

ERRATUM

LLOYD HUMBERSTONE

IDENTICAL TWINS, DEDUCTION THEOREMS,
AND PATTERN FUNCTIONS: EXPLORING
THE IMPLICATIVE *BCSK* FRAGMENT OF **S5**¹

The author did not have an opportunity to correct proofs, as a result of which the paper, as printed in *Journal of Philosophical Logic* **35**(5): 435–487, contains several typographical errors, only the most serious of which are corrected here. The remainder are unlikely to hamper intelligibility.

The inset part of Proposition 1.3 on p. 438 should read as follows:

$$(i) \quad \|A \Rightarrow B\| = \begin{cases} W & \text{if } \|A\| = \|B\| \\ \|B\| & \text{if } \|A\| \neq \|B\|. \end{cases}$$
$$(ii) \quad \|A \rightarrow B\| = \begin{cases} W & \text{if } \|A\| \neq W \\ \|B\| & \text{if } \|A\| = W. \end{cases}$$

In the printed version, the occurrences of “*W*” at the end of the last two lines incorrectly appear as “ $\|W\|$ ”.

On the following page (p. 439), (2a) and (2b) should appear thus:

$$(2a) \quad \Gamma[\Rightarrow] \vdash_{BCSK} A[\Rightarrow] \text{ if and only if } \Gamma[\supset] \vdash_{CL} A[\supset]$$
$$(2b) \quad \Gamma[\rightarrow] \vdash_{BCSK} A[\rightarrow] \text{ if and only if } \Gamma[\supset] \vdash_{CL} A[\supset].$$

In the printed version all four occurrences of “ Γ ” are missing.

Monash University, Melbourne, Australia
E-mail: Lloyd.Humberstone@arts.monash.edu.au

¹The online version of the original article can be found at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10992-005-9023-6>