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## PREFACE

One of the aims of the International Institute for Applied Systems Analysis (IIASA) is to develop methods of systems analysis that lend themselves to applications to policy analysis.

Gaming has proved to be an important methodological planning tool in such areas as military and business affairs, but thus far few applications to socioeconomic planning have been attempted. The game described here is a demonstration model, intended to acquaint the reader with the potentialities of this approach as a preanalytical research tool.

This paper was written by Olaf Helmer. The responsibility for the computer program was Lutz Blencke's, who also made a number of important substantive contributions.

Thanks are due lgor Zimin of IIASA for his advice and participation in the construction of the underlying economic model.

## CONTENTS

Introduction ..... 1
Purpose ..... 2
Move Sequence ..... 3
The Economic Sectors ..... 5
Population and Labor ..... 8
Government ..... 11
Capital Investment ..... 13
Technological Breakthroughs ..... 14
Forecasts and Enhancement of
Technological Breakthroughs ..... 15
Cross Impacts ..... 17
Dummy Players ..... 20
An Example of a GEM Play ..... 24
Appendix: Sample of a GEM Game ..... 25


## INTRODUCTION

The model described here, which is named "GEM" (Global Economic Model), is a six-person interactive simulation model (or game) intended to generate intuitive insights into the economic interactions among six world regions over the next 50 years.

Each player is responsible for manipulating the economy of one of these regions. To do so, he has to make resource allocation decisions (between sector inputs, capital investment, investment in R\&D, and supplies to consumers and to government); in addition, he may trade commodities with the other five participants and conclude long-term agreements with them concerning trades, loans, investments, and technology transfer.

The six regions, designated by the letters $S, E, C, O, N$, and $D$, which are intended to resemble very roughly six real-world regions obtained by aggregation from the ten regions of the Mesarovic/Pestel model*, may be abstractly characterized as follows:
$S$ A highly developed, centrally planned economy with substantial energy resources
$E$ A highly developed market economy with greatly limited energy resources
$C$ A developing, centrally planned economy with substantial energy resources

[^0]$O$ A small developing market economy with very substantial energy resources
$N$ A highly developed market economy with substantial but inadequate energy resources
$D$ A developing economy with underdeveloped energy resources and a rapidly growing population

The real-world regions that these are intended to resemble somewhat are the following: $S$, the Soviet Union and Eastern Europe; $E$, Western Europe, Australia, and Japan; $C$, China; $O$, the member countries of the Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC); $N$, North America; and $D$, the developing countries (Latin America, most of Africa, and South and Southeast Asia). The economic structure of each of these regions is highly aggregated and is represented in terms of eight economic sectors.

The GEM game is played over a simulated 50 -year period, starting with the present. The 50 years are broken up into ten 5 -year scenes; each scene represents one move cycle in the game.

## PURPOSE

The purpose of such simulation gaming generally is not so much to solve problems directly as it is to lead to a better intuitive understanding of the problem structure and thereby to help the analyst in the development of models that gradually become more and more appropriate for dealing with the real-world problem situation. Thus a simulation game is preanalytic in nature; it is not intended in itself to be either predictive or decisional. An essential part of the routine of playing a simulation game is a constructive debriefing or review session in which the participants are asked to engage (a) in a self-critique ("What would I do differently if I were to play the game again?") and (b) in a critique of the game ("What numerical inputs or what structural components of the game should be altered in order to achieve greater realism?").

As a result of such inquiries, the game is almost invariably changed in some respects between plays. The gaming activity, therefore, should not be viewed as a series of trial runs of a particular simulation model but as a dynamic process in which a more anc more realistic conception of the world gradually evolves. A simulation game must have the built-in capability of such self-correction.

In order to accommodate such self-corrective amendments, it is important that the game be designed flexibly. While it is usually easy to
change numerical inputs within wide limits, it should also be feasible to alter structural features of the model without extensive reprogramming. This is true of many aspects of the GEM game, making it possible, in particular, to adjust the degree of detail (e.g., the number of players, the number of economic sectors, and the length of the basic time unit) as well as the move sequence within each game cycle (i.e., the order in which economic activity-level decisions, international trade, and output allocations are handled).

The purpose of GEM is to help acquaint IIASA's staff with the potentialities of simulation gaming as a preanalytical research tool. Thus GEM's primary function is that of a demonstration game. It is for this reason that emphasis has been placed not on obtaining the most precise and up-to-date statistics to serve as input data for the six regions considered in GEM but rather on including in the game model as many important factors descriptive of global economic interactions as are compatible with the requirement of keeping the game simple enough to be played easily. GEM in its present form, therefore, should definitely be thought of as a "Mark 1" version. The absence of precision in the initial choice of numerical inputs - if this is considered a defect - can be easily remedied later by substituting more precise data when these become available. With regard to selecting factors for inclusion in the model, special attention was paid to IIASA's particular reas of interest, such as the world food and energy situations.

## MOVE SEQUENCE

The GEM game is played in ten move cycles, called "scenes," each simulating 5 years of real time. The record of a particular play of GEM is a scenario, consisting in scene-by-scene descriptions of decisions made by the players as well as of event occurrences (such as technological breakthroughs or discoveries of new basic-resource reserves) and of notable changes in trend values (such as capital investment or labor unrest). The move structure of each scene is shown in Figure ..

Before explaining in greater detail the elements contained in Figure 1 and particularly the players' move options, it is necessary to describe the basic structure of the underlying economic model. However, there is one important feature of the game that deserves to be pointed out first. As is evident in Figure 1, the model contains certain stochastic elements. Some of these reflect influences entirely exogenous to the model, such as those controlling the weather and the growth of population. Others, such as


FIGURE 1 Move structure of each scene.
technological breakthroughs or the amount of labor unrest, are endogenous in the sense that, while they are the result of random (i.e., Monte Carlo) decisions, their probabilities of occurrence can be affected by player actions. The effect of the presence of these stochastic features is that the players have to plan in the face of some uncertainty as to the results of their decisions. In this respect the model differs markedly from standard econometric models, in which economic output is determined solely by input allocations - and it is hoped that it differs in the direction of realism.

## THE ECONOMIC SECTORS

The eight economic sectors, in terms of which the economy of each of GEM's six regions is described, are as follows:

1 Mining (other than fuel)
2 Intermediate products
3 Durable goods
4 Consumption goods (other than food)
5 Food
6 Fuels
7 Electric energy
8 Services

The singling out of food as a separate sector and the decision to have energy represented by two sectors ( 6 and 7 ) reflect the special importance attached to long-range planning in these areas at IlASA and elsewhere.

To express input/output transactions among the sectors of the economy, it is convenient - and, in view of the high degree of aggregation, virtually mandatory - to use monetary units in order to be able to add together otherwise incommensurable quantities. Of course, the production process requires certain physical quantities as inputs to obtain a specific physical output, and the monetary value of these inputs and outputs may change as prices fluctuatc. A simple way to deal with this situation is to choose a monetary unit and then to define one physical unit of the output of Sector $i$ as that quantity of the $i$ th commodity whose price, at the outsit of the game, is one monetary unit. As the monetary unit we choose \$1B (one billion dollars).

The operation of the economy is described in terms of an input/output matrix that, for the purposes of GEM plays, can be presented in the format shown in Figure 2. The standard format for an input/output

|  |  | Into sector |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total req'd. inputs | Net product (= output - req'd. inputs) | Old inventory | Net imports | Avail- <br> able <br> for <br> final <br> supply | Con-sumption | Gov-ernment | Hard capital | Soft capital | New inventory |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 2 |  | 4 |  |  | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Input from sector | 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cost of inputs <br> Value added |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Value of output |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

FIGURE 2 Input/output matrix of the operation of the economy.
matrix is shown in the left-hand side of the figure. This side of the figure can be filled in either with technical coefficients or with actual flow coefficients. The technical coefficients indicate the physical quantity produced by the sector on the left that has to flow into the sector listed above in order to produce one physical unit of output in that sector. The actual flow coefficients are expressed in monetary units (which - it should be remembered - are initially equal to physical units). When actual flow coefficients are used, the matrix provides an accounting of actual inputs and outputs and of the resultant surplus available for final supplies (i.e., each amount entered in the "available for final supply" column is the sum of the three quantities listed in the columns to the left as well as equal to the sum of the five final-supply items listed in the columns to the right).

In order to change from technical coefficients to monetary flow coefficients, it is necessary to multiply the column vector of technical coefficients corresponding to the $i$ th sector with the activity level of that sector and then to form the inner product with the vector of current prices.

In applications to the real world, an input/output matrix can be interpreted as representing either the rates of flow at a given time or the average rate of flow over a given period (such as a year). In the context of GEM, we shall, for game-playing purposes, maintain the fiction that the economy of a region operates in two successive stages. In Stage I, the activity levels of the industrial sectors are chosen by the player directing that region, the required inputs for these activity levels are calculated, and the net product (i.e., the total output minus the required intermediate inputs) is determined. This, together with existing inventories and net additions derived from imports, constitutes the resources available to satisfy final demand. Given this information, the player, in Stage II, decides how to allocate these supplies between consumers, government, inventories, and capital investment (both "hard" and "soft"). Hard-capital investment consists in the expansion of production facilities, using existing technologies. Soft-capital investment represents R\&D effort; it consists in promoting certain technological breakthroughs by attempting to enhance their probability of occurrence.

