llbracket \exists u_a \varphi \rrbracket^g = 1$, if $\{d \in \mathcal{D}_a \mid \llbracket \varphi \rrbracket^{g[u/d]} = 1\} \neq \emptyset$; else, 0
- vi. $\llbracket \lambda u_a (B) \rrbracket^g(d) \doteq \llbracket B \rrbracket^{g[u/d]}$, if $d \in \mathcal{D}_a$
- vii. $\llbracket BA \rrbracket^g \doteq \llbracket B \rrbracket^g(\llbracket A \rrbracket^g)$
- viii. $\llbracket \mathcal{A}A \rrbracket^g \doteq \mathcal{A}(\llbracket A \rrbracket^g)$
- ix. $\llbracket (A \top \bullet B) \rrbracket^g \doteq \langle (\llbracket A \rrbracket^g \cdot \textcircled{1}\llbracket B \rrbracket^g), \textcircled{2}\llbracket B \rrbracket^g \rangle$
 $\llbracket (A \perp \bullet B) \rrbracket^g \doteq \langle \textcircled{1}\llbracket B \rrbracket^g, (\llbracket A \rrbracket^g \cdot \textcircled{2}\llbracket B \rrbracket^g) \rangle$
- x. $\text{c}\llbracket (A \top; B) \rrbracket^g = \{k \in \text{c}\llbracket A \rrbracket^g \llbracket B \rrbracket^g \mid \exists i \in \text{c} \exists j \in \text{c}\llbracket A \rrbracket^g \exists a \in \text{DR}(\Theta): (\textcircled{1}j)_1 \in \mathcal{D}_a$
 $\& \textcircled{1}i < \textcircled{1}j \& (\textcircled{1}j)_a = (\textcircled{1}k)_a \& \llbracket B \rrbracket^g \neq \llbracket B[\top a / \perp a] \rrbracket^g\}$
 $\text{c}\llbracket (A \perp; B) \rrbracket^g = \{k \in \text{c}\llbracket A \rrbracket^g \llbracket B \rrbracket^g \mid \exists i \in \text{c} \exists j \in \text{c}\llbracket A \rrbracket^g \exists a \in \text{DR}(\Theta): (\textcircled{2}j)_1 \in \mathcal{D}_a$
 $\& \textcircled{2}i < \textcircled{2}j \& (\textcircled{2}j)_a = (\textcircled{2}k)_a \& \llbracket B \rrbracket^g \neq \llbracket B[\perp a / \top a] \rrbracket^g\}$

APPENDIX C: DRT-ABBREVIATIONS FOR $\text{UC}_{\mathcal{S}^+}$

Table 1. Old Drt-abbreviations (as for UC_t)

• static terms ($a \in \text{DR}(\Theta)$)

$$(A \neq B) := \neg(A = B)$$

$$A = B \sqcup B' := B \sqsubseteq A \wedge B' \sqsubseteq A \wedge \forall u (B \sqsubseteq u \wedge B' \sqsubseteq u \rightarrow A \sqsubseteq u)$$

• (local) projections (type sa for $a \in \text{DR}(\Theta)$)

$$(A_a)^\circ := \lambda i(A)$$

$$(A_{sa})^\circ := \lambda i(Ai)$$

$$(B_{ab} A_{sa})^\circ := \lambda i(B (A)^\circ i)$$

• (local) conditions (type st)

$$B \text{ R}_i A := \lambda i(B^\circ i \text{ R } A^\circ i)$$

for $\text{R} \in \{=, \in, \dots\}$

$$B \langle A_1, \dots, A_n \rangle := \lambda i(B(A_1^\circ i, \dots, A_n^\circ i))$$

$$(C_1, C_2) := \lambda i(C_1 i \wedge C_2 i)$$

• updates (type $(st)st$)

$$[C] := \lambda I \lambda i(I i \wedge C i)$$

$$\top[u_1 \dots u_n] := \lambda I \lambda j(\exists u_1 \dots \exists u_n \exists i(I i \wedge j = (u_1 \top \dots (u_n \top \bullet i) \dots)))$$

$$[u_1 \dots u_n] := \lambda I \lambda j(\exists u_1 \dots \exists u_n \exists i(I i \wedge j = (u_1 \perp \bullet \dots (u_n \perp \bullet i) \dots)))$$

$$\top[u_1 \dots u_n \mid C] := \lambda I \lambda j(\exists u_1 \dots \exists u_n \exists i(I i \wedge C i \wedge j = (u_1 \top \bullet \dots (u_n \top \bullet i) \dots)))$$

$$[u_1 \dots u_n \mid C] := \lambda I \lambda j(\exists u_1 \dots \exists u_n \exists i(I i \wedge C i \wedge j = (u_1 \perp \bullet \dots (u_n \perp \bullet i) \dots)))$$

$$(D_1; D_2) := \lambda I \lambda j(D_2 D_1 I j)$$

$$\sim D := \lambda I \lambda j(I j \wedge \neg \exists k(j \lesssim k \wedge D I k))$$

