

Original citation:

Furtado, Vivek. (2017) Medicine, madness and murderers : the context of English forensic psychiatric hospitals. *Journal of Health Organization and Management*, 31 (5). pp. 598-611.

Permanent WRAP URL:

<http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/89042>

Copyright and reuse:

The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes this work by researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions. Copyright © and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in WRAP has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

Publisher's statement:

"This is a post-peer-review, pre-copyedit version of an article published in *Journal of Health Organization and Management*. The definitive publisher-authenticated version Furtado, Vivek. (2017) Medicine, madness and murderers : the context of English forensic psychiatric hospitals. *Journal of Health Organization and Management*, 31 (5). pp. 598-611., <https://doi.org/10.1108/JHOM-10-2016-0202> is available online at: <http://www.emeraldinsight.com/doi/abs/10.1108/JHOM-10-2016-0202?journalCode=jhom>

A note on versions:

The version presented here may differ from the published version or, version of record, if you wish to cite this item you are advised to consult the publisher's version. Please see the 'permanent WRAP url' above for details on accessing the published version and note that access may require a subscription.

For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: wrap@warwick.ac.uk

Medicine, madness and murderers: The context of English forensic psychiatric hospitals.

Approach

We used qualitative data collection (interviews and focus groups with staff and site visits to English forensic psychiatry hospitals) and our analysis was informed by Lefebvre's writings on space.

Purpose

The purpose of this paper is to add to our understanding of context by shedding light on the relationship between context and organisational actors' abilities to resolve ongoing challenges.

Findings

Responses to ongoing challenges were both constrained and facilitated by the context, which was negotiated and co-produced by the actors involved. Various (i.e. societal and professional) dimensions of context interacted to create tensions, which resulted in changes in service configuration. These changes were reconciled, to some extent, via discourse. Despite some resolution, the co-production of context preserved contradictions which mean that ongoing challenges were modified, but not resolved entirely.

Value

The paper highlights the importance of viewing context as co-produced in a continuous manner. This helps us to delineate and understand its dynamic nature and its relationship with the everyday actions and beliefs of the organisational actors concerned.

Introduction

Increasingly in recent years scholars have highlighted the importance of exploring context in order to understand attitudes and behaviours (Griffin, 2007; Johns, 2006) in the workplace. Context has been defined as dynamic ‘situational opportunities and constraints that affect the occurrence and meaning of organizational behaviour’ (Johns 2006: 386) and is multi-level in nature (Johns 2006; Pettigrew et al. 1992). A number of studies have explored the link between specific contextual factors and impacts on individuals or organisations (e.g. Mathieu et al. 2007). Various scholars have investigated the aspects of context which are likely to lead to acceptance or resistance (McDermott and Keating 2012; Barratt-Pugh and Bahn 2015) of novel practices (i.e. change) introduced in a ‘top down’ fashion, as well as the ways in which the adaptation of such practices by local actors facilitates implementation (Ansari et al. 2010; McDermott et al. 2013). Less attention has been paid to the relationship of context to ongoing challenges in the workplace which are not neatly resolved by workarounds (Campbell 2012) or contextual adaptations. This paper focuses on this issue and in particular the impact of context on organisational actors’ abilities to resolve such ongoing challenges.

Johns (2006) identifies three dimensions of context, i.e. task, social and physical and his categorisation has informed many subsequent studies. The dimensions provide sensitising concepts, but we need to understand more about the ways in which the various aspects of context interact in practice (Ashkanasy et al. 2014). Johns’ (2006) task dimension includes uncertainty, autonomy, accountability and resources. The social dimension is concerned with social density, the location of others within the organisational space, as well as social structure (differentiation of others according to role, gender, tenure and so on). It also covers social influence, which includes issues of power. John’s physical dimension comprises the built environment, but amongst the many authors who use Johns’ framework, there is a tendency to underplay or omit entirely the physical aspect of organisational context (e.g. Dierdoff 2012). Johns devoted very little attention to this apart from noting that it was understudied. More recently, Ashkanasy and colleagues (2014) highlight the need for further explanation of the relationship between the physical aspects of context and behaviours and attitudes; ‘we seem to lack understanding of the underlying processes whereby features of the office environment serve to determine employee behaviors and attitudes’ (2014: 1176). However, the tendency to see the physical dimension of context as a given, objective entity which acts in a deterministic fashion on behaviour threatens to limit our understanding of the potentially complex, dynamic and multi-dimensional relationships between context and behaviour. The contribution of this paper is to add to our understanding of context by shedding light on the relationship between context and organisational actors’ abilities to resolve ongoing challenges. It does so in a way which incorporates the physical dimension of context as a central, as opposed to peripheral, aspect of context. To do this, it draws on the writings of Henri Lefebvre to interpret data from a study of English forensic secure hospitals. These house mentally disordered patients who have committed

serious criminal offences. Lefebvre's ideas reflect a much more subjective orientation to space which overcomes some of the limitations of treating space as a given, objective entity as we explain below.

Lefebvre's views of space

Johns (2006) views the physical dimension of context as comprising the built environment, temperature, light, décor and so on. For Lefebvre the built environment is characterised by spaces and organisational space is both a thing and a set of processes and practices. This contradictory and ambiguous nature of social space represents a challenge to formal logic and means that it can only be understood dialectically. For Lefebvre, space cannot be viewed as an independent, pre-given, material reality. Instead, it is socially produced. Space can be described in terms of three dialectically interconnected processes: 'spatial practice', 'representations of space' and 'spaces of representation' respectively. These processes correspond to 'perceived', 'conceived' and 'lived' space.

