

Erratum to: Prior Publication and Redundancy in Contemporary Science: Are Authors and Editors at the Crossroads?

Sonia Maria Ramos de Vasconcelos · Miguel Roig

Published online: 18 November 2014
© Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2014

Erratum to: Sci Eng Ethics DOI 10.1007/s11948-014-9599-8

The quoted material on line 2 of the sixth paragraph was a sentence fragment. The complete sentence should read:

More than two decades later, an editorial in the NEJM (Angell and Kassirer 1991) revisiting the Ingelfinger rule makes it clear that “[they] ... exempt from the Ingelfinger Rule all presentations at scientific meetings and all published abstracts, as well as any media coverage based on them”.

Furthermore, in the reference list, Angell is misspelled Angel. The correct reference including DOI number is provided here.

Reference

Angell, M., & Kassirer, J. P. (1991). The Ingelfinger Rule revisited. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 325, 1371–1373. doi:[10.1056/NEJM199111073251910](https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199111073251910).

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:[10.1007/s11948-014-9599-8](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11948-014-9599-8).

S. M. R. de Vasconcelos (✉)
Science Education Program, Institute of Medical Biochemistry Leopoldo de Meis (IBqM), Federal University of Rio de Janeiro (UFRJ), Rio de Janeiro, Brazil
e-mail: svasconcelos@bioqmed.ufrj.br

M. Roig
Department of Psychology, St. John’s University, Staten Island, NY, USA