Table 2. New Drt-abbreviations

• static conditions (type t)

$$A \circ B := \exists u(u \sqsubseteq A \wedge u \sqsubseteq B)$$

$$A_a = \mathbf{max}(B_{at}) := A \in B \wedge \forall u_a(u \in B \rightarrow u \sqsubseteq A)$$

$$\textcircled{\varnothing} A_{at} := \mathbf{2}^+ A \wedge \forall u_a \forall v_a(u \in A \wedge v \in A \wedge u \circ v \rightarrow u = v)$$

• *global projections* (type $s(st)a$, for $a \in \text{DR}(\Theta)$)

$$(A_a)^* := \lambda i_s \lambda I_{st}(A)$$

$$(A_{sa})^* := \lambda i_s \lambda I_{st}(Ai)$$

$$(A_{sa||})^* := \lambda i_s \lambda I_{st} \lambda u_a (\exists j(Ij \wedge u = Aj))$$

$$(A||_{B_1 \dots B_n})^* := \lambda i_s \lambda I_{st} \lambda u_a (\exists j(Ij \wedge B_1 i = B_{1j} \wedge \dots \wedge B_n i = B_{nj} \wedge u = Aj))$$

$$(B\{A||\dots\})^* := \lambda i_s \lambda I_{st}(B(A||\dots)^*iI)$$

• *global conditions* (type $s(st)t$)

$$B \text{ R}_I A := \lambda i_s \lambda I_{st}(B^*iI \text{ R } A^*iI)$$

for $\text{R} \in \{=, \in, \dots\}$

$$B\{A_1, \dots, A_n\} := \lambda i_s \lambda I_{st}(B(A_1^*iI, \dots, A_n^*iI))$$

• *global updates* (type $(st)st$)

$$[G] := \lambda I_{st} \lambda i_s (Ii \wedge GiI)$$

$${}^\top[u_a] G := \lambda I_{st} \lambda j_s (\exists u_a \exists i_s (Ii \wedge GiI \wedge j = (u^\top \cdot i)))$$

$$[u_a] G := \lambda I_{st} \lambda j_s (\exists u_a \exists i_s (Ii \wedge GiI \wedge j = (u^\perp \cdot i)))$$

Examples (C1–12) below illustrate how *Table 1* (T1) and *Table 2* (T2) can be used to turn Drt-abbreviations into ‘official’ UC $_{\delta^+}$ (Appendix B) for some updates in discourses (3)–(6):

C1 Test whether the input $\perp \delta$ -column contains at least two individuals (3i)

$$\begin{aligned} & [2^+ \{ \perp \delta \}] \\ & := \lambda I \lambda i (Ii \wedge (2^+ \{ \perp \delta \})iI) && \text{T2.}[G] \\ & := \lambda I \lambda i (Ii \wedge 2^+ (\perp \delta))iI && \text{T2.}B\{A_1 \\ & := \lambda I \lambda i (Ii \wedge 2^+ \lambda x_\delta (\exists j(Ij \wedge x = \perp \delta j))) && \text{T2.}(A_{sa||})^* \end{aligned}$$

C2 Introduce the local $\perp \delta$ -column as a topical set (3ii)

$$\begin{aligned} & {}^\top[X] X =_I \perp \delta \\ & := \lambda I \lambda j (\exists X_\delta \exists i (Ii \wedge (X =_I \perp \delta))iI \wedge j = (X^\top \cdot i)) && \text{T2.}{}^\top[u] G \\ & := \lambda I \lambda j (\exists X_\delta \exists i (Ii \wedge (X)^*iI = (\perp \delta))iI \wedge j = (X^\top \cdot i)) && \text{T2.}B \text{ R}_I A \\ & := \lambda I \lambda j (\exists X_\delta \exists i (Ii \wedge X = \lambda x_\delta (\exists j(Ij \wedge x = \perp \delta j)) \wedge j = (X^\top \cdot i))) && \text{T2.}(A_a)^*, (A_{sa||})^* \end{aligned}$$