Spatial practice structures daily life and is important for ensuring cohesion and continuity. This involves 'production and reproduction and the particular locations and spatial set characteristics of each social formation' (Lefebvre 1991: 33). 'Representations of space', or 'conceived space', refers to the space of professionals and technocrats and is the dominant space within a society. It is tied to the relations of production and to the order 'which those relations impose, and hence to knowledge, to signs, to codes, and to "frontal" relations' (1991:33). Because it is effectively the space of capital, conceived space has a 'substantial role and a specific influence in the production of space' (1991:42) whose 'objective expression' is in monuments, towers, factories and in the 'bureaucratic and political authoritarianism immanent to a repressive space' (1991:49). 'Spaces of representation' or lived space, refers to embodied experience of space as lived, which Lefebvre argues, is 'strangely different' from when it is thought about and perceived. Language is important in all of these processes. It acts as a basis for the social imaginary (i.e. a shared conception of the world and the place of citizens within it). However, language interferes with and distorts lived experience by limiting what can be said and how, thereby constraining action which might threaten existing spatial practice and representations of space (Lefebvre 1991).

Spatial practice is concerned with networks and interactions resting on a material or built environment. This spatial practice can be described linguistically ('a system of verbal (and therefore intellectually worked out) signs' Lefebvre 1991:39; Schmid 2008) and delimited as space, which constitutes a representation of space. This provides a frame of reference which facilitates spatial orientation. The lived space or order which 'overlays physical space' is 'the dominated- and hence passively experienced – space which the imagination seeks to change and appropriate' (Lefebvre 1991:39). This is the space of meaning and unlike representations of space, does not need to obey 'rules of consistency or cohesiveness' (Lefebvre 1991:41). Although three elements are identified as involved in the process of space production, Lefebvre's intention is not to suggest a fragmentation and

1
2
3 disconnection. Instead these three must be understood as inextricably implicated in the process of
4 producing social space. Furthermore analyses of local contexts must be grounded in an understanding
5 of macro level influences. For Lefevbre the state is an important actor involved in the co-production
6 of space which is mediated through diverse strategic political projects associated with modern
7 capitalism. The process is not simply one of the state acting on a given, malleable space. Instead the
8 state is continually reconstituted. Paying attention to nation states reminds us that local spatial
9 practices reproduce a spatial and political hierarchy.
10

11
12
13
14 For Lefevbre space and time cannot be reduced to a set of a priori concepts, but must be understood
15 by studying social constellations, power relations, and conflicts relevant to specific settings. It is also
16 important to understand the relation of these myriad local spatial practices to the 'whole'. The context
17 of these organisations and the work that happens within them must include consideration of the
18 modern state, therefore. Lefevbre's views here resonate with mainstream approaches which see
19 contexts as dynamic and multi-level (Johns 2006). At the same time, his analysis which is informed
20 by an assumption of ongoing contradictions provides a framework which adds to our
21 conceptualisation of context. We return to this point our discussion after describing our context and
22 methods and reporting on our empirical data.
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 **Forensic psychiatric provision in secure settings**

30
31 The empirical data are drawn from secure forensic psychiatric hospitals in England, which house
32 offenders who are mentally disordered. These organisations embody inherent contradictions relating
33 to the nature of the task (Johns 2006); residents are patients and at the same time offenders. The aim is
34 to rehabilitate and 'cure' patients in a caring environment, but patients are detained against their will
35 in a regime which applies pressure to comply with therapeutic interventions. A 'recovery' (Shepherd
36 et al. 2008) based approach to rehabilitation in contrast to the traditional medical models of treating
37 people with severe mental illness aims to empower patients. Yet these hospitals have at various times
38 been the subject of public inquiries which suggest an emphasis on producing places of incarceration
39 with staff engaged in excessive brutality and 'inflexible and over structured regimes' (Martin 1984:
40 55).
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 Changes have been made following successive inquiries into problems in these 'total institutions'
48 (Goffman 1961), aimed at shifting the hospitals away from incarceration and containment to clinical
49 care and treatment (Evans & Oyeboode, 2000). There has also been some recognition of the need to
50 lessen the isolation of high secure hospitals by pursuing closer integration with wider services and
51 transferring patients to less secure services where possible (Bartlett, 1993; Evans & Oyeboode, 2000).
52 However, the Fallon Inquiry into security failures at one hospital resulted in changes which have been
53 criticised for treating residents as groups to be provided with regimes, rather than as patients with
54 individual needs (Exworthy and Gunn 2003). These reforms might be interpreted as exacerbating a
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 situation in which aspects of the physical and task dimensions of the context (Johns 2006) contribute
4 to the naturalisation of the patient as a depersonalised unit.
5

6
7 Historically, the need to contain and segregate 'mad' individuals (Prior 1988) from the rest of society
8 meant that asylums were set in large grounds, removed from major centres of population. Two of the
9 three English high secure hospitals are housed in buildings dating from the late nineteenth and early
10 twentieth century in what were formerly known as asylums. '[B]y structuring therapeutic settings, in
11 both their architectural layout and the permissible use of space' the result for patients is that 'the
12 everyday gets smaller as the professional gets larger' (Bartlett 1994: 172). The restrictions on patient
13 freedoms are influenced by professional views and actions since in relation to the task dimensions of
14 context doctors enjoy a high degree of autonomy. In addition mandatory obligations (Department of
15 Health, 2008; 2010; 2011) require security standards to conform to those of Category B prisons
16 devised by the National Offender Management Service (NOMS) (Department of Health, 2008, 2010).
17 Best practice guidelines for medium secure settings contain extensive specifications for maintaining
18 security, specifying aspects of the physical context such as the minimum height of the perimeter, the
19 frequency of its inspections, the requirement for electronically controlled air locks and alarms,
20 systems for key management, control of visitors, illicit items and so on (Royal College of
21 Psychiatrists College Centre for Quality Improvement 2014). These can be seen as conveying to staff
22 and patients that highly structured management and loss of agency is normal within these walls. In
23 2000 a commitment was made to expand medium secure provision and as part of the 'Accelerated
24 Discharge Programme', around 400 patients were discharged to medium secure facilities (Department
25 of Health 2000). Since the late 1990s there has been a growth in the number of forensic psychiatrists
26 and the number and range of medium secure facilities. The latter are a mixture of purpose built and
27 adapted facilities.