It should be noted that the options available to a player in both Stages I and II are subject to certain obvious absolute constraints. The activity levels chosen in Stage I are constrained by (a) the capacities of the sectors, (b) the total amount of effective labor available, and (c) the requirement that net production plus inventories must be nomegative. In addition, in the special cases of Sectors 1 and 6 , there are limits on known resource deposits, and production may not exceed the extraction of such known deposits. The allocation made in Stage II is subject to the
constraints that final supplies must be nonnegative and that the final supplies must add up to the total available for this purpose.

In playing GEM, the options available to a player, as well as any constraints on his allocations, are clearly displayed to him. The constraints include the absolute ones just enumerated as well as "advisory constraints." The advisory constraints inform the player about (a) the amount of hardcapital investment required to offset capital depreciation, (b) the level of supplies (food and other commodities) to consumer households necessary to prevent death from starvation and civil unrest, and (c) the level of supplies to government necessary to prevent deterioration of government services (see below).

In standard econometric models the output of the economic sectors is completely determined once their activity levels have been set (provided, of course, that proper feasibility constraints have been met); this is not so in GEM, since there are two built-in random elements affecting the output. One is the uncertainty of the amount of labor unrest, which affects the size of the effective labor force (see the following section) and thereby indirectly affects the output of each sector. The other is the regional harvest conditions (weather, crop and cattle diseases, pests, and so on) that are simulated as follows: for each region and each scene, a random deviate $\delta$ is drawn from a normal distribution with quartiles at $\pm 0.05$, and the nominal food output for that region and scene is then multiplied by $1+\delta$.

## POPULATION AND LABOR

Population, and labor in particular, is measured in units of one million persons. For each region, a fixed population growth rate has been assumed:

| Region | $S$ | $E$ | $C$ | $O$ | $N$ | $D$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Population growth rate <br> per scene (in percent) | 4 | 2.5 | 7.5 | 10 | 4 | 10 |

The population, $P$, if provided adequately with food, thus grows exponentially, except that the increment, $\Delta P$, from Scene $j$ to Scene $j+1$ is replaced by $\Delta P+\delta$, where $\delta$ is a random deviate drawn from a normal distribution with quartiles at $\pm \Delta P / 4$.

If the annual food supply (measured in monetary units) per population unit is $f$, then the predicted population declines from $P$ to

$$
P^{\prime}=\frac{f^{2}}{0.0003+f^{2}} P .
$$

(For example, if the annual per capita food supply is worth $\$ 100$, then the food supply per population unit is valued at

$$
\frac{100 \times 10^{6}}{10^{9}}
$$

or 0.1 monetary units. Hence $f=0.1$ and $P^{\prime}=0.971 P$. (In other words, at this level of food supply, the population, over a 5 -year scene, is reduced by about 3 percent.)

The total labor force, $T L F$, for simplicity, is set equal to $0.45 P$ for all regions.

The total labor force may be reduced in its effectiveness by labor unrest. If the amount of labor unrest is $u$, where $0 \leqslant u \leqslant 1$, then the effective labor force is

$$
E L F=\frac{1}{1+4 u^{2}} T L F
$$

(Thus, in the worst case, when $u=1, E L F$ is only 20 percent of $T L F$.)
The quantity $u$ is a function of random fluctuations as well as of the proportionate scenc-by-scene rate of increase, $d$, in per capita supplies to households. If $\operatorname{Cons}_{i}$ is the personal consumption in Scene $i$ (i.e., the monetary value of supplies allocated to households), $P o p_{i}$ is the population in Scene $i$, and $Q_{i}$ equals Cons $_{i} / P_{o p}$, then

$$
d=\frac{Q_{i+1}-Q_{i}}{Q_{i}}
$$

The allocation of supplies to households (described by a vector $H$ ) is a little more complex than the allocation of government supplies. First of all, a food allotment, $H_{5}$, is chosen. The remaining components of $H$ essentially are to be in proportion to a given profile. However, there is some built-in flexibility, in that up to 20 percent of each of the components $H_{3}, H_{4}, H_{8}$ may be substituted by the others, and similarly up to 20 percent of the components $H_{6}$ and $H_{7}$ may be substituted by the other.

For $u$ to remain constant, $d$ has to equal some minimal rate of increments in total per capita supplies to households, which we simply assume
here to be 5 percent per scene, or $d=0.05$. If $u$ is the labor unrest in one scene and $u^{\prime}$ that in the next scene, we set

$$
u^{\prime}=f(u, d)
$$

where, in addition to $f(u, 0.05)=u$, we assume that $f(u,-0.5)=1$ and $f(u, 1)=0$ (i.e., halving per capita supplies causes labor unrest to rise to its maximal value of 1 , whereas doubling supplies quells such unrest altogether). A relatively simple such function, which is adopted here, is

$$
u^{\prime}=\frac{22(1-d) u}{3(1-20 d)+38 d+19}
$$

Superimposed upon this function, we assume a random distribution as follows: let $\delta$ be a random deviate drawn from a normal distribution with quartiles at $\pm 0.05$; then replace $u^{\prime}$ by

$$
\min \left[1, \max \left(0, u^{\prime}+\delta\right)\right]
$$

(This simply adds $\delta$ to $u^{\prime}$, except that cutoff points are introduced at 0 and 1.)

A fraction, $s$, of the effective labor force is skilled; the remainder, $1-s$, is unskilled. The quantity $\sqrt{s}$ is called the "labor productivity multiplier," and the "available skilled-labor equivalent" is defined as follows:

$$
S L E=\sqrt{s} \cdot E L F
$$

Note that if $s=0$, then $S L E=0$; if $s=1$, then $S L E=E L F$. Note also that the marginal effect of an increased skill fraction is a decreasing function. Skilled-labor equivalents are treated as being freely interchangeable.

The skill level of the labor force is assumed to decline 10 percent per scene unless this trend is countered by governmental educational efforts, as evidenced by the government's efficiency level (see the next section). Note that labor productivity, which may be defined as $G N P / T L F$, is equal to $\sqrt{s} \cdot G N P /\left(1+4 u^{2}\right) S L E$.

The reader may wonder about the particular functional forms used for various quantities in this section (and the same applies to those used in the next three sections). The principle employed throughout has been to select a relatively simple functional form that displays the properties
intuitively appropriate to the case. It was believed that, especially for the purposes of this demonstration game, such a choice was justified on the form would hardly be noticeable in terms of play results. Moreover, should one later believe that it would be more realistic to replace a given function with a different function, such a substitution, as pointed out earlier, can be effected with ease.

## GOVERNMENT

The government requires final supplies from Sectors 3, 4, 6, 7, and 8 in fixed proportion. The activity level of this supply vector determines government efficiency $g$. The government efficiency, in turn, affects (a) the skill level of the labor force, (b) the capital coefficients (both hard- and soft-capital), (c) the rates of inventory depreciation, and (d) the quality of information on commodity quantities available to the player for planning purposes.

We assume that $g$ is measured on a scale from 0 to $l$ and that it is a function solely of the per capita activity level $x$ of the vector specifying supplies to the government. Lc: $x=a$ be that level of per capita supplies at which the government operates at efficiency $g=0.9$. Then we set

$$
g=\frac{9 x^{2}}{a^{2}+9 x^{2}}
$$

which has the effect that doubling the supplies to government raises government efficiency from 0.9 to 0.97 , whereas halving them lowers it from 0.9 to 0.69 . (The quantity $a$ as well as the components of the governmental supply vector is prescribed individually for each region.)

We turn next to the determination of the quantities affected by the level $g$ of government efficiency.

## Skill Level

The skill level $s$ deteriorates at a rate of 10 percent per scene if no educational provisions are made. The amount of such education is assumed to be implicit in the efficiency level of the government. In order to maintain a skill level $s$ (i.e., just to counteract the 10 percent deterioration), it is assumed that an efficiency $g=s$ is required. Below this efficiency, $s$ declines; above it, $s$ increases. The formula used is as follows:

$$
s_{i+1}= \begin{cases}0.9 s_{i}+0.1 g_{i+1} & \text { if } g_{i+1} \leqslant s_{i} \\ {\left[1+\frac{\left(g_{i+1}-s_{i}\right)^{2}}{1-s_{i}}\right] s_{i}} & \text { if } g_{i+1}>s_{i}\end{cases}
$$

where the indices $i$ and $i+1$ refer to Scenes $i$ and $i+1$. For total government inefficiency, $g_{i+1}=0$, this formula yields $s_{i+1}=0.9 s_{i}$; for $g_{i+1}=s_{i}$ it yields $s_{i+1}=s_{i}$ as required; for maximal government efficiency, $g_{i+1}=1$, it yields $s_{i+1}=s_{i}+s_{i} \bullet\left(1-s_{i}\right)$ (that is, it raises the value of $s$ from $s_{i}$ by the fraction $s_{i}$ toward its theoretical maximum value of 1 ).

## Capital Coefficients

The vector of hard-capital coefficients, which specifies the capital inputs that are required to increase the capacity of a sector by one unit, suffers a proportional increase if government is inefficient. Specifically, if under ideal conditions ( $g=1$ ) a vector of hard-capital coefficients is $C$, it is replaced by

$$
C /\left(2 g-g^{2}\right)
$$

if efficiency is $g$. [Note that $0 \leqslant 2 g-g^{2} \leqslant 1$ since $2 g-g^{2}=1-(1-g)^{2}$.] The same rule applies in the case of a vector of soft-capital coefficients [which specifies the capital inputs required to raise the probability of a technological breakthrough from $p$ to $(p+1) / 2]$.