C3 Test whether the local topic set consists of pairwise disjoint elements (3ii)

$$\begin{aligned} & [{}^\circ \langle \top \delta t \rangle] \\ & := \lambda I \lambda i (Ii \wedge ({}^\circ \langle \top \delta t \rangle)i) && \text{T1.}[C] \\ & := \lambda I \lambda i (Ii \wedge {}^\circ \langle \top \delta t^\circ i \rangle) && \text{T1.}B\langle A_1 \\ & := \lambda I \lambda i (Ii \wedge {}^\circ \langle \top \delta t i \rangle) && \text{T1.}(A_{sa})^\circ \\ & := \lambda I \lambda i (Ii \wedge 2^+ \top \delta t i \wedge \forall x_\delta \forall y_\delta (x \in \top \delta t i \wedge y \in \top \delta t i \wedge x \circ y \rightarrow x = y)) && \text{T2.}{}^\circ A \end{aligned}$$

C4 Test whether most of the elements of the local topic set are in the input $\perp \delta$ -column (3ii)

$$\begin{aligned} & [\mathbf{most}\{\top \delta t, \perp \delta\}] \\ & := \lambda I \lambda i (Ii \wedge (\mathbf{most}\{\top \delta t, \perp \delta\})iI) && \text{T2.}[G] \\ & := \lambda I \lambda i (Ii \wedge \mathbf{most}((\top \delta t)^*iI, (\perp \delta))iI) && \text{T2.}B\{A_1 \\ & := \lambda I \lambda i (Ii \wedge \mathbf{most}(\top \delta t i, \lambda x_\delta (\exists j(Ij \wedge x = \perp \delta j)))) && \text{T2.}(A_{sa})^*, (A_{sa||})^* \end{aligned}$$

C5 Introduce the sum of the input $\perp \delta$ -column as a topical individual (3ii)

$$\begin{aligned} & {}^\top[x] x =_I \sqcup \{ \perp \delta \} \\ & := \lambda I \lambda j (\exists x_\delta \exists i (Ii \wedge (x =_I \sqcup \{ \perp \delta \})iI \wedge j = (x^\top \cdot i)) && \text{T2.}{}^\top[u] G \\ & := \lambda I \lambda j (\exists X_\delta \exists i (Ii \wedge (x)^*iI = (\sqcup \{ \perp \delta \})^*iI \wedge j = (x^\top \cdot i)) && \text{T2.}B \text{ R}_I A \\ & := \lambda I \lambda j (\exists X_\delta \exists i (Ii \wedge x = \sqcup \lambda x_\delta (\exists j(Ij \wedge x = \perp \delta j)) \wedge j = (x^\top \cdot i))) && \text{T2.}(A_a)^*, B\{A_1 \end{aligned}$$

C6 Test whether the local topical individual is a plurality (at least two atomic parts) (3ii)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [2^+ \langle \mathcal{A} \top \delta \rangle] \\
 := & \lambda I \lambda i (Ii \wedge (2^+ \langle \mathcal{A} \top \delta \rangle) i) && \text{T1.}[C] \\
 := & \lambda I \lambda i (Ii \wedge 2^+ (\langle \mathcal{A} \perp \delta \rangle^\circ i)) && \text{T1.}B \langle A_1 \\
 := & \lambda I \lambda i (Ii \wedge 2^+ (\langle \mathcal{A} \top \delta i \rangle)) && \text{T1.}(B_{ab} A_{sa})^\circ
 \end{aligned}$$

C7 Introduce a girl from the local topic set as a topical individual (3iii)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \top [x | \text{girl} \langle x \rangle, x \in_i \top \delta i] \\
 := & \lambda I \lambda j (\exists x_\delta \exists i (Ii \wedge (\text{girl} \langle x \rangle) i \wedge (x \in_i \top \delta i) \wedge j = (x^\top \cdot i))) && \text{T1.} \top [u | C], (C_1, C_2) \\
 := & \lambda I \lambda j (\exists x_\delta \exists i (Ii \wedge \text{girl} (x)^\circ i \wedge (x)^\circ i \in (\top \delta i)^\circ i \wedge j = (x^\top \cdot i))) && \text{T1.}B \langle A_1, B_{R_i} A \\
 := & \lambda I \lambda j (\exists x_\delta \exists i (Ii \wedge \text{girl } x \wedge x \in \top \delta i \wedge j = (x^\top \cdot i))) && \text{T1.}(A_a)^\circ, (A_{sa})^\circ
 \end{aligned}$$