28
29 The recovery and rehabilitation model does not cater for the many patients who will never leave
30 secure settings (Harty et al. 2004). In the Netherlands, for example, such patients reside in a space
31 many miles away from the secure hospitals. They are not subject to medically intensive psychiatric
32 treatment and are likely to enjoy a superior quality of life compared to patients in English high secure
33 hospitals. They have greater freedoms than patients in secure hospitals and the purpose-built
34 dwellings reflect a conception of space as a home, despite its carceral purpose.
35
36
37
38

39 40 41 42 43 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60

Methods

The paper draws on a larger study aimed at providing a comprehensive description of long-stay patients in high and medium secure settings, to inform future service developments. The paper uses interviews with 22 doctors (Consultant forensic psychiatrists), all of which were digitally recorded and transcribed verbatim. Some of the interviews were conducted on a face to face basis, but most (n=18) were undertaken by telephone. We used a mixture of purposive and snowball sampling to

1
2
3 recruit participants across a broad geographical area. Initially we contacted psychiatrists who were
4 members of an advisory group informing commissioning decisions because we wanted to speak to
5 individuals who might have a broad, as well as local knowledge. Additionally, since our study was
6 aimed at making recommendations for change, we hypothesised that these people would be well
7 placed to comment on alternative models of service. All interviews were digitally recorded and
8 transcribed verbatim. We also spent a day in each of three 'long stay' secure forensic facilities where
9 we visited wards, met and talked with staff and patients. For two of these visits we made notes as soon
10 as we left the facility as we were not allowed to take in recording equipment. At the other visits we
11 held a focus group with staff (2 nurses, 2 psychiatrists and 1 psychologist) and digitally recorded this.
12 We also held two focus groups at a forensic psychiatry conference each comprising 3 psychiatrists
13 and 2 members of the research team. The interview questions and focus group discussions explored
14 the ways in which service provision currently operated, as well as views on possible alternatives to
15 current arrangements. Data also included notes relating to the layout and physical environment of the
16 setting. Doctors from 20 different facilities were interviewed as our aim was to understand differences
17 between facilities. In addition to these day long structured visits, we also visited 2 high secure NHS
18 hospitals, 4 NHS medium secure units 4 independent sector medium secure units, spending between
19 90 minutes and 2 hours in each. We made notes about the nature of the facilities and the narratives
20 that doctors provided about them.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 Initially a small number of the interviews were coded thematically using NVivo software. Emerging
32 themes were discussed amongst team members and disagreements resolved and queries clarified. This
33 process continued during data collection and was used to modify the interview topic guide to
34 incorporate new areas of investigation as the study progressed. This also informed the focus group
35 discussions and site visits and related coding. There was no prior intention to use a particular
36 theoretical framework for data analysis, although during the initial process of analysis we began to
37 explore approaches to theorising our findings and Lefebvre's work provided a useful conceptual tool.
38 We went beyond merely identifying common themes to examine how space was produced as well as
39 exploring differences and reasons why these might occur. All quotes used are from interviews unless
40 otherwise specified.
41
42
43
44
45
46

47 **Findings**

48 *Spaces suffused with ideology*

49
50
51
52 Spaces convey ideologies, ways of seeing the world which privilege some interpretations over others.
53 Whilst individuals are involved in the production of space, there are limits on the ways they can
54 engage in spatial production. They enter into spaces characterised by spatial practices and
55 representations of space which exist prior to them. To understand the 'here and now' of space
56 production, awareness of what went before and continues to exert an influence on what happens now
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 is essential. Doctors' accounts conveyed the influence on practice of the broader state regulatory
4 regime within which hospitals operate. Some doctors described historical changes imposed by the
5 state, which had diminished quality of life and access to spaces inside the hospital. These reinforced
6 the isolated nature of the social space and reduced the number and diversity of participants who could
7 contribute to its production. Boundaries between the hospital and the outside world had become less
8 permeable as a result.

9
10
11
12
13 'Following things like Fallon and the kind of reviews of security.football teams from outside
14 used to come and play the patients and things like that and the community used to come in a lot
15 more ...and we don't have any of that any more, nothing like that. It's very isolated really and a
16 bit more contained now here and a lot more secure in terms of that. But I think the patients felt
17 more integrated, part of the world rather than very far removed. I think that's certainly a quality
18 of life issue' (ID 4).

19
20
21
22
23 At the same time, others pointed to the positive influence of the state in disrupting the old order and
24 compelling staff to engage in a recovery focused approach, despite the fact that the old asylum
25 buildings in which they worked were not initially intended for this. Praise was articulated for the
26 government initiated accelerated discharge programme aimed at ensuring that patients required this
27 level of security and were not languishing on wards with no clear aim in sight. The reason for this
28 review was that for some patients, these hospitals had become places of containment, rather than a
29 means to a rehabilitative end. Although doctors identified constraints arising from the nature of the
30 buildings in which they worked, it was possible for changes to be made in these settings.

