stop before $\epsilon^{\prime \prime \mu}{ }^{\prime}$ should be omitted. It is better, however, to keep the stop. Medea is here attempting to disarm Creon of his suspicions. In 303 she admits she is $\sigma o \phi \eta^{\prime}$, here she denies she is ä ${ }^{2}$ av $\sigma 0 \phi \eta$ '. 'But in spite of the different opinions people form about me I am not so very wise.' This rendering gives more force to $\delta$ é.
841. Mr. H. defends the MSS. reading which is usually considered corrupt. He refers $\pi$ ódes to Athens, and $\chi \chi^{\omega} \rho a$ to Attica. A comma is placed at ócíav, and the difficult $\mu \epsilon \tau^{\prime} \quad \ddot{a} \lambda \lambda \omega \nu$ is treated as a prolepsis and translated 'to associate with others.'
852. T $\epsilon \in \nu \omega \nu$ of the MSS. is defended as an obj. gen. dependent on the idea of the clause $\chi є \varphi \grave{L} . . . \tau o ́ \lambda \mu a v$. In spite of Mr. H.'s ingenious defence of this view, it is hard to accept it.
905. In this difficult line the ingenious conjecture of Mr. Walter Headlam is adopt-
 $\dot{\boldsymbol{e}} \boldsymbol{\pi} \epsilon \epsilon \sigma \dot{\alpha}$ ктovs is an excellent word, but it is hard to see why it was ousted by $\dot{a} \lambda \lambda$ doiovs, which however has no meaning here unless it can mean 'wrong,' i.e. different from right.
1053. The MSS. reading $\bar{\epsilon} \kappa \kappa \hat{\imath} \hat{\imath} \mu \in \theta^{\prime} \quad \hat{\eta} \mu \bar{\omega} \nu$ $\zeta \omega \nu \tau \epsilon s$ usually considered corrupt is retained, and defended by translating 'In the land of exile they will cheer thee, if they continue alive with me,' i.e. as I continue. $\mu \in \theta^{\prime} \dot{\eta} \mu \hat{\omega} \nu$
 mother does.'
1104. ovicos is read, but the translation of $\phi \rho o \hat{\delta} \delta o s$ 'the children's spirit of Life
vanishes to the world below,' calls for some remark. ф $\rho o \hat{v} \delta o s$ means ' gone,' 'vanished,' i.e. has the meaning of a perfect tense. Here it may be compared with the use of a perf. for a future, cf. Soph. Philoct. 75.

 poetical inversion. But as $\pi 0 \theta \epsilon \epsilon v o{ }^{\prime}$ is always passive, with סakpv́orat it could only mean 'desired,' i.e. followed by tears as L. and S. translate. This is so harsh that few will accept it.
In the very corrupt passage 1263-5 only one change is adopted $\pi i \tau v \in \iota \quad \tau^{\prime} \dot{\epsilon} \pi i$ for $\pi \iota \tau v o v v \tau^{\prime}$ ' $\bar{\pi} \grave{L}$. Mr. H.'s translation of the passage makes good sense in English, but it is hard to see how it is derived from the Greek.

In a second edition, if the editor would add notes on the following lines he would make his book still more useful. On 228 the reading $\gamma \not \gamma \nu \dot{\omega} \sigma \kappa \epsilon \tau \nu \kappa \alpha \bar{\omega}$ for which the editor reads $\gamma<\gamma v \omega ́ \sigma \kappa \omega$, might be mentioned, and a longer note on $\sigma \omega \omega \pi \eta$ hòs $\sigma o \phi o ́ s(320)$ would be useful. Attention might be called to the quotation in 522. A note might be added on túdaumovoítクv (1068) as contrasted

1293. The construction of $\gamma \hat{\eta} s$ and $\kappa \rho v \phi$ Aqua should be noticed. There is no note on the metrical irregularity in 1393. It remains to add that there is an excellent introduction, and a copious index.

W. E. P. Cotter.

## CORRESPONDENCE.

## AN UNKNOWN MS. OF THE AGRICOLA OF TACITUS.

The following note is from a dissertation by Dr. R. Wuensch on various MSS. of the Germania in Hermes xxxii. (1897) p. 59.

- Nach Abfassung dieser Zeilen hatte ich Gelegenheit, eine Germania-Handschrift der Capitular-Bibliothek von Toledo einzusehen, ueber deren Vorhandensein Herr Oberbibliothekar Dr. A. Holder mich gütigst belehrt hatte. Sie ist signiert num. 49, 2, geschrieben 1468-1474 von M. Angelus Tuders, Stadtschreiber von Foligno, und enthält ausser der Germania ...den Agricola und einige Plinius-briefe. Einen besonderen Werth scheinen die Lesarten dieser Handschrift nicht zu haben.'

Editors of the Germania may probably be
justified in thus summarily dismissing this M.S.; but to other students of Tacitus by far the most important fact is that it also contains the Agricola. Of this treatise only two MSS. are known, both of very late date, and traceable to some one wholly unknown original, and the existence of any third MS. of certainly not later date than these is a very interesting discovery. As the announcement does not seem to have been hitherto noticed, it is well here to direct attention to it, in the hope that some scholar may find an opportunity of giving us a full collation of this portion of the MS.
h. Furneaux.