C8 Test whether the input $\top \delta$ -column contains exactly one individual (3iii)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [1 \{ \top \delta \}] \\
 := & \lambda I \lambda i (Ii \wedge (1 \{ \top \delta \}) i) && \text{T2.}[G] \\
 := & \lambda I \lambda i (Ii \wedge 1 (\top \delta) * i) && \text{T2.}B \{ A_1 \\
 := & \lambda I \lambda i (Ii \wedge 1 \lambda x_\delta (\exists j (Ij \wedge x = \perp \delta j))) && \text{T2.}(A_{sa} | |)^*
 \end{aligned}$$

C9 Test whether the local background individual ($\perp \delta i$) is the \sqsubseteq_δ -maximum of the $\perp \delta$ -column for the substate with the given local topic ($\top \delta i$) (4ii)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [\perp \delta =_I \mathbf{max} \{ \perp \delta |_{\top \delta} \}] \\
 := & \lambda I \lambda i (Ii \wedge (\perp \delta =_I \mathbf{max} \{ \perp \delta |_{\top \delta} \}) i) && \text{T2.}[G] \\
 := & \lambda I \lambda i (Ii \wedge (\perp \delta) * i = (\mathbf{max} \{ \perp \delta |_{\top \delta} \}) * i) && \text{T2.}B_{R_i} A \\
 := & \lambda I \lambda i (Ii \wedge (\perp \delta) * i = \mathbf{max} ((\perp \delta |_{\top \delta}) * i)) && \text{T2.}(B \{ A | \dots \})^* \\
 := & \lambda I \lambda i (Ii \wedge \perp \delta i = \mathbf{max} \lambda x_\delta (\exists j (Ij \wedge \top \delta i = \top \delta j \wedge x = \perp \delta j))) && \text{T2.}(A_{sa})^*, (A_{sa} | |)^*
 \end{aligned}$$

C10 Test whether the local topic set ($\top \delta i$) is the input $\top \delta$ -column (4ii)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [\top \delta =_I \top \delta] \\
 := & \lambda I \lambda i (Ii \wedge (\top \delta =_I \top \delta) i) && \text{T2.}[G] \\
 := & \lambda I \lambda i (Ii \wedge (\top \delta) * i = (\top \delta) * i) && \text{T2.} B_{R_i} A \\
 := & \lambda I \lambda i (Ii \wedge \top \delta i = \lambda x_\delta (\exists j (Ij \wedge x = \top \delta j))) && \text{T2.}(\cdot)^*
 \end{aligned}$$

C11 Introduce the plural sum of students of the local background ($\perp \delta i$) as a topic (5i)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \top [x | x =_i \sqcup \text{std}^{of} \langle \perp \delta \rangle, 2^+ \langle \mathcal{A} x \rangle] \\
 := & \lambda I \lambda j (\exists x_\delta \exists i (Ii \wedge (x =_i \sqcup \text{std}^{of} \langle \perp \delta \rangle) i \wedge (2^+ \langle \mathcal{A} x \rangle) i \wedge j = (x^\top \cdot i))) && \text{T1.} \top [u | C], (C_1, C_2) \\
 := & \lambda I \lambda j (\exists x_\delta \exists i (Ii \wedge x^\circ i = (\sqcup \text{std}^{of} \langle \perp \delta \rangle)^\circ i \wedge 2^+ (\langle \mathcal{A} x^\circ i \rangle) \wedge j = (x^\top \cdot i))) && \text{T1.}B \langle A_1, B_{R_i} A \\
 := & \lambda I \lambda j (\exists x_\delta \exists i (Ii \wedge x^\circ i = \sqcup \text{std}^{of} (\perp \delta)^\circ i \wedge 2^+ (\langle \mathcal{A} x^\circ i \rangle) \wedge j = (x^\top \cdot i))) && \text{T1.}(B_{ab} A_{sa})^\circ \\
 := & \lambda I \lambda j (\exists x_\delta \exists i (Ii \wedge x = \sqcup \text{std}^{of} (\perp \delta i) \wedge 2^+ (\langle \mathcal{A} x \rangle) \wedge j = (x^\top \cdot i))) && \text{T1.}(\cdot)^\circ
 \end{aligned}$$