## Inventory Depreciation

Inventories in Sectors 2 to 5 (under the ideal conditions of $g=1$ ) are assumed to deteriorate at the following rates per scene:

| Sector | $2,3,4$ | 5 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Depreciation | 0.10 | 0.25 |

(The depreciation rate in Sectors 1 and 6 is 0 , and the term is not applicable to Sectors 7 and 8.) In general, these depreciation rates are multiplied by $2-g$.

## Quality of Information

During Stage I of operating the economy of his region, a player receives information about the resources that he may expect to have available to satisfy final demand, based on his tentative choice of activity levels; and the resources that he in fact has available for this purpose, following his cefinite choice of activity levels. There is uncertainty in any normal economic planning process; in order to simulate the heightened uncertainty due to governmental inefficiency, the two types of information just mentioned will be modified slightly. In fact, if the final output of a sector is $x$, the information given to tie player is $x+\delta$, where $\delta$ is a random deviate drawn from a normal distribution with quartiles at $\pm 0.2(1-g) x$. Note that this deviation is 0 for $g=1$ and 20 percent for $g=0$.

In applying this rule, the same deviate is used for the same output component, regardless of whether this information pertains to a tentative (expected) output or the output resulting from the player's definite choice of activity levels. (This is done to make it impossible for the player to increase the accuracy of his information by repeated sampling.)

## CAPITAL INVESTMENT

As previously stated, there are two kinds of capital investment, "hard" and "soft."

Hard-capital investment requires durable goods (Sector 3) and services (Sector 8 ) as inputs. The capital coefficients $c_{3}$ and $c_{8}$ which specify the amounts of these inputs required to expand capacity by one unit (one unit being the amount of capacity needed to produce one unit of output), of course depend on the sector which is being expanded and on the available technology; however, it is assumed throughout that $c_{8}=c_{3} / 4$. (If several technologies are available, it is assumed that expansion utilizes the most recently acquired technology, unless the contrary is specified by the player.) All production capacity is assumed to depreciate at the rate of 25 percent per scene.

Soft-capital investment, too, requires inputs from Sectors 3 and 8 only. The soft-capital coefficients $d_{3}$ and $d_{8}$ specify the amounts of these inputs required to enhance the corresponding probability by one unit; the meaning of a "unit enhancement" is explained on page 16 . In this case, too, for simplicity, a fixed ratio between $d_{3}$ and $d_{8}$ is stipulated; in fact, we set $d_{8}=d_{3}$, with the value of $d_{3}$ depending on the technological breakthrough sought.

The details of handling technological advances and their consequences are the subject of the following two sections.

## TECHNOLOGICAL BREAKTHROUGHS

It is assumed that each sector's production process is capable, in principle, of technical improvement resulting in a more economical method of production. Such an improvement is the consequence of a technological breakthrough, which is treated as an event having, for each scene, a certain probability of occurrence that can be estimated and also can be influenced by investment in appropriate R\&D ("soft-capital investment").

A technological breakthrough in Sector $i$ causes the technical-coefficient vector for Sector $i$,

$$
\left(x_{1 i}, x_{2 i}, \ldots, x_{8 i}, L_{i}\right)
$$

(where " $L$ " stands for "labor"), to be changed to a different vector:

$$
\left(x_{1 i}^{\prime}, x_{2 i}^{\prime}, \ldots, x_{8 i}^{\prime}, L_{i}^{\prime}\right)
$$

Also, while the resource cost of a capacity unit for Sector $i$ has been, say, $c_{3}, c_{3} / 4$ (for Sectors 3 and 8 respectively), the new resource costs will be some other quantities $c_{3}^{\prime}, c_{3}^{\prime} / 4$. Once a technological breakthrough in a sector has occurred, the player's further investment in capital-stock expansion in that sector, including the replacement of capacity depreciation, is automatically assumed to utilize the most recently acquired technology (unless the contrary is specified). As a result, the sector operates in a mixed mode, using partly the obsolete and partly the novel technology.

Specifically, the potential technological breakthroughs included in GEM are these: first of all, for each sector $S_{i}(i=1,2, \ldots, 8)$, there are what may be considered normal technical-improvement breakthroughs, which for simplicity we standardize as follows:
$T B_{i}=$ a technological improvement in Sector $i$, having the effect of reducing the last four technical coefficients from $x_{6 i}, x_{7 i}, x_{8 i}$, $L_{i}$ to 90 percent of their values, that is, to $0.9 x_{6 i}, 0.9 x_{7 i}, 0.9 x_{8 i}$, $0.9 L_{i}$.

Each of these technological breakthroughs may occur repeatedly (but only once in each scene). Aside from these eight, there are six other potential
breakthroughs, three of which are also production-process breakthroughs (but going beyond normal technical improvements). The remaining three are other developments improving the state of the economy. The index in each case refers to the industrial sector to which the breakthrough pertains:
$T B_{1}^{\prime}=$ the detection of hitherto unknown mineral reserves within the region, increasing the amount of known reserves by $100(r+1)$ units, where $r$ is a random integer drawn from a uniform distribution over the set from 0 to 9
$T B_{5}^{\prime}=$ the feasibility of large-scale nonagricultural food production
$T B_{5}^{\prime \prime}=$ the feasibility of controlling the weather, resulting in an improvement in average harvest conditions that cause the harvest to be increased by 1 percent in the following scene, by 2 percent in the scene thereafter, and so on, over what it would have been otherwise
$T B_{6}^{\prime}=$ the detection of hitherto unknown fuel reserves within the region, increasing the amount of known reserves by $100(r+1)$ units, where $r$ is a random integer drawn from a uniform distribution over the set from 0 to 9
$T B_{7}^{\prime}=$ the feasibility of producing electric energy from solar power plants
$T B_{7}^{\prime \prime}=$ the feasibility of producing electric energy from fusion power plants

Of these, $T B_{5}^{\prime}, T B_{7}^{\prime}$, and $T B_{7}^{\prime \prime}$ are production innovations. None of these are repeatable; however, any normal improvement in Sectors 5 or 7 (i.e., the occurrence of $T B_{5}$ or $T B_{7}$ ) is considered to apply to these new technologies as well, reducing their last four technical coefficients by 10 percent. Of the other three events, $T B_{1}^{\prime}$ and $T B_{6}^{\prime}$ are repeatable, while $T B_{5}^{\prime \prime}$ is not.

## FORECASTS AND ENHANCEMENT OF TECHNOLOGICAL BREAKTHROUGHS

Plays of GEM are based on probabilistic forecasts regarding the occurrence of technological breakthroughs. For the time being, it is simply assumed that in the highly developed regions $S, E$, and $N$ the basic probability of occurrence per scene is 0.10 for each $T B_{i}$, where "basic" refers to the case where there is no additional enhancement through soft-capital investment (see below). (These probability assumptions, like many other GEM parameters, can be easily modified later if information is available that would lead
to more realistic values.) For the remaining breakthroughs, the scene probabilities are also assumed to be constant, as follows:

| $T B_{1}^{\prime}$ | $T B_{5}^{\prime}$ | $T B_{5}^{\prime \prime}$ | $T B_{6}^{\prime}$ | $T B_{7}^{\prime}$ | $T B_{7}^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.02 | 0.05 | 0.02 | 0.01 |

Note that for the nonrepeatable events ( $T B_{5}^{\prime}, T B_{5}^{\prime \prime}, T B_{7}^{\prime}, T B_{7}^{\prime \prime}$ ) these scene probabilities are conditional on the events not having occurred in an earlier scene; once they have occurred, their subsequent scene probabilities will be zero.

In the developing regions $C$ and $O$ all of the above scene probabilities are replaced by one-half their values, and in the sixth region $D$ they are replaced by one-quarter their values.

If the manager of a region wishes to enhance the probability of a technological breakthrough through soft-capital investment, he can do so by allocating equal quantities of durable goods (Sector 3) and services (Sector 8 ) to the region.

If the probability for the next scene is $p$, then raising it halfway toward its theoretical maximum value of 1 , i.e. replacing $p$ by

$$
p+\frac{1}{2}(1-p)
$$

is referred to as a "unit enhancement." The cost (in resources from Sectors 3 and 8 ) of achieving a unit enhancement will be stated as

$$
d_{3}=d_{8}=c
$$

where the value of $c$ depends on the particular breakthrough being promoted:

| Breakthrough | $T B_{i}$ | $T B_{1}^{\prime}$ | $T B_{5}^{\prime}$ | $T B_{6}^{\prime \prime}$ | $T B_{7}^{\prime}$ | $T B_{7}^{\prime \prime}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Unit enhancement cost $c$ | 0.3 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 0.5 | 2.0 | 5.0 |

If $k \cdot c$ is allocated to enhancing the probability of the breakthrough (where $k>0$ ), the resulting enhancement consists in replacing $p$ by

$$
p+\left[1-\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^{k}\right](1-p)
$$

Here $k$ is called the "degree of enhancement."

If, as a result of an enhancement, the breakthrough still does not occur in the next scene, the effort is not assumed to have been totally wasted; as in the real world, some of the effort (in the case of GEM, one-half) carries over to the following scene. That is, if $k \cdot c$ is invested in Scene $i$, the effect in Scene $i+2$ is as though $k \cdot c / 2$ had been invested in Scene $i+1$; similarly, the effect in Scene $i+3$ is as though $k c / 4$ had been invested in Scene $i+2$; and so on.