31
32
33
34
35
36 'we work with an estate that's 150 years old. ... I don't know if the building would
37 accommodate to changes... what we found in 2001... there were a lot of people before the
38 accelerated discharge programme about who the kind of assumptions had been made and in
39 practice it wasn't that hard to move many of them on'. (ID 8)

40
41
42
43 The State's emphasis on active treatment and rehabilitation and a move away from institutionalisation
44 has helped to fuel the growth of forensic psychiatry as a profession. It has increased from '2
45 professors and 18 consultants confined to working in a few grim special hospitals' (Turner and Salter
46 2008) in 1970 to around 260 consultant doctors today. General psychiatrists have looked on enviously
47 as the State, against a background of an increasing preoccupation with risk (Beck 1992), has diverted
48 resources away from general mental health provision and towards forensic services (Turner and Salter
49 2008). The rationale for forensic psychiatry as a discipline is to provide specialist treatment and
50 representations of space and spatial practices reinforce this. In addition to physical and procedural
51 security (69 standards), professional guidance (Royal College of Psychiatrists College Centre for
52 Quality Improvement 2014) sets out standards for relational security (7 standards) which includes
53 staff training and access to an accredited psychotherapist at least once a month to support supervision
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 and reflective practice. These standards are shorter and much less concrete, referring to training and
4 regular meetings compared with detailed specifications covering for example locks, furniture, lighting
5 and the banning of shrubs close to the perimeter.
6

7
8 Linked to the 'softer' nature of relational security requirements, changes in the training of forensic
9 psychiatrists was seen by some older psychiatrists as having a negative impact on the way the
10 psychiatrists now worked. This had implications for the production of space in these settings.
11
12

13
14 'I'm probably the last generation of psychiatrists who worked in the old asylums and was
15 exposed to some of that literature about community life and so forth. ...but we've got a whole
16 generation of psychiatrists who haven't got any idea I think....also... psychiatrists are not very
17 well skilled at thinking about ward dynamics. Even though it's in their relational security
18 document...they're not trained any more, they don't do any psychological therapy training...and
19 they hive off all the psychology work to psychologists. And I think that that's had a terrible
20 negative effect'. (ID 17).
21
22
23
24

25 This suggests that there is a tension between the representations of space outlined in guidance and the
26 lived experience of psychiatrists. Such tensions are understandable in a context where various
27 stakeholders have expectations which prioritise risk management over patient freedoms. Medical
28 professionals are powerful relative to their patients, but such professionals enter spaces which
29 constrain professional actions and beliefs. Psychiatrists are aware of such constraints and these have
30 implications for the production of space in these settings.
31
32
33
34

35 'there are a number of other stakeholders in a patient's trajectory through secure care which have
36 a bearing on this so it's not just simply the consultant forensic psychiatrist making a decision
37 about what happens, you have the Ministry of Justice, you have victim issues, you have a whole
38 lot of factors like that.... And even if they are not explicit in playing a role it would at least be in
39 the mind of the person who is looking after the patient I don't think that psychiatrists are that
40 interested in the effectiveness really to be perfectly honest. I think what they're concerned about
41 is risk.... Our treatments are fairly feeble actually in their efficacy'. (ID 22)
42
43
44
45
46

47 This also highlights that individuals are not empty vessels, but bring baggage when they enter the
48 space. Doctors reported that patients who arrived at medium secure hospitals brought with them
49 expectations about the use of space. Most of the medium secure facilities we visited, in contrast to the
50 high secure hospitals, were located close to urban conurbations. Their location, close to population
51 centres meant that doctors reported restrictions having to be imposed on patients. In high secure units
52 with perimeter fences, patients may have access to grounds and outdoor areas in a way which is not
53 possible in medium secure facilities.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3
4
5 'For those who come from [high secure hospital] sometimes we've had a bit of a difficulty
6 because they have high expectations and they think they're just coming here and it's a year and
7 going into the community. When they know they have to stay longer they become a bit
8 disillusioned While they're roaming over the whole of [high secure hospital] it's OK
9 because it has got a perimeter fence but we don't here. Our grounds are open.... They come from
10 a lot of leave within the grounds and then they go to the workshops and things like that just
11 limiting them to the building' (ID 21)
12
13
14
15

16
17 At the same time, doctors reported that patients did not always conform to expectations, with some,
18 for example, refusing to 'step down' to lower levels of security because this would mean losing their
19 *en suite* facilities. Doctors' comments suggested limits to the domination of space by what Lefebvre
20 might conceptualise as the state and its agents. They also highlighted a mismatch between the service
21 as conceived by planners and perceived by professionals on the one hand and the lived experience of
22 patients on the other. As we describe in the following section, the patients are not the only people
23 whose lived experience of space differs from space as conceived and perceived.
24
25
26
27

28 *Reconfiguring space*

29

30 The emphasis on recovery and rehabilitation has implications for spatial practice and representations
31 of space. Doctors appeared to be heavily engaged in the production of therapeutic spaces, almost
32 regardless of whether or not the patient would benefit from these therapeutic interventions. Talking
33 about patient pathways, almost all doctors appeared to conceptualise the process in terms of an
34 'admission, treatment, rehabilitation, cure' trajectory, with little or no acceptance that not all patients
35 would fit this model. The Mental Health Act (2007) requirement to offer 'appropriate treatment' is
36 embedded in the concrete spaces of treatment rooms and embodied in the presence and practice of
37 various health professionals whose rationale is to provide treatment. The result is that spaces are
38 perceived in terms of treatment, with treatment becoming an end in itself. Spatial practices and
39 structures appear to exercise constraints on what can be thought, in a context where the social
40 imaginary does not include ideas about radically different spatial configurations. The absence of
41 alternative provision for 'long stay' patients who are unlikely to leave means that doctors focus on
42 existing spaces and spatial practices, however deficient. At the same time, many do not see these as
43 deficient, since these are part of the 'taken for granted' within these spaces.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51

52
53 'We do offer appropriate treatment. So we have things like occupational therapy, integrated
54 therapies, we offer adapted sex offender treatment programme, adapted fire setters
55 programme...I think even if someone's been there for twenty years you should still be trying to
56 do something ... Now I know you can get all sorts of interpretations of what offering appropriate
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 treatment is but to my mind it has to be something a little bit more than just saying well there's
4 24 hour nursing care. I know there have been high court judgements that have said
5 appropriateness in care, 24 hour nursing care, is appropriate treatment but I think that becomes
6 just warehousing of people really'. (ID3)
7
8
9