C12 Test whether most of the elements of the local topic set are in the input $\top \delta$ -column for the substate with the given background (exam, $\perp \delta i$) (5ii)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [\mathbf{most} \{ \top \delta, \top \delta |_{\perp \delta} \}] \\
 := & \lambda I \lambda i (Ii \wedge (\mathbf{most} \{ \top \delta, \top \delta |_{\perp \delta} \}) i) && \text{T2.}[G] \\
 := & \lambda I \lambda i (Ii \wedge \mathbf{most} ((\top \delta) * i, (\top \delta |_{\perp \delta}) * i)) && \text{T2.}B \{ A_1 \\
 := & \lambda I \lambda i (Ii \wedge \mathbf{most} (\top \delta i, \lambda x_\delta (\exists j (Ij \wedge \perp \delta i = \perp \delta j \wedge x = \perp \delta j)))) && \text{T2.}(A_{sa})^*, (A_{sa} | |)^*
 \end{aligned}$$

C13 Test whether the local background set is the input $\perp \delta$ -column for the substate with the given topic (student, $\top \delta i$) (5iii)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & [\perp \delta i =_I \perp \delta |_{\top \delta}] \\
 := & \lambda I \lambda i (I i \wedge (\perp \delta =_I \perp \delta |_{\top \delta}) i I) && \text{T2.}[G] \\
 := & \lambda I \lambda i (I i \wedge (\perp \delta i)^* i I = (\perp \delta |_{\top \delta})^* i I) && \text{T2.B } R_I A \\
 := & \lambda I \lambda i (I i \wedge \perp \delta i = \lambda x_{\delta} (\exists j (I j \wedge \top \delta i = \top \delta j \wedge x = \top \delta j))) && \text{T2.}(\cdot)^*
 \end{aligned}$$

C14 Introduce the \sqsupset_{δ} -maximum of the $\perp \delta$ -column for the substate with the given local topic (sum of AI's students, $\top \delta i$) as a topic (6ii)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \top [x | x =_I \mathbf{max} \{ \perp \delta |_{\top \delta} \}] \\
 := & \lambda I \lambda j (\exists x_{\delta} \exists i (I i \wedge (x =_I \mathbf{max} \{ \perp \delta |_{\top \delta} \}) i I \wedge j = (x \top \cdot i))) && \text{T2.}\top [u | G] \\
 := & \lambda I \lambda i (I i \wedge (\perp \delta)^* i I = (\mathbf{max} \{ \perp \delta |_{\top \delta} \})^* i I) && \text{T2.B } R_I A \\
 := & \lambda I \lambda i (I i \wedge (\perp \delta)^* i I = \mathbf{max} ((\perp \delta |_{\top \delta})^* i I)) && \text{T2.}(B \{A | \dots\})^* \\
 := & \lambda I \lambda i (I i \wedge \perp \delta i = \mathbf{max} \lambda x_{\delta} (\exists j (I j \wedge \top \delta i = \top \delta j \wedge x = \perp \delta j))) && \text{T2.}(A_{sa})^*, (A_{sa} | B)^*
 \end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- Berg, M. van den. 1994. A direct definition of generalized dynamic quantifiers. *Proceedings of the 9th Amsterdam Colloquium*.
- Berg, M. van den. 1996. Dynamic generalized quantifiers. In *Quantifiers, Logic, and Language* (J. van der Does and J. van Eijck, eds.), CSLI, Stanford, 63–94.
- Bittner, M. 2011. Time and modality without tenses or modals. In *Tense across languages* (R. Musan and M. Rathert, eds.), Niemeyer, Tübingen, 147–88.
- Bittner, M. 2012. *Temporality: Universals and Variation*. Draft of Ch. 1–9. [http:// www.rci.rutgers.edu/~mbittner](http://www.rci.rutgers.edu/~mbittner)
- Brasoveanu, A. 2007. *Structured Nominal and Modal Reference*. Ph. D. thesis. Rutgers.
- Karttunen, L. 1976. Discourse referents. In *Syntax and Semantics*, Vol. 7 (J. McCawley, ed.), Academic Press, New York, 363–385.
- Murray, S. 2008. Reflexivity and reciprocity with(out) underspecification. *Proceedings of Sinn und Bedeutung 12*.
- Roberts, C. 1987. *Modal Subordination, Anaphora, and Distributivity*. Ph. D. thesis. University of Massachusetts at Amherst.