Note that technological breakthroughs are region-specific. However, once a breakthrough has taken place somewhere, all scene probabilities related to that breakthrough in other regions are enhanced by one unit in subsequent scenes [that is, $p$ is raised to $(p+1) / 2$ ]. Moreover, a new technology can be transferred to another region if the donor contributes the service portion of the required capital investment during the first scene when such investment is undertaken. The minimal level of investment, for this purpose, is the investment required for one capacity unit.

## CROSS IMPACTS

Technological advances do not occur in isolation from one another. That is to say, the occurrence of one may influence the subsequent probability of occurrence of others. These mutual effects are referred to as "cross impacts." For instance, if there are two rival breakthroughs, such as $T B_{5}$ and $T B_{5}^{\prime}$, a player, once he has achieved one of these, may well decide to allocate fewer resources to the other, thereby reducing its probability of occurrence. Conversely, one breakthrough may technically facilitate another and thus raise its probability of occurrence; for instance, an advance in production technology in Sector 3 (e.g., $T B_{3}$ ) may thus trigger a similar advance in Sector 4 (i.e., $T B_{4}$ ).

To facilitate sales during the formal trading session, each player is provided with a small amount of international currency (generally equaling about 2 percent of his region's initial GNP, except for Region $O$, where it is substantially higher). It is expected that the players will balance their imports and exports sufficiently to stay within these cash flow limitations. If they cannot do so, they will have to rely on negotiated loans or gifts from other players.

A GEM scene covers 5 years, but since it is customary to state GNP and other economic indicators in annual figures, it is more convenient for the players if scene statistics in GEM, such as sectoral inputs and production, GNP, final supplies, and trades, are expressed in annual amounts. Thus, if Region $X$ is said to have a GNP of $\$ 800 \mathrm{~B}$ in Scene $i$, this should be interpreted to mean that the average GNP during the 5 years covered
by Scene $i$ is $\$ 800 \mathrm{~B}$. Similarly, a trade agreement in a given scene resulting, say, in the sale of 20 units of durable goods by $X$ to $Y$ is interpreted as a sale of 20 such units in each of the 5 years of that scene.

All trades involve an expenditure in services (reflecting the cost of transportation, finance, and so on). In GEM both buyer and seller are assessed 0.025 unit of services for each commodity unit traded.

The formal trading session proceeds as follows: for each commodity ( 1 through 6), the latest world price $p_{1}$ is announced (at the start of the game it is 1.00 for each commodity), and the players are invited to state their bids, i.e., the quantities of each of Commodities 1 to 6 they wish to sell (supply) or buy (demand). If, for a given commodity, the total supply and demand are $s_{1}$ and $d_{1}$, respectively, a second price

$$
p_{2}=\frac{\max \left(d_{1}, s_{1} / 2\right)}{\max \left(s_{1}, d_{1} / 2\right)} \cdot p_{1}
$$

is announced. (Note that this formula implies that $p_{1} / 2 \leqslant p_{2} \leqslant 2 p_{1}$.) New bids are then solicited. Ii $p_{2}>p_{1}$, it is usually, but not necessarily, the case that, for the new total supplies and demands we have $s_{2}>s_{1}$ and $d_{2}<d_{1}$, and it may indeed happen that a "crossover" occurs as shown in Figure 3. The same is true for $p_{2}<p_{1}$, with the $s$ - and $d$-inequalities reversed.

If, indeed, a crossover occurs, the next price, $p_{3}$ (corresponding to the crossover point), is computed by the formula

$$
p_{3}=p_{1}+\left(p_{2}-p_{1}\right) \frac{d_{1}-s_{1}}{d_{1}-s_{1}+s_{2}-d_{2}}
$$

(The case $\overline{p_{2}}=p_{1}$, incidentally, is counted as a crossover, and in this case it is seen that $p_{3}=p_{1}$.)

If no crossover occurs, the next price is computed as in the first step, except that the price is constrained by the original price interval:

$$
\frac{1}{2} p_{1} \leqslant p_{3} \leqslant 2 p_{1}
$$

This procedure of computing new prices and obtaining new bids is iterated several times, subject, however, to these additional constraints: (a) if the successive prices for a commodity are $p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3}, \ldots$, and the final price is $p^{*}$, then $p^{*}=p_{j}$ where $j \leqslant 5$ (in other words, there are at most four


FIGURE 3 A supply and demand crossover.
iterations); (b) the process continues at most until, for each commodity, a crossover has occurred at some time; and (c) the bounds of the price interval, which at first are $p_{1} / 2$ and $2 p_{1}$, shrink with each iteration. These shrink as follows: a price $p_{i}$, in the next iteration, becomes a new lower (or upper) bound if the price movement is in an upward (or downward) direction.

Once the final prices $p_{j}$ have been reached, they are announced to the players, who then place their final bids at these prices. For each commodity, the total volume traded is the smaller of the quantities $s_{j}$ and $d_{j}$, and allocations between several buyers or several sellers are in proportion to their bids.

It should be noted that the above procedure for arriving at updated world prices lends itself to exploitation by a wily player, who may open the bidding with a first bid designed merely to drive the price in a direction more favorable to himself. Such behavior should be discouraged by properly instructing the players. In fact, throughout the play of GEM, a player should not attempt to "beat the rules" (as he might if this were a parlor game) but to use whatever expertise and insight he can bring to bear upon the play of the game to simulate reality as closely as his ability permits. The purpose, after all, of playing a game such as GEM should not be to "win" but to use the process of man/machine collaboration as a means of gradually producing an increasingly realistic model of real-world interactions.

## DUMMY PLAYERS

Provision has been made to carry out plays of GEM when there are fewer than six players by automating the actions pertaining to one or more of the regions. This is done by prescribing specific policies to be followed by such "dummy players," as described below.

The advantage of this provision is not only to accommodate groups of fewer than six participants, but also to offer the important possibility of systematically exploring the relative value of preset policies by repeatedly exposing them to the vicissitudes of random events and interventions by opposing players.

For this purpose we define the choice of a "policy" as the assignment of importance ratings, as follows:
$\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{8}$ to the industrial sectors
$\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \beta_{3}$ to the three final-demand sectors: households, government, and capital investment

These ratings are to be integers from 1 to 4 , where
1 indicates "no importance"
2 indicates "slight importance"
3 indicates "moderate importance"
4 indicates "great importance"
We further define a "maintenance operation" as consisting in running the economy in such a way that

- The per capita food supply $f$ remains at its present level
- The government efficiency $g$ remains at its present level
- The capacities of the eight industrial sectors remain at their present levels
- Depreciated inventory is replaced
- The level of supplies to households rises at the rate of 5 percent per scene (which prevents labor unrest from increasing)

A "strategy" for implementing a given policy must specify the actions, normally decided on by a player, to be taken with regard to the choice of activity levels, trade with other regions, and the allocation of final supplies. The specifications are as follows:

## Choice of activity levels:

1. Let $y$ be the final-demand vector that has to be met to achieve a maintenance operation; then the corresponding activity-level vector is

$$
x=(I-A)^{-1} y,
$$

where $I$ is the identity matrix.
2. If any component of $x$ exceeds the available capacity, that component should be reduced accordingly.
3. If the vector thus reduced still cannot be implemented because of excessive demands on resource inventories or labor, then the components $x_{i}$ of $x$ are reduced by amounts proportional to $5-x_{i}$ until the existing resource and labor constraints are satisfied.
4. If implementation of the activity-level vector $x$ would leave some excess resources as well as labor, then the components $x_{i}$ of $x$ are increased, within capacity limitations, by amounts proportional to $x_{i}$ until at least one of the excess resources or the excess labor is used up.

Trade with other regions:

1. No contracts are made.
2. The quantities of commodities that the dummy region would want to supply to or demand from the world market are determined as follows: Let
$I=$ current inventory (i.e., the inventory at the beginning of the scene, plus net output)
$M=$ vector of supplies required to carry out the maintenance operation
$F^{+}=$vector composed of
$\beta_{1}$ units of household supplies
$\beta_{2}$ units of government supplies
$\beta_{3}$ units of capital investment
$F^{-}=$vector composed of
$5-\beta_{1}$ units of household supplies
$5-\beta_{2}$ units of government supplies
$5-\beta_{3}$ units of capital investment

Here, in allocating the components $H_{i}$ of the household-supply vector, we make use of the flexibility stipulated earlier:
$H_{3}$ is replaced by $H_{3}^{\prime}=H_{3}-\min \left(0.2 H_{3}, 0.2 H_{8}\right)$,
$H_{4}$ is replaced by $H_{4}^{\prime}=H_{4}-\min \left(0.2 H_{4}, \max \left[0,0.2\left(H_{8}-H_{3}\right)\right]\right)$,
$H_{6}$ is replaced by $H_{6}^{\prime}=H_{6}-\min \left(0.2 H_{6}, 0.2 H_{7}\right)$,
$H_{7}$ is replaced by $H_{7}^{\prime}=H_{7}+\min \left(0.2 H_{6}, 0.2 H_{7}\right)$,
$H_{8}$ is replaced by $H_{8}^{\prime}=H_{8}+\min \left(0.2 H_{3}, 0.2 H_{8}\right)$
$+\min \left(0.2 H_{4}, \max \left[0,0.2\left(H_{8}-H_{3}\right)\right]\right)$.
We now form the vector

$$
Y= \begin{cases}I-M-\gamma \cdot F^{+} & \text {if } \gamma \geqslant 0 \\ I-M-\gamma \cdot F^{-} & \text {if } \gamma<0\end{cases}
$$

where $\gamma$ is a parameter yet to be chosen. The positive components of $Y$ represent the surpluses, and the negative components represent the deficits associated with providing final supplies at a level $\gamma$ above that required for maintenance. Now choose for $\gamma$ the largest value (positive or negative) for which $Y_{7} \geqslant 0$ and $Y_{8} \geqslant 0$, $V\left(Y_{1}\right)+V\left(Y_{2}\right)+\ldots+V\left(Y_{6}\right)=0$, where $V\left(Y_{i}\right)$ is the value of $Y_{i}$ at its current price. The positive components among $Y_{1}, Y_{2}$, $\ldots, Y_{6}$ of the resulting vector $Y$ will be the quantities desired to be supplied to the world market, while the negative components among $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{6}$ represent the quantities desired to be purchased from the world market.
3. The quantities of commodities to be offered to or demanded from the world market, as determined under (2), apply to current world prices. As these prices are changed during the trading session, the quantities offered or demanded should be changed by percentages equal to the percentage changes in price, the sign being the same for sales offers, opposite for purchase demands. (For example, if the current world price of a commodity was 1.05 and is changed to 1.06 - an increase of 0.95 percent - then an offer to sell, say, 20 units of that commodity would be increased by 0.95 percent to 20.19.) In any of these transactions the quantity offered is constrained by the total amount on hand.