10 The phrase 'warehousing' was used often by psychiatrists who raised objections to cessation of
11 treatment. It resonates with the idea of 'anti-place', as a site which disempowers its inhabitants (Casey
12 1997). Successful legal appeals by patients to limit 'appropriate treatment' to nursing care are
13 dismissed by this doctor as part of a process in which doctors' views carry more weight than those of
14 patients. At the same time, the insistence on treatment also implies a consistent project to prevent
15 patients contributing to the production of a sense of place beyond the planners' intended use. Yet
16 since patients do not fit neatly into the spaces that planners and doctors have conceived for them,
17 changes were being made to accommodate them. Doctors' lived experience of space was at odds with
18 the concrete buildings, guidelines and practices which characterised their daily working life. Patients
19 did not readily conform to expectations implied in representations of space as places of recovery.
20
21
22
23
24

25
26 'we still have sexual offenders who have predatory behaviour even on the ward. They need that
27 kind of context of management and they need all the security. You can't take them anywhere.
28 They don't engage in therapy. They don't realise anything is wrong with them. And basically
29 they're just not changing'. (Focus Group 1 – ID1)
30
31
32

33 Additionally, in some sites, there was a growing recognition that mixing 'long stay' and other patients
34 was problematic. This was leading to changes in the production of space as these doctors described.
35
36

37 'It's a smaller ward. It has accommodated the fact that it will have a group of higher profile and
38 longer stay patients, there for an extended period of time. ...we shouldn't have too many people
39 coming in and moving off elsewhere... And not having the ward unsettled by too high a turnover
40 I think is important.... a lot of the patients say they prefer it here, they feel there, there's less
41 bullying there, they feel more relaxed there and their mental states have improved as a
42 consequence of being there'. (ID8)
43
44
45
46

47 At one site we visited staff described how they had travelled to another facility catering for 'long stay'
48 patients to learn from their experiences. There they noticed that despite the professionals saying that
49 patients were not left to lie in their rooms all day, which was seen as part of the ethos of making the
50 place more like home, various patients were sleeping on couches in the lounge during the day. They
51 resolved not to buy three seater couches to prevent this from happening at their new facility. Here the
52 emphasis was on quality of life and building a long term community. Though patients' views about
53 what constitutes a normal quality of life might be disregarded if they involved daytime sleeping.
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 The extent to which patients in 'long stay' facilities could personalise their rooms differed across sites
4 as did the range of activities and facilities available to patients. Some patients in medium secure
5 facilities had access to Skype to enable them to keep in touch with relatives and access to
6 pornographic material was made available based on an assessment of individual patients. The
7 emphasis and espoused ethos was to provide patients with a good quality of life and an existence
8 which was as normal possible, implicitly and occasionally explicitly as the quote below from a doctor
9 one month after starting on a new 'long stay' ward illustrates.

14 'On the current ward I'm on, they're going to die there. I don't have the option. They'll only go
15 to a care home. Well a hospital because I can't send them to a care home...which is kind of
16 depressing. It's not a ward I've worked on before and I was looking forward to it but ...' (Focus
17 group 2 – ID3)

21 The espoused ethos was based on a mostly implicit recognition of the fact that rather than being
22 temporary residents, this would be the patients' home for many years, if not forever. However, there
23 were limits to such normality and sexual activity was not permitted. There is no national policy
24 preventing this, but in the absence of such a policy staff are free to apply their own judgment. Staff
25 attitudes in the settings we visited contrasted with those in other countries such as Germany and the
26 Netherlands where sexual activity between patients or with an outside partner is permitted (Majid
27 2015). Doctors explained the need to protect vulnerable patients and highlighted the fact that many
28 patients were sexual offenders, implying that they saw engaging in a sexual relationship as an obstacle
29 to recovery (Brown et al. 2014). These responses may reflect the broader social and cultural context in
30 which forensic units are situated, with less liberal views regarding sexual relationships in the UK than
31 the Netherlands for example (Brown et al. 2014) and they imply clear constraints on 'normal' living
32 and quality of life. Furthermore, whilst spaces which encouraged the development of a sense of
33 community were seen in a positive light, the nature of the community's residents meant that tensions
34 between allowing freedoms and enforcing constraints required a delicate balance. Patients were not
35 merely passive recipients in a dominated space, or to the extent that they were, there was always
36 potential for this to change.

46 'a few patients have used [the phrase]"the brotherhood" and they feel like it's 'us' against
47 'them' and we need to stand up together for our rights. I also am beginning to get the feeling that
48 because they...are quite close to each other there might be an element of them not wanting to
49 move off the ward because that comes with its own anxieties and they wouldn't know if they'll
50 have the same friendships and groups that they have with us... .we have had incidents where
51 they have grouped up in communal areas and we thought that was extremely dangerous for staff
52 because it's quite possible to have fifteen people...who know each other very well and if they
53 decide to cause trouble there's very little that anyone can do'. (ID19)

Knowing spaces

The doctors in our study appeared at times to be conflicted and this can be conceptualised as concerned with different ways of knowing space. At one level the received wisdom or knowledge ('savoir' Lefebvre 1991) is that patients must be helped to recover. Yet their embodied experience suggests to them that there are some patients for whom this will never be possible, at least in the sense of recovery being synonymous with cure and discharge. This meant that doctors described and in our visits took us around spaces for patients who were not progressing. They explained that the focus was on improved quality of life and reduced medical input since such patients were unlikely to respond to treatment and equally unlikely to leave. At the same time, at the level of language, they insisted that patients would move on.

