

## **Brute Rationality**

Normativity and Human Action

This book presents a new account of normative practical reasons and the way in which they contribute to the rationality of action. Rather than simply 'counting in favor of' actions, normative reasons play two logically distinct roles: requiring action and justifying action. The distinction between these two roles explains why some reasons do not seem relevant to the rational status of an action unless the agent cares about them, while other reasons retain all their force regardless of the agent's attitude. It also explains why the class of rationally permissible action is wide enough to contain not only all morally required action, but also much selfish and immoral action. The book will appeal to a range of readers interested in practical reason in particular, and moral theory more generally.

Joshua Gert is Assistant Professor at the Department of Philosophy, Florida State University. He has published in a number of philosophical journals including *American Philosophical Quarterly*, *Ethics*, and *Notis*.



#### CAMBRIDGE STUDIES IN PHILOSOPHY

General editors E. J. LOWE and WALTER SINNOTT-ARMSTRONG

Advisory editors

JONATHAN DANCY University of Reading

JOHN HALDANE University of St Andrews

GILBERT HARMAN Princeton University

FRANK JACKSON Australian National University

WILLIAM G. LYCAN University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill

SYDNEY SHOEMAKER Cornell University

JUDITH J. THOMSON Massachusetts Institute of Technology

### RECENT TITLES

JOSHUA HOFFMAN & GARY S. ROSENKRANTZ Substance among other categories

PAUL HELM Belief policies

NOAH LEMOS Intrinsic value

LYNNE RUDDER BAKER Explaining attitudes
HENRY S. RICHARDSON Practical reasoning about final ends
ROBERT A. WILSON Cartesian psychology and physical minds

BARRY MAUND Colours

MICHAEL DEVITT Coming to our senses

SYDNEY SHOEMAKER The first-person perspective and other essays

MICHAEL STOCKER Valuing emotions ARDA DENKEL Object and property E. J. LOWE Subjects of experience

NORTON NELKIN Consciousness and the origins of thought PIERRE JACOB What minds can do

ANDRE GALLOIS The world without, the mind within

D. M. ARMSTRONG A world of states of affairs DAVID COCKBURN Other times

MARK LANCE & JOHN O'LEARY-HAWTHORNE The grammar of meaning ANNETTE BARNES Seeing through self-deception

DAVID LEWIS Papers in metaphysics and epistemology

MICHAEL BRATMAN Faces of intention

DAVID LEWIS Papers in ethics and social philosophy

MARK ROWLANDS The body in mind: understanding cognitive processes LOGI GUNNARSSON Making moral sense: beyond Habermas and Gauthier

BENNETT W. HELM Emotional reason: deliberation, motivation, and the nature of value

RICHARD JOYCE The myth of morality

ISHTIYAQUE HAJI Deontic morality and control

ANDREW NEWMAN The correspondence theory of truth

JANE HEAL Mind, reason, and imagination

PETER RAILTON Facts, values and norms

CHRISTOPHER S. HILL Thought and world

WAYNE DAVIS Meaning, expression and thought

ANDREW MELNYK A physicalist manifesto

JONATHAN L. KVANVIG The value of knowledge and the pursuit of understanding WILLIAM ROBINSON Understanding phenomenal consciousness

MICHAEL SMITH Ethics and the a priori D. M. ARMSTRONG Truth and truthmakers



# Brute Rationality

Normativity and Human Action

Joshua Gert

Florida State University





PUBLISHED BY THE PRESS SYNDICATE OF THE UNIVERSITY OF CAMBRIDGE The Pitt Building, Trumpington Street, Cambridge, United Kingdom

CAMBRIDGE UNIVERSITY PRESS
The Edinburgh Building, Cambridge, CB2 2RU, UK
40 West 20th Street, New York, NY 10011–4211, USA
477 Williamstown Road, Port Melbourne, VIC 3207, Australia
Ruiz de Alarcón 13, 28014 Madrid, Spain
Dock House, The Waterfront, Cape Town 8001, South Africa

http://www.cambridge.org

© Joshua Gert 2004

This book is in copyright. Subject to statutory exception and to the provisions of relevant collective licensing agreements, no reproduction of any part may take place without the written permission of Cambridge University Press.

First published 2004

Printed in the United Kingdom at the University Press, Cambridge

Typeface Bembo 10/12 pt. System LATEX 2<sub>E</sub> [TB]

A catalogue record for this book is available from the British Library

Library of Congress Cataloguing in Publication data Gert, Joshua.

Brute rationality: normativity and human action / Joshua Gert.
p. cm. – (Cambridge studies in philosophy)
Includes bibliographical references and index.
ISBN 0 521 83318 3 (hardcover)

Normativity (Ethics)
 Decision making – Moral and ethical aspects.