## Allocation of final supplies:

To satisfy final demand, the following supply dispositions are made.

1. $M$ is used to supply the maintenance operation.
2. The largest value of $\gamma$ is chosen for which $M+\gamma \cdot F^{s g n \gamma} \leqslant I$, where $I$ is the new inventory (after completion of trades) and $\operatorname{sgn} \gamma$ denotes the sign of $\gamma$ (i.e., + or - ); then, if $\gamma \geqslant 0, \gamma \cdot F$ is used to supply $\gamma \beta_{1}$ units of additional household supplies, $\gamma \beta_{2}$ units of additional government supplies, and $\gamma \beta_{3}$ units of capital investment, while if $\gamma<0$, household supplies required by the maintenance operation are diminished by $\gamma \cdot\left(5-\beta_{1}\right)$, government supplies by $\gamma \cdot\left(5-\beta_{2}\right)$, and capital investment by $\gamma \cdot\left(5-\beta_{3}\right)$ (subject, of course, to their remaining nonnegative). Here, in the case of $\gamma>0$, of each unit of extra capital investment, 90 percent is to go into hard-capital and 10 percent into soft-capital investment. Thus, the distribution of Commodities 3 and 8 allocated to extra capital investment is as shown in Figure 4. The hardcapital investment portion is distributed over Sectors 1 to 8 in the ratio $\alpha_{1}: \alpha_{2}: \ldots: \alpha_{8}$. The soft-capital investment portion is devoted to promoting a breakthrough (or breakthroughs) in the sector (or sectors) $S_{i}$ for which $\alpha_{i}=\max \left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{8}\right)$, to be split equally when there are several candidates.

It should be mentioned that the above provisions for automating the operation of a GEM region can also be invoked if a player wishes to have the information about the course of action that would be prescribed by the automated pursuit of a set policy, or if, after participation in several rounds, he wishes to relinquish further active participation in favor of merely setting a policy and turning over the further management of his region to automatic control.


FIGURE 4 Distribution of Commodities 3 and 8 allocated to extra capital investment.

## AN EXAMPLE OF A GEM PLAY

To convey a clearer idea of how an actual play of GEM would proceed, a sample set of printouts is reproduced in the Appendix.

## Appendix

## SAMPLE OF A GEM GAME

## LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

CI capital investment
Cons consumption
Dur durable goods
Elec electric energy
GV government
HH household
Int intermediate products
inv investment
Min mining
prod production
Serv services
of gemgo
data-file ready for playing
 data-file ready for playing
$\begin{array}{ccccc}\text { the current world prices for tradable commodities } \\ \text { Min } & \text { Int } & \text { Dur } & \text { Cons } & \text { Food } \\ 1 . \emptyset \emptyset & l . \emptyset \emptyset & l .0 \emptyset & 1 . g \emptyset & 1 . g \emptyset \\ \text { type } y \text { for yes if you want to change this option }\end{array}$ Start of scene 1 for region $S$
region $S$ is represented by a live player
type $y$ for yes if you want to change this option
$y$ the supply policy is
households government capital inv
2 . 2 .
type y for yes if you want to change this option
type 3 integers separated by commas
Start of scene l for region E
region E is represented by a live player
type $y$ for yes if you want to change this option
n the supply policy is
households government capital inv
2 . 2 for yes if you want to change this option
type y for
type 3 integers separated by commas
$3,2,2$
Start of scene l for region $C$
region $C$ is represented by a live player
type $Y$ for yes if you want to change this option
$Y$ the supply policy is
households government capital inv
2 . $\quad 2$.
type $y$ for yes if you want to change this option type
$2,2,4$$\quad$ integers separated by commas
Start of scene l for region o
region o is represented by a live player
type y for yes if you want to change this option
y the supply policy is
thouseholds government capital inv
2.
type $y$ for yes if you want to change this option
$y$ type 3 integers separated by commas
$2,4,4$
Start of scene l for region $N$
region $N$ is represented by a live player
$y_{y}$ type $y$ for yes if you want to change this option
the supply policy is
households government capital inv
${ }^{\text {type }}{ }^{2}$ y for yes if you want to change this option
${ }^{2}$.
type 3 integers separated by commas
type
$3,3,2$
Start of scene 1 for region
region D is represented by a live player
type $y$ for yes if you want to change this option
$y$
the supply policy is
households government capital inv
2 2. for yes 2 if you want to change this option
type $y$ for yesers separated by commas
type 3 integers semen
$3,3,3$
The complete report for every region is Dummy players are ready for trade
Live players have to type
gemact $V-$ where $V$ is the character for the region Comments: On the first step of GEM the game-director
calls the program "gemgo". All data are set up for
the scene and all players have to decide, whether
they want the first step of setting the activity
levels for the different sectors to be performed
by the program automatically. In that case they have
the option of setting a policy for importance of
supplies. In this example only the player representing
region "E" decides to have an interactive session to
state the activity levels. He is provided with the
neccessary information, while all other players get
the results for their regions.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Int : } \\
& \text { Dur : }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Dur : } \\
& \text { Cons: }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Fuel: } \\
& \text { Elec: }
\end{aligned}
$$

Serv
0.000
0.000
0.000
0.040
0.022
0.002
0.013
0.000
Elec
0.00
0.000
0.0000.000
0.0000.0000.0000. 3100.000$\stackrel{9}{M}$
$\stackrel{N}{N}$
$\stackrel{0}{2}$
Some important parameters at the start of scene 1 for region $S$
technical coefficients
Fuel
0.000
0.000
0.000
0.000
0.000
0.000
0.021

| $n$ |
| :---: |
| $N$ |
|  |

Dur Cons Food
0.000
0.049
0.000
D. 000
0.000
0.004
0.004
$\vec{\infty}$
$\stackrel{+}{0}$
$\dot{0}$
0.000
D. 333
0.000
0.000
$\otimes$
0
$\stackrel{8}{0}$
6
0
0
0
0.014
9
$\cdots$
$\cdots$
0
Int Dur
0.000
g. 344
0.000
0.000
0.000 0.006 $\underset{\sim}{-1} \underset{0}{-}$ 6
$\stackrel{0}{2}$
0
0 0.056 0.000 0.000 0.000 0.000 0. 044 $\begin{array}{ll}0 & \infty \\ 0 & \infty \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}$ UṬW 0.000 0.008 0.000 0.000 0.062
0.125 $N$
0
0
0 to sector

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Min : } \\
& \text { Int : }
\end{aligned}
$$

$\ddot{2}$
$\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\nu}$
$\omega$
from:
Food:
Serv
$N$
0
0
0
0
0.80
0.20
795.00
$\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ & \infty \\ & 0\end{array}$
$+\cdots$
2.2 ．
$\stackrel{\text { U }}{\stackrel{y}{\omega}}$
0.018
1.60
0.40
75.00
0
0
0
0
0
0
$\infty$
I 7
$\sim$


42． 3
：uoṭdunsuos K6ләuә TE7OL
与ø゙g ：7səxun roqet
Skilled－labor equivalents： 85.1
221.2
Skill level： 0.25
G－8\％0
$\begin{array}{cc}\text { households government ca } \\ 4 . & 3 .\end{array}$
いTい
とも0＂0
0.18
0.05
$00^{\circ} 02$
4.00
24.00
t 1
2.
labor
coefficients：
capital
coefficients
from sector $3:$
from sector $8:$
previous
activity levels：
annual inventory：
capacity：
technical level：
sectoral
development policy：
supply policy：
Monetary reserves:
3000.0
35.0
7uI
0． 027
0.18
0.05
$00^{\circ}-98$ 20.00
400.00
$\stackrel{-}{+}$
$\stackrel{+}{+}$
$\sim$
ino
0.016
0． 20
0.05
600.00 0
0
0
0
660.00
0
0
0
0
$\begin{array}{llll}0 & 0 & \rightarrow & \\ \cdots & 0 & 0 & H \\ \cdots & 0 & & \end{array}$
Fuel
poos
suob
0.021
0.10
0.03
379 90
0． 019
0.40
0.10
00・とを

| 0 |
| :--- |
| 0 |
| 0 |

00092
I
$\sim$

required net product for maintenance in region
total hard－capital inv ： 78.77
Serv