'Size is something that probably wasn't determined scientifically but was a consequence of the ward that was available that was refurbished and the size is such that it is probably quite cheap to run... The therapeutic input has decreased a little in recent years....But you know at the end of the day, it's not just a secure warehouse and it can't be. It has to be an environment that enables people to move on' (ID9).

Lefebvre distinguishes between *savoir* and *connaissance*. The former is rationalist, instrumental, disciplinary and therefore state-dependent knowledge. The latter, in contrast, does not serve power, but 'is a form of knowing which refuses to accept power' (1991: 10). This is a more local, embodied form of knowing. For Lefebvre, lived space 'is dominated space—and hence passively experienced—space which the imagination seeks to change and appropriate' (1991: 39). Lefebvre's work on language is important here. Doctors were opposed to the use of the phrase 'Long Stay' to denote spaces for patients who stayed for a long time and perhaps would never leave, with its implications of failure. Such language challenges the conceived and perceived (by doctors) space, which is a place of rehabilitation and recovery. When we talked to psychiatrists and visited these facilities for patients who were not progressing we found that they were variously named 'slow stream rehabilitation', 'enhanced recovery' and 'continuing care'.

Medical input was reduced but at the level of language, there was a reluctance or refusal to accept that some of these patients would not be discharged. The use of particular forms of language, enabled doctors who were involved in planning the use of these spaces, to initiate a process of transforming them, whilst continuing to insist that these were spaces of transition and recovery. These labels helped convey to the outside world that the activities therein conformed to the representations of space. However, this did not appear to be a cynical device for warding off state regulatory attention. Instead these labels appeared to help doctors cope with the tensions manifest in these two ways of knowing about space for these patients.

Discussion and conclusions

The findings are helpful in illuminating the relationship between context and ongoing challenges in the workplace in a number of ways. We can think of the physical dimension of context (Johns 2006) as characterised by hospitals which constrain patients, reflecting relations of domination. This built environment also influences staff perceptions and attitudes and simultaneously contributes to the challenges related to the task faced by staff. The task dimension of the context (Johns 2006) involves exercising both a protection and a policing role for patients who are a 'risk to self and others' (Bean 1987). Psychiatrists are also influenced by professional norms and values and in terms of the social dimensions of context (Johns 2006) forensic psychiatry is a relatively small community nationally. However, drawing on Lefebvre's view of space as co-produced in a continuous manner helps us to delineate and understand the dynamic nature of this context and its relationships with the everyday actions and beliefs of the organisational actors concerned.

Lefebvre's emphasis on studying social constellations, power relations, and conflicts relevant to specific settings is also helpful in drawing our attention to the importance of examining power relationships in specific contexts. In terms of the ongoing challenges in this setting, psychiatrists are powerful relative to other staff and certainly patients have much less say in this process than other participants. At the same time, although doctors enjoy a great degree of autonomy, they are also highly accountable. Their concern with risk suggests that containing patients is important to them and their views carry significant weight in decisions about patients' futures. Their power does not extend to being able to cure patients for whom no effective treatment exists and this challenge is not amenable to easy resolution. Furthermore, doctors contribute to the production of space, but they enter into a process of space production which is already infused with prevailing values and ideologies which act to constrain what is possible and thinkable. For Lefebvre investigation and understanding of the spatial requires that we locate it in historical and social contexts. Rather than viewing challenge simply as created by the task, therefore, we need to understand the history of scandals and state responses to concerns which help to explain specific moments in the production of space, as well as their enduring influence. This adds to our understanding of why the task has changed over time, as well as the reasons why challenges cannot be easily resolved by 'simply' changing the task.

In addition, Lefebvre's emphasis on the role of the state in spatial production draws our attention to the multi-dimensional nature of contexts and the ways in which relationships and practices which are physically many miles away contribute to challenges in the local setting. For Lefebvre attention needs to be paid to the macro and micro, since the former 'weighs down on the lower or 'micro' level on the local and the localizable' (Lefebvre 1991: 366). The importance of doing this is reinforced in a setting where the modern state is an ensemble of coalitions and alliances incorporating forms of (medical) professional expertise (Johnson 1995). Whilst state legislation and regulation has implications for our

1
2
3 context, there are clear limits to the power of the state. Lefebvre emphasised the state's role in
4 assisting and promoting capital accumulation, but in the modern age, space production involves
5 struggles amongst a range of stakeholders (e.g. workers, capitalists, managers, politicians) so that
6 space is not just the product of capital's requirements (Massey 1995). Secure hospitals serve a
7 function of containing public fears and anxieties and stories in tabloid newspapers do little to
8 encourage an emancipatory project. The social imaginary, which constructs the inhabitants of secure
9 hospitals as particular kinds of dangerous people, and is concerned with containment and punishment,
10 rather than rehabilitation, is difficult to challenge. The formal expressions of use and configuration of
11 bricks and mortar reinforce this message, as does the absence of alternative spaces, such as those in
12 the Netherlands, for 'long term' patients needing secure environments. At the same time, in the
13 context of the recovery movement and the abhorrence of old style asylums which led to state
14 sponsored closure, representations of space and spatial practices reinforce this view. State policy
15 reflects a backlash against the old order, which oversaw large numbers of patients languishing in
16 asylums where clinical neglect was rife (Pilgrim and Rogers 1999). States are not simply powerful
17 actors; they are also attempting to reconcile competing demands from the various groups on whose
18 support they rely. The state is a key part of our context, but the relationship is not simply a linear one
19 therefore.
20