 I. Title.
 II. Series.

BJ1458.3.G47 2004 128'.4 - dc22 2004040403

ISBN 0 521 83318 3 hardback



To my parents, my sister Heather, and my wife Victoria



## **Contents**

Preface and acknowledgements		page x1
1	What would an adequate theory of rationality be like?	1
2	Practical rationality, morality, and purely justificatory reasons	19
3	The criticism from internalism about practical reasons	40
4	A functional role analysis of reasons	62
5	Accounting for our actual normative judgments	85
6	Fitting the view into the contemporary debate	111
7	Two concepts of rationality	136
8	Internalism and different kinds of reasons	167
9	Brute rationality	186
References		221
Index		226



## Preface and acknowledgements

I would guess that the first time I read any real philosophy was when I was about ten years old. Sitting and reading aloud on the living room couch with my father, I took the part of Hylas in Berkeley's *Three Dialogues*. It is a happy memory for me, despite the fact that I turned out, as those familiar with that dialogue will know, not to have very many lines, and always to be wrong. I also have a very distinct visual memory, from roughly the same period, of the moment my father presented the open question argument to me. He didn't explain the problems with the argument, and if he had, I doubt I would have understood what he was saying. I was just sophisticated enough that the argument seemed to me to show exactly what Moore thought it showed. I didn't like having to believe in non-natural properties. I didn't even have any clear idea what they were. But I had to do it. Twenty-seven years later, I think I might have gotten out of the problem.

Those two memories may be the oldest ones I have of doing any philosophy with my father, but they are by no means the only ones. Later memories are less distinct, probably because philosophical discussion became as common as eating dinner. But as far as I can recall, all of these memories of talking philosophy with my father - of arguing and criticizing, and, generally, of being shown that I didn't know what I was talking about are uniformly happy. My love for philosophy is, I am sure, continuous with my great love for my father. There is no doubt that it is my father who has had the most profound philosophical impact on me. Indeed, I am pleased to think of myself, in many parts of this book, as refining, building upon, and modifying his views, just as other philosophers have refined, built upon, and modified the views of their advisors. Given that my father's influence began early, I cannot adequately express how lucky I feel that so many of his starting points have turned out to be so fruitful. For it is hard to deny that the students of Kantians tend to become Kantians. and the students of Humeans tend to become Humeans. When I consider



## Preface and acknowledgements

the strength of this law of philosophical inheritance, and realize how easily I might have fallen under the spell of a mainstream view (or, worse, a currently fashionable one), I am reminded of the huge role fortune plays in all the achievements for which we would like to take exclusive credit.

Other than my father, I would like to thank a number of people with whom I have had profitable conversations or correspondence on the topics I address in the following chapters. I should single out Daniel Callcut, Charles Chastain, and John Deigh, both for the sheer volume of conversation, and also for entering into the discussion with sufficient sympathy to understand the whole picture. Thanks also to Peter Achinstein, Ken Akiba, Robert Audi, John Broome, María Victoria Costa, Jonathan Dancy, Heather Gert, Peter Hylton, Anthony Laden, Paul McNamara, Al Mele, Andrew Melnyk, Karen Neander, Brian Neuslein, Joseph Raz, Thomas Scanlon, Jerome Schneewind, Paul Weirich, and Susan Wolf.

I also owe a great debt to Oscar Jorge Mainoldi, who accidentally taught me Spanish, and who superintended the writing of virtually the whole of this book during five successive summers at what must be the world's most fertile environment for the production of philosophy: the bar/café "Portofino," at the corner of 13th and 42nd, in La Plata, Argentina. For being among the truthmakers behind this fact, thanks also to Daniela "Pichu" Memna, Mercedes Mirabella, Martín Zamudio, Rubén Peralta, and Sebastián Alvarez.

Chapter 1 takes the form it does largely because I was invited to give an overview of my account of reasons and rationality at the Universidad Nacional de La Plata in La Plata, Argentina, in the summer of 2002. I am very grateful to Pedro Karczmarczyk and Martín Daguerre for organizing that talk, and I am grateful to all the members of that audience for their patience with my Spanish. The material for chapter 2 was previously published as "Practical Rationality, Morality, and Purely Justificatory Reasons" in American Philosophical Quarterly 37 (3), 227-43. I am grateful to the Executive Editor of APQ, Nicholas Rescher, for permission to use that material here. Most of the material for chapters 3 and 7 was previously published in the Southern Journal of Philosophy as "Skepticism about Practical Reasons Internalism" 39 (1), 59-77 and "Two Concepts of Rationality" 41 (3), 367-98, and some material for chapter 3 was also taken from "Korsgaard's Private-Reasons Argument" Philosophy and Phenomenological Research 64 (2), 303-24. I thank the Editor of the Southern Journal, Nancy Simco, and the editors of PPR for permission to use that material here. Chapter 4 appears as "A Functional Role Analysis of Reasons" in



## Preface and acknowledgements

Philosophical Studies (2004). A version of chapter 5 was published as "Requiring and Justifying: Two Dimensions of Normative Strength" Erkenntnis 59 (1), 5–36, and appears here with kind permission of Kluwer Academic Publishers. A distant ancestor of chapter 7 was published, in Spanish, in Revista Latinoamericana de Filosofía 25 (2), 255–81, after having been presented to the members of the Centro de Investigaciones Filosóficas in Buenos Aires, Argentina, in 1998. I am grateful to María Julia Bertomeu for her invitation to address this group. Chapter 8 first appeared in The Philosophical Forum 34 (1), 53–72, and chapter 9 appeared in Noûs 37 (3), 417–46. I acknowledge the kind permission of Blackwell Publishing to reprint both of them here.

Finally, I should thank Florida State University for summer funding provided through their FYAP Summer Grant program. It was during the summer in which I received this funding that I was able to revise the manuscript to deal – I cannot say how successfully – with the comments of Russ Shafer-Landau and Michael Ridge, who reviewed the original manuscript for Cambridge University Press. My final thanks go to them for their sympathetic and open-minded attitude, and for many useful criticisms.