$N$
$\underset{\sim}{1}$
$\sim$
$\infty$
+
0． 57
0
0
0 22.02
6.78
$\begin{array}{ll}1 & \infty \\ \cdots & \infty \\ \cdots\end{array}$
28．81
0.01
のローロ マロ「も
$8 \infty$
0
0
0
60.98
23.09
$\infty$

## Food

2.75
235.05
250.68
$\stackrel{-}{0}$
$\underset{N}{N}$
$\underset{N}{2}$
「
$-2.03$
0.13
Cons
Ib•GSて
345.75
$29.28 \quad 19.42$
Cons
0
0
0 Int
22.30
Fuel

$$
\begin{array}{r}
11.01 \\
4.07
\end{array}
$$

$72.65 \quad 772.18$
482.12 0.00
0.00
$\operatorname{Ser} v$
$0.0 \emptyset$
0.00 Elec
0.00
3.74 units of unemployed labor

### 0.00

0.00

232.30
0.00
$\vec{o}$
$\underset{\sim}{n}$
$\stackrel{1}{2}$
$-1.89$
$\begin{array}{ll}9.85 & 7.87\end{array}$
Fuel
0.00
0.13
total Hi supplies：1100．92
total GV supplies：452．00
88.14
constraints
$533.72 \quad 376.64$
$533.72 \quad 345.75$
$563.72 \quad 365.75$
$Z L-\varepsilon \varepsilon G$
$0 \varepsilon-\varepsilon$
$20 \cdot \varepsilon 9$
$66^{\circ}-1 \tau$
$\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & N \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}$
0． $72 \quad 0.58$ Food
1.89
0.00


## 0 0 0 0 0 0

$0.00 \quad 2.20$
activity levels adjusted due to capacity
and 208 limits on changes： 18.12323 .57
from sectors
for HH supplies：
for GV supplies：
for hard－capital inv：
for depreciated inventory：
total supplies needed：


$$
0.00 \quad 0.10
$$

these activity levels will leave you with

$$
\text { desired net exports: } \quad 0.06 \quad 0.39
$$

$\begin{array}{ll}\text { resulting inventory：} & 3.94 \quad 19.71\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{cccc}\text { ry trade } & \text { balance：} & & \\ & \text { Min } & \text { Int } & \text { Dur } \\ \text { imports：} & 0.00 & 0.00 & 0.00 \\ \text { exports：} & 0.06 & 0.39 & 0.72\end{array}$

8
8
0
g the
and E－0．00
the actiyity levels may be changed to a level without deficit in inputs
set supply policy：households government capital inv

4. 

the actiyity levels may be changed to a level without deficit in inputs
set supply
total Hi supplies: 1142.86 total GV supplies: 465.56

| $+\infty$ $\because r$ no |
| :---: |

Min Int
Int

$$
0.00 \quad 0.00
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0.00 \\
& 0.00
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\text { net output plus inventory: } 4.00 \quad 22.76
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
265.14 \\
218.35 \\
65.54
\end{array}
$$

Cons
Cons

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 358.13 \\
& 5 \\
& 390.12 \\
& 358.13 \\
& 378.13 \\
& 20.06 \\
& 1.61
\end{aligned}
$$


Dur
2.20

activity levels adjusted due to capacity constraints
Eor hard-capital inv:
for depreciated invent
total supplies needed:
0.00
0.00 and 20\% limits on changes: $18.76 \quad 334.92$ expected n
expected net output:

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
0.00 & 2.76 \\
4.00 & 22.76 \\
4.00 & 20.56 \\
0.00 & 0.56
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 1 \text { units } \\
& 1.00 \\
& 3.27
\end{aligned}
$$

total hard-capital

$$
: 81.92
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { Serv } \\
337.15 \\
145.25 \\
16.38 \\
0.00 \\
498.78 \\
799.82 \\
502.01 \\
502.01 \\
3.23 \\
0.00
\end{array}
$$

| $\therefore$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $N$ | $N$ | $M$ | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\omega$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\sigma$ | $N$ | 0 | $A$ | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |


| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $N$ |
| $\cdots$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\cdots$ | 0 | $N$ |
| $i$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Some important parameters at the start of scene $\mathbf{l}$ for region $E$
technical coefficients
$\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{2}$
0.000
0.000
0.000
0.000
0.000
0.000
$n$
$\stackrel{N}{N}$
0
0

$\begin{array}{lllllllll} & 0 & \pi & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & j & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \overrightarrow{1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & & & & & & & 0 \\ H & i & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \pi & 0 & \infty \\ H & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}$



| $\ddot{3}$ |
| :--- |
|  |
|  |

from:

| labor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Serv |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| coefficients: | 0.043 | 0. 027 | B. 016 | 0.021 | 0.019 | Ø. 024 | 0.018 | 0.062 |
| capital |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| from sector 3: | 0.18 | 0.18 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.40 | 1.00 | 1.60 | 0.80 |
| from sector 8: | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0. 63 | 0.10 | 0. 25 | 0.40 | 0. 20 |
| previous |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| annual inventory: | 6.00 | 20.00 | 15.00 | 15.00 | 15.00 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| capacity: | 12.00 | 300.60 | 540.00 | 350.00 | 210.00 | 22.00 | 64.00 | 750.00 |
| technical level: | t 1 | t 1 | t 1 | t 1 | t 1 | t 1 | t 1 | t 1 |
| sectoral <br> development policy: | 2. | 2 | 2. | 2. | 2. | 2. | 2. | 2 |
| supply policy: |  | households government capital inv 3. <br> 2. <br> 2. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Monetary reserves: |  | 27.0 |  | GNP : |  | 1341.3 |  |  |
| Ore reserves | 300.0 |  |  | Fuel reserves: |  |  | 200.0 |  |
| Total supplies to households: 885.0 |  |  |  |  | Total supplies to government: |  |  | 336.5 |
| Total food consumption: 169.9 |  |  |  |  | Total energy consumption: |  |  | 47.6 |
| Government efficiency: 0.85 |  |  | Skill level: 0.09 |  |  | Labor unrest: |  | b. 18 |
| Population: | 559.0 |  | Skilled-labor equivalents: |  |  |  | 66.8 |  |

TB' 17
5.00
0.00
0.01
TB'7
$\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ N & 0\end{array}$
$N$
0
0
TВ''5 TB'6 TB'5
$\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ N & 0\end{array}$
$N$
0
0
a. 50
0.00
10
0
0
0
$\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ N & 0\end{array}$
0.02
-
$\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}$
$n$
0
0
TB8
$\begin{array}{ll}\infty & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}$
Technological breakthroughs
TB 7
$\stackrel{m}{0}$
TB5 TB6



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \dot{\otimes} \\
& \dot{\theta}
\end{aligned}
$$

| $\underset{\sim}{7}$ |
| :---: |
| $\underset{\sim}{0}$ |



$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\otimes & \otimes \\
\otimes & 0 \\
\dot{\theta} & \dot{\theta}
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { in } \\
& \underset{\sim}{\infty} \underset{\sim}{\infty} \underset{\sim}{r} \\
& \hline
\end{aligned}
$$

from sectors

$$
\text { Min } \quad \text { Int } \quad \text { Dur }
$$

Food Fuel
required net product for maintenance in region
of unem

$$
\begin{array}{r}
26.72 \\
1.07 \\
0.00
\end{array}
$$

s7!̣un $Z L \cdot$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 9 t^{-997} \\
& \varepsilon L \cdot t
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\text { total HH supplies: } 929.25 \text { total GV supplies: }
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 8 L-9 G \\
& 88-6 S I \\
& 6 L \cdot 66 T
\end{aligned}
$$

for hard-capital inv :
for HH supplies:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { constraints } \\
& \begin{array}{ll}
411.73 & 292.71
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
\begin{aligned}
& 00.0 \\
& 00.02
\end{aligned}
$$

ge:z

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \angle 0-152 \\
& \text { 人7!̣oedes }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { activity levels adjusted due to } \\
& \text { and } 20 \% \text { limits on changes: } 12.00
\end{aligned}
$$

expected net output.
min met

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0.00 \\
& 0.00
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0.00 \\
& 0.00 \\
& 2.30
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
0.00 \quad 2.30
$$

constraints

## harvest condition

Cons

$$
411.73 \quad 266.46
$$

$$
\begin{array}{rr}
426.73 & 281.46 \\
15.00 & 15.00 \\
5.01 & 5.01
\end{array}
$$

there is no simple way in which the performance of the economy
can be improved above this level
total hard-capital inv

$$
197.17
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
17.66 \\
6.06
\end{array}
$$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
0.01 \\
23.71
\end{array}
$$

$$
-14.93
$$

$$
197.73 \quad 5.07
$$

$$
12.42
$$

Fuel

$$
60.69
$$

$$
26.72
$$

[^1]\[

$$
\begin{array}{r}
178.42 \\
0.00
\end{array}
$$
\]

$$
\begin{array}{r}
4.31 \\
182.73
\end{array}
$$

$$
22.00
$$

$$
15.00-18.65
$$

Elec

$$
\begin{array}{r}
0.00 \\
25.65
\end{array}
$$

of unemployed labor

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0.00 \\
& 0.00
\end{aligned}
$$


436.22

$$
10.02
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { M } \\
& \underset{\sim}{\infty} \\
& \underset{\sim}{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \stackrel{m}{\grave{1}} \\
& \underset{\sim}{\top}
\end{aligned}
$$