21
22 Another way in which the relationship between context and ongoing challenges in the workplace is
23 illuminated by Lefebvre's approach concerns his emphasis on language and the importance of
24 discursive and symbolic practice in helping to explain the production of space in specific settings. In
25 our study the conceived space and space as initially perceived by doctors in some cases was at odds
26 with their embodied experience. What was articulated was contrary to doctors' lived experiences. For
27 Lefebvre language is alienating in the sense that it separates meaning from the body and everyday
28 sensory experiences. Language is 'dangerous' since it 'allows meaning to escape the embrace of lived
29 experience, to detach itself from the fleshly body' (1991: 203). Ways of talking about things,
30 "figures of speech" give birth to a form, that of coherent and articulate discourse, which is analogous
31 to a logical form, and above all...they erect a mental and social architecture above spontaneous life'
32 (1991: 140). The language used by our participants enables a coherent account to be given, which is
33 consistent with the recovery discourse. Language can inhibit the process of emancipation since it acts
34 as an interstice which filters and distorts the emotional and sensory responses of lived space. 'The
35 salvation of knowledge (connaissance) depends entirely on a re-examination of its established forms
36 (savoir)...Collusion between knowledge and power must be forcefully exposed' (1991: 414-5). In the
37 case of these hospitals, alternatives for 'long stay' patients might be purpose built premises, far away
38 from the hospital, aimed at improving quality of life for patients. This process has happened in the
39 Netherlands but such alternatives do not appear to resonate with the individual or social imaginary.
40 Most psychiatrists did not openly challenge accepted wisdom or question the basis on which official
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 'knowledge' was generated. At the same, however, language did not obstruct change. Instead
4 although it did not enable doctors to verbalise radical thinking, it did appear to facilitate change, albeit
5 of a less radical nature. Doctors' discursive practices involved avoidance of particular labels and
6 related connotations and adoption of others as part of the process of creating spaces for patients who
7 did not conform to the rehabilitation and recovery model. This allowed them to retain the language of
8 rehabilitation, whilst modifying treatment regimes and spaces.
9

10
11
12 Not all psychiatrists were in agreement about the benefits of creating 'slow stream rehabilitation'
13 spaces. Amongst those who were, there exist different models which are characterised by varying
14 levels of medical input. There is a possibility, however, that over time, such spaces may increase in
15 number, with some form of consensus about their nature at least at the level of conceived space. This
16 would result in a more explicit acknowledgment of the nature of spatial production and one which is
17 acceptable in local contexts and within the broader state regulatory regime within which hospitals
18 operate. Whether the language used to describe such facilities changes over time remains to be seen.
19
20
21
22
23

24 **Conclusion**

25
26
27 This paper's contribution is to demonstrate the importance of viewing context as co-produced in a
28 continuous manner. It also illustrates the powerful relationship between the dynamic and subjective
29 nature of context and the ability of organisational actors to resolve ongoing challenges. The paper
30 uses Lefebvre's framework to place the physical dimension of context at the centre, as opposed to the
31 periphery, of analysis. A final point concerns the importance of dialectical thinking and Lefebvre's
32 use of the concept of sublation (or *Aufhebung*). In contexts which embody contradictions, sublation
33 implies both preservation and change (Kauffman 1966). In Lefebvre's dialectics, a contradiction when
34 sublated does not reach a final state or resolution. Instead, although in one sense the contradiction is
35 overcome or negated, it is also maintained and further developed. For the psychiatrists, the
36 contradiction between containment and rehabilitation is overcome by the creation of specific spaces
37 for housing 'long stay' patients. At the same time, these changes represent a development of the
38 contradiction. It appears in a different form, involving new spatial practices and representations of
39 space, but is preserved nevertheless. Of course this does not imply that this preservation should be
40 seen as a fixed entity. Instead, by locating our analysis in Lefebvre's ideas about space as both a
41 product and a process, we can identify moments in the spatial and temporal flow, which enable us to
42 understand 'context' in greater detail and in particular, the processes underpinning the dynamic,
43 multi-level and ongoing practices involved in its production and reproduction.
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Funding Acknowledgement:

This project was funded by the National Institute for Health Research HS&DR programme
(project number 11/1024/06)

Department of Health Disclaimer:

The views and opinions expressed therein are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect
those of the HS&DR programme, NIHR, NHS or the Department of Health.

References

- Ashkanasy, Neal M.; Ayoko, Oluremi B.; Jehn, Karen A. (2014) Understanding the physical environment of work and employee behavior: An affective events perspective. *Journal of Organizational Behavior* Volume: 35 ,8 , SI: 1169-1184
- Ansari, S. M., P. C. Fiss and E. J. Zajac (2010). 'Made to fit: how practices vary as they diffuse', *Academy of Management Review*, 35, pp. 67–92.
- Bartlett, A (1993) Rhetoric and Reality: What do we know about the English Special Hospitals? *International Journal of Law and Psychiatry*, 16, 27-51
- Bartlett, A. (1994) Spatial order and psychiatric disorder in Parker Pearson, M. and Richards, C. eds. *Architecture and order: approaches to social space*, London: Routledge, 178-95.
- Barratt-Pugh, Llandis and Bahn, Susanne (2015), HR strategy during culture change: Building change agency. *Journal of Management & Organization*, 21, 6, 741-754.
- Bean, P. (1985) Social control and social theory in secure accommodation in (ed. Gostin L.) *Secure provision a review of special services for the mentally ill and mentally handicapped*. London: Tavistock, pp. 288-306.
- Beck, U. (1992) *Risk Society: Towards a New Modernity*. London: SAGE Publications.
- Brown, S. D., Reavey, P., Kanyeredzi, A., & Batty, R. (2014). Transformations of self and sexuality: Psychologically modified experiences in the context of forensic mental health. *Health*, 18(3), 240-260. doi: 10.1177/13634559313497606.
- Campbell, D. (2012). 'Public managers in integrated services collaboratives: what works is workarounds', *Public Administration Review*, 72, pp. 721–730.
- Casey, E. (1997) Smooth Space & Rough Edged Places *Review of Metaphysics* 51, 267-96.
- Department of Health (2000) *The NHS Plan*, London: Department of Health.
- Department of Health (2008) *A Framework for the Performance Management of High Security Hospitals*, London, Department of Health
- Department of Health (2010) *High Secure Building Design Guide: Overarching Principles for Ashworth, Broadmoor and Rampton Hospitals*, London: Department of Health

1
2
3 Department of Health (2011) *Guidance on the High Security Psychiatric Services (Arrangements for*
4 *Safety and Security at Ashworth, Broadmoor and Rampton Hospitals) Directions 2011*, London:

5
6 Department of Health.