7 -」

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underset{\sim}{9} \\
& \underset{\sim}{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \infty \\
& \infty \\
& \infty \\
& 0
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
6 L \cdot 66 I
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \infty \\
& 0 \\
& 0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\operatorname{lic}^{2}
$$

ตE-zz
K7!̣oedeo

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0 \varepsilon \cdot 2 \\
& 9 \varepsilon-z
\end{aligned}
$$

?
of gemact $S$
type one character for the region

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { player is not ready to state activity levels or is a dummy player } \\
& \text { of gemact } E \\
& \text { type one character for the region } \\
& \text { type y for yes if you want the last reported } \\
& \text { allocation of activity levels to be the final }
\end{aligned}
$$ type $Y$ and then your new policy

Yindicato your new cot-cimaly nolicy in the order
indicate your new set-supply policy in the order household, government, capital inv type 3 integers separated by commas

| $\geq$ N | $\pm$ | N | N |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\pm$ | 6 | $\bigcirc$ |  |
| 0 \% | $\stackrel{\sim}{6}$ | $\underset{\sim}{\sim}$ | \% |

$$
{ }^{n} \text { if you are interested in another set of recommended activity levels }
$$

 units of unemployed
if you are interested in another set of recommended activity levels
if you are interested in another
type $y$ and then your new policy
poxd zou paxịbax activity levels poxd 子əu pəววədxə
plus inventory
present surplus
labor:
if you want to allocate tentative activity levels
type $y$ and then your set of activity levels
type $B$ real numbers separated by cominas
12.0,255.0.420.0,30才.0.200.0,22.0,30.0,670.0

| required net prod | $\operatorname{yin}_{0.0}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Int } \\ & 2.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dur } \\ 411.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Cons } \\ 266.5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Food } \\ 182.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fue1 } \\ & 23.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Elec } \\ & 25.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Serv } \\ 420.2 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| activity levels | 12.0 | 255.0 | 420.0 | 300.0 | 200.8 | 22.0 | 64.0] | 676.0 |
| expected net prod | -2. 3 | 0.8 | 420.0 | 273.2 | 185.3 | $-16.3$ | 29.4 | 445.4 |
| plus inventory | 3.7 | 23.8 | 435.0 | 283.2 | 200.3 | 3.7 | 29.4 | 445.4 |
| present surplus | 3.7 | 18.5 | 23.3 | 21.7 | 17.5 | $-20.0$ | 3.8 | 19.2 |
|  | units of unemployed labor: |  |  |  | $-8.63$ |  |  |  |

if you are interested in another set of recommended activity levels type $y$ and then your new policy
if you want to allocate tentative activity levels nype $y$ and then your set of activity levels
please call the program again, there are no other options left
\% gemact $E$
type one character for the region
type $y$ for yes if you want the last reported
allocation of activity levels to be the final
$n$ n interested in another
if you are interested in another set of recommended activity levels
type $y$ and then your new policy
$n$ if you want to allocate tentative activity levels
type $y$ and then your set of activity levels
$y$ type 8 real numbers separated by commas
if you are interested in another set of recommended activity levels
type $y$ and then your new policy
$n$ if you want to allocate tentative activity levels
type $y$ and then your set of activity levels
$y$ type 8 real numbers separated by commas
if you are interested in another set of recommended activity levels
type $y$ and then your new policy
$n$ if you want to allocate tentative activity levels
type $y$ and then your set of activity levels
$y$ type 8 real numbers separated by commas
type 8 real numbers separated by commas
$12 ., 250 ., 415 ., 297 ., 200 ., 22 ., 64 \ldots, 671$.

| required net prod | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Min } \\ & \emptyset . \emptyset \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Int } \\ & 2.3 \end{aligned}$ | Dur $411.7$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cons } \\ & 266.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Food } \\ & 182.7 \end{aligned}$ | Fuel $23.7$ | Elec 25.7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Serv } \\ & 426.2 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| activity levels | 12.0 | 250.0 | 415.0 | 297.0 | 200.0 | 22.0 | 64.0 | 671.0 |
| expected net prod | $-2.0$ | -1. 5 | 415.0 | 270.2 | 185.2 | $-16.6$ | 29.8 | 448.4 |
| plus inventory | 4.0 | 18.5 | 430.0 | 285.2 | 200. 2 | 4.0 | 29.8 | 448.4 |
| present surplus | 4.0 | 16.2 | 18.3 | 18.7 | 17.5 | $-19.7$ | 4.1 | 22-2 |

units of unemployed labor: -0.42
if you are interested in another set of recommended activity levels n type $y$ and then your new policy
if you want to allocate tentative activity levels
type $y$ and then your set of activity levels
type 8 real numbers separated by commas

| required net prod | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Min } \\ & 0.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Int } \\ & 2.3 \end{aligned}$ | Dur $411.7$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Cons } \\ & 266.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Food } \\ & 132.7 \end{aligned}$ | Fuel $23.7$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Elec } \\ 25.7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Serv } \\ & 426.2 \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| activity levels | 12.0 | 250.0 | 415.0 | 295.0 | 200.0 | 22.0 | 64.0 | 665.0 |
| expected net prod | -2.0 | $-0.8$ | 415.6 | 268.4 | 185.4 | $-16.0$ | 29.9 | 442.7 |
| plus inventory | 4.0 | 19.2 | 430.0 | 283.4 | 200.4 | 4.0 | 29.9 | 442.7 |
| present surplus | 4.8 | 16.9 | 18.3 | 16.9 | 17.6 | -19.7 | 4. 2 | 16.5 |

if you are interested in another set of recommended activity levels type $y$ and then your new policy
if you want to allocate tentative activity levels type $y$ and then your set of activity levels
please call the program again, there are no other options left call this program again
\% yeinact $E$
type one character for the region
type $y$ for yes if you want the last reported
allocation of activity levels to be the final
> some useful information about the activity levels
> is printed on the line printer
your region is ready for the w


$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Now that the player for region "E" has finally decided on the activity } \\
& \text { levels, all regions are ready to start the official trading. Again only } \\
& \text { one player participates in the interactive session, but all other players } \\
& \text { may interrupt the trade at any step and restart it from the beginning or or } \\
& \text { from the point where it was stopped, changing their status to that of a } \\
& \text { live player. otherwise their offers are determined by the program } \\
& \text { according to demands and prices. } \\
& \text { The official trade is completed with detailed reports on the results for } \\
& \text { all regions. The next step will be the allocation of supplies in all } \\
& \text { regions. Again the example shows it for one live player in region "E" and } \\
& \text { one dummy player in region "S". In order to improve the proportions } \\
& \text { among the different sectors, goods may be sold and purchased in bilateral } \\
& \text { informal trade steps. Such a trade is shown for regions "E" and "S". } \\
& \text { The allocation of supplies is finished, after the hard- and soft-capital } \\
& \text { assignment to all the different sectors and technologies is done. Then the } \\
& \text { game-director calls the program "gemfin" which sets up all data for the } \\
& \text { next scene and prints an overview for all regions. } \\
& \text { The curious results are due to very bad policy and to the fact that } \\
& \text { the aim was to show as many different options as possible for one player } \\
& \text { and not to obtain optimal results for any of the regions. Also the } \\
& \text { algorithm for the dummy players is only set up to obtain a feasible } \\
& \text { allocation of resources and not to optimize the performance of a given } \\
& \text { economy. }
\end{aligned}
$$

results of activity-level allocation for region E
62.97

665.00
442.70
442.70
16.50
000
total hard-capital inv
Elec
$\begin{array}{ll}\infty & 0 \\ & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ \sim\end{array}$
$182.73 \quad 23.71 \quad 25.65$
$\begin{array}{ll}\infty & \Delta \\ 0 & \sigma \\ \dot{0} & \text { N }\end{array}$

| $\infty$ | $N$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\dot{\sim}$ | $N$ |  |
| $\dot{N}$ |  | 0 |

of unemployed labor

| total HH supplies: 929.25 | total GV supplies: |  |  | 336.50 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| from sectors | in n | Int | Dur | Cons |
| for HH supplies: | 0.00 | 0.00 | 199.79 | 199.79 |
| for GV supplies: | 0.00 | 0.00 | 159.84 | 64.94 |
| for hard-capital inv : |  |  | 50.38 |  |
| for depreciated inventory: | 0.00 | 2.30 | 1.73 | 1.73 |
| total supplies needed: | 0.00 | 2.30 | 411.73 | 266.46 |

2.30 $411.73 \quad 266.46$

$200.37 \quad 4.03$
$-19.69$

| 0 |
| :---: |
|  |
|  |
| 1 |

Food

0

都

0.00 units
harvest condition 1.02
total HH supplies: 929.25
\% gemtrade
region S is represented by a dumny player
type $y$ for yes if you want to change this option
n region E is represented by a live player
type y for yes if you want to change this option
$n$ region $C$ is represented by a dummy player
type y for yes if you want to change this option
$n$ region o is represented by a dummy player
type y for yes if you want to change this option
n region Nis represented by a dummy player
type y for yes if you want to change this option
n region $D$ is represented by a dummy player
type y for yes if you want to change this option
n ype $y$ for yes if you want to change this option
state your trade offers. type one character for
6
1.00 $-32.2$
the region.