7
8 Dierdorff, E., Rubin, R. and Bachrach, D. (2012) Role Expectations as Antecedents of Citizenship and
9 the Moderating Effects of Work Context *Journal of Management* , 38 ,2, 573-598.

10
11 Exworthy, T. and Gunn, J. (2003) Taking another Tilt at high secure hospitals, The Tilt Report and its
12 consequences for secure psychiatric services. *British Journal of Psychiatry*. 182, 6, 469-471.

13
14 Evans, C & Oyebode, D. (2000) From Homicide Inquiries to High Secure Hospital Inquiries: A
15 decade of social and political restrictive pressure on forensic psychiatry, *Epidemiologia e Psichiatria*
16 *Sociale*, 9 (4) 234-240.

17
18 Goffman, E. (1961) *Asylums: Essays on the Social Situation of Mental Patients and Other Inmates*.
19 Doubleday Anchor, New York, 1961.

20
21 Griffin, M. (2007) Specifying organizational contexts: systematic links between contexts and
22 processes in organizational behavior *Journal of Organizational Behavior* 28, 859-863.

23
24 Harty MA, Shaw J, Thomas S, Dolan M, Davies L, Thornicroft G, Carlisle J, Moreno M, Leese M,
25 Appleby L, Jones P. (2004) The security, clinical and social needs of patients in high security
26 psychiatric hospitals in England. *J Forens Psychiatry and Psychol*. 15: 208 – 221.

27
28 Johns, G. (2006). 'The essential impact of context on organizational behavior', *Academy of*
29 *Management Review*, 31, ,396–408.

30
31 Johnson, T. (1995) Governmentality and the institutionalisation of expertise in Johnson, T., Larkin,
32 G., and Saks, M. *Health Professions and the State in Europe*. London: Routledge.

33
34 Lefebvre, H. (1991) *The production of space*. Oxford: Basil Blackwell.

35
36 Kauffman, W. (1966) *Hegel A reinterpretation*. USA: Anchor.

37
38 Majid, S. (2015) Attitudes towards sexual expression in professionals in forensic psychiatry in
39 different EU countries [http://lfpc-cost.eu/attitudes-towards-sexual-expression-in-professionals-in-](http://lfpc-cost.eu/attitudes-towards-sexual-expression-in-professionals-in-forensic-psychiatry-in-different-eu-countries/)
40 [forensic-psychiatry-in-different-eu-countries/](http://lfpc-cost.eu/attitudes-towards-sexual-expression-in-professionals-in-forensic-psychiatry-in-different-eu-countries/) accessed 21/10/15.

41
42 Martin, J.P. (1984) *Hospitals in Trouble*, Oxford: Basil Blackwell.

43
44 Massey, D. (1995). The conceptualization of place. In D. Massey, & P. Jess (Eds.), *A place in the*
45 *world? Places, cultures and globalization* (pp. 45–85). New York: Oxford University Press.

1
2
3 Mathieu, J. E., & Taylor, S. R. (2007). A framework for testing meso-mediational relationships in
4 Organizational Behavior. *Journal of Organizational Behavior*, 28, 141-172.

5
6
7 McDermott, A., Fitzgerald, L. and Buchanan, D. 2013. Beyond acceptance and resistance:
8 entrepreneurial change agency in policy implementation. *British Journal of Management* 24 (S1),
9 S93-S115.

10
11
12 McDermott, A. M. and M. Keating (2012). Making service improvement happen: the importance of
13 social context, *Journal of Applied Behavioural Science*, 48, 62-92.

14
15
16 Pettigrew, A. M., E. Ferlie and L. McKee (1992). *Shaping Strategic Change: Making Change in*
17 *Large Organizations: The Case of the National Health Service*. London: Sage.

18
19
20 Pilgrim, D. and Rogers, A. (1999) *A Sociology of Mental Health and Illness*. Milton Keynes: Open
21 University Press.

22
23
24 Prior, L. (1988) The architecture of the hospital, A study of spatial organisation and medical
25 knowledge, *British Journal of Sociology*, 39, 1, 86-113.

26
27
28 Royal College of Psychiatrists College Centre for Quality Improvement (2014) *Standards for Medium*
29 *Secure Services*
30 [http://www.rcpsych.ac.uk/pdf/Standards%20for%20Medium%20Secure%20Services%20\(QNFMHS\)](http://www.rcpsych.ac.uk/pdf/Standards%20for%20Medium%20Secure%20Services%20(QNFMHS))
31 [_2014%20Amended%20CI.pdf](http://www.rcpsych.ac.uk/pdf/Standards%20for%20Medium%20Secure%20Services%20(QNFMHS)) accessed 21/10/15.

32
33
34 Schmid. C. (2008) Henri Lefebvre's theory of the production of space: towards a three-dimensional
35 dialectic in Goonewardena, K., Kipfer, S., Milgrom, R. and Schmid, C. (Eds.) *Space, Difference,*
36 *Everyday Life: Reading Henri Lefebvre*, London: Routledge. Pp37-45.

37
38
39 Shepherd, J. Boardman, J., Slade, M. (2008) *Making Recovery a Reality*. London: The Sainsbury
40 Centre for Mental Health.

41
42
43
44 Turner, T. and Salter, T. (2008) Forensic psychiatry and general psychiatry: reexamining the
45 relationship. *British Psychological Bulletin*, 32, 2-6.