2
$\begin{array}{ll}\theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \\ \rightarrow & 0\end{array}$
last offers made:
last of fers
Min
current prices

- 90
volume 1.80
to change your offers type an integer for the commodity and
separated by comma a real number for the volume
type commodity, volume or zero if no more changes
these offers will leave you with $\quad 27.0$ units of monetary reserves
and available products
$\begin{array}{cccccc}4.8 & 18.3 & 416.0 & 270.7 & 194.9 & 36.2\end{array}$
$y$ type $y$ for yes to confirm this set of offers


65.54
52.68

Fuel
0.80
state your trade offers. type one character for the region.

to change your offers type an integer for the commodity and separated by comma a real number for the volume type commodity，volume or zero if no more changes
commodity，volume or zero if no more changes 0
these offers will leave y
$\begin{gathered}\text { and } \begin{array}{c}\text { available products of } \\ 4.8 \\ 18.3\end{array} \quad 416.0\end{gathered} \quad 270.7 \quad 194.9 \quad 44.0$
type $y$ for yes to confirm this set of offers
Trade offers for step $\begin{array}{ll} & 2 \\ \text { Food } & \text { Fuel } \\ 1.28 & 0.80\end{array}$
0.80
$\begin{array}{rr}-7.87 & 0.24 \\ 5.43 & -40.90\end{array}$
09
0
0
0

-7.87
5.43
0.00
0.16
1.24
0.93
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { supply } & 1.53 & 3.21 & 25.62 & 16.87 & 7.76 & 32.65\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { demand } 0.80 & 2.30 & 10.47 & 8.26 & 7.87 & 62.77 \\ \text { new prices for tradable commodities are：}\end{array}$
ies are：
Cons Food
type y for yes if all live players are ready
to continue the trade session
$\square$
0
0
0
$+6 \cdot 0$

$$
\begin{array}{r}
1.44 \\
12.69 \\
-\quad 0.07 \\
-8.19 \\
2.00 \\
0.75
\end{array}
$$

$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { supply } & 1.53 & 3.21 & 25.62 & 16.87 & 7.76 & 32.65\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { demand } 0.80 & 2.30 & 10.47 & 8.26 & 7.87 & 62.77 \\ \text { new prices for tradable commodities are：}\end{array}$
Min Int
Min
$\begin{array}{rrr}\text { Int } & \text { Dur } & \text { Cons } \\ 1.01 & 1.63 & 0.87\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{rr}1.11 & 4.61 \\ 0.87 & 13.97 \\ 0.00 & -0.07 \\ -2.30 & -10.40 \\ 0.76 & 5.66 \\ 0.46 & 1.38\end{array}$
0．46

のはひロ～ロ
D 0.08
Dur Cons
to continue the trade session
type $y$ for yes if you want to continue this trade later
without starting from scratch again
to continue the trade call this program again
of gemtrade
type $y$ for yes if you want to continue the interrupted trade

$$
{ }^{Y} \text { region } S \text { is represented by a dummy player }
$$

region $S$ is represented by a dummy player type $y$ for yes if you want to change this option
region $E$ is represented by a live player nype $Y$ for yes if you want to change this option
region $C$ is represented by a dummy player
type $y$ for yes if you want to change this option
region $O$ is represented by a dummy player
type $y$ for yes if you want to change this option
region $N$ is represented by a dummy player
type $y$ for yes if you want to change this option
region $D$ is represented by a dummy player
type $y$ for yes if you want to change this option n
state your trade offers type one character for the region.
E
last offers made:

to change your offers type an integer for the commodity and separated by comma a real number for the volume

separated by comma a real number for the volume
type commodity, volume or zero if no more changes
type commodity, volume or zero if no more changes
type commodity, volume or zero if no more changes
these offers will leave you with 25.6 units of monetary reserves and available products of
type $y$ for yes to confirm this set of offers
$y$

to change your offers type an integer for the commodity and or the volume more changes
type commodity, volume or zero if no more changes these of fers will leave you with
22.9 units of monetary reserves
and available products of
39.5
4
Fuel
1.02
-31.33
-0.46
50.06
-15.34
-3.95
51.88
57.87

| $\infty$ |
| :--- |
| $\infty$ |
|  |

194.9

| Trade offers for step |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Int | Dur | Cons | Food |
| 1.01 | 1.18 | 0.50 | 1.29 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 1.69 | 6.43 | 1.21 | -9.49 |
| 1.17 | 13.97 | 12.69 | 4.84 |
| 0.00 | -0.08 | -0.08 | 0.00 |
| -4.74 | -29.06 | -15.97 | -0.25 |
| 1.45 | 7.50 | 1.64 | 3.57 |
| 0.44 | 1.24 | 0.51 | 1.34 |
| 8.11 | 29.14 | 16.06 | 10.93 |
|  |  |  |  |
| 4.74 | 31.31 | 16.59 | 9.74 |

216.0
confirm this set of
270.7
$y^{\text {type } y} \begin{gathered}4.8 \\ \text { for } \\ \text { yes to co }\end{gathered}$
Min Int
Min
0.50
0
-0.80
0.53
0.13
0.05
0.05
supply 2.03
Price
ज以uOzo
demand 0.80
the trade report is printed on the line printer
to continue players should type
gemsup $V$ - where $V$ is the region
to allocate the final supplies
0.02
g.9โ-
Ləna

15.0
$\stackrel{n}{0} \stackrel{\sim}{0}_{0}^{\circ}$


$\stackrel{\text { N }}{\stackrel{\sim}{9}}$

$\begin{array}{cc}m & m \\ \underset{i}{m} & \text { m }\end{array}$
$\stackrel{m}{n}$

$\stackrel{m}{\sim}$
25. 5

g. Lz

$\stackrel{\infty}{\oplus} \quad \stackrel{\oplus}{\oplus}$
IS


Monetary balance
uṬ
$\begin{array}{lr}\text { final net exports } & -0.80 \\ \text { final exports in monetary terms: } \\ & -6.40\end{array}$
final values
Inventory:
0-9
a.z-
uṬ|

0.02
:səлдәsəл Клеұәиоһ
Trade session results for region E
some initial values for the trade session
Net output:
Net output
1.0
Resulting monetary reserves
resulting inventory
8.9
final prices:
Monetary balance
都
Resulting

Trade session results for region $S$
some initial values for the trade session
Net output:
$\begin{array}{ll}8 \cdot 2 & 0-\theta \\ 7 \text { UI } & \text { UTW }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{ll}m \\ \Xi & m \\ 0 & \text { m } \\ i n\end{array}$
$0 \cdot 0 \varepsilon$
35.0
$\begin{array}{lll}\infty & m & n \\ \cdots & \infty & n \\ 0 & \infty & n\end{array}$
$1.71 \quad 7.59$


| $\underset{\sim}{N}$ |
| :---: |
| $\vdots$ |

asueteq Kiezauow

N
$\underset{\sim}{\sim}$
$\underset{1}{2}$
$\stackrel{n}{a}$
$\stackrel{\infty}{\infty}$
33.8
resulting inventory
7.0
of gemsup $E$
Start of final supply allocation. Type one character for the region
-
type $y$ for yes if you want to change this option
Le

type $y$ for yes if you want to change the level of government supplies
type $y$ for yes if you want to change the level of total capital investment
n type $y$ for yes if you want to have another tentative run just now
type $y$ for yes if you want to try with a different set supply policy
type 3 integers separated by commas
$4,4,2$

1.03



n type $y$ for yes if you want to try with a different set supply policy
You cannot state these levels of supply because of shortage
call this program again to state the supplies finally
\# geminfo SE
type one character for the donor region
Sype one character for the recipient region
if the donor loans some money to
the recipient, indicate the volume, else $\theta$
indic thexchange in the for
indicate the exchange in the following way
where commodity is an in
where commodity is an integer in the range from l-6
while price and volume are real numbers
an empty line ends the informal trade
indicate the exchange in the following way
commodity, price, volume
where commodity is an integer in the ran
an empty 1 ine ends the informal trade
Results of informal trade

informal trade finished, may be continued with another call

E Start of final supply allocation．Type one character for the region your region is represented by a live player
type y for yes if you want to change this option n

Level of HH supplies 1
1.03
Fuel
supplies
1.03
$\Lambda 5$
cons
［əス and
fided

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \ddagger 0 \\
& \varepsilon 0
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\text { Level of th supplies } \begin{array}{c}
\text { Level o } \\
\text { Min }
\end{array} \text { Int }
\end{gathered}
$$

35.36
かio
0.00
7.99
6.43
195.53
278.71
278.78
66.89
Dur
6.03
$\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$
と 9.79

$$
49.94
$$

Total available input
$4.80 \quad 18.03$
type $y$ for yes if you want to change the level of household supplies
type one real number for the new value
type $y$ for yes if you want to change the absolute allocation of food to households
$Y^{\text {of food }}$ type
180.0
type $y$ for yes if you want to change the level of government supplies
type one real number for the new value
1.02
type $y$ for yes if you want to change the level of government supplies
type one real number for the new value
l． 2 ．
type $y$ for yes if you want to change the level of total capital investment
y type
yype
$4,3,4$ 3 integers separated by commas

total available for hard-capital inv : 58.53 to sector Min : 0.13 to sector Min :
type a new value or -l. $\begin{aligned} & \text { if no change } \\ & \text { total available for hard-capital inv : }\end{aligned}$.

type a new value or -1.6 if no change
total available for hard-capital inv :
type a new value or -1.6 if no change
total available for hard-capital inv :
type a new value or -1.0 if no change
total available for hard-capital inv :
to sector food: 4.96
type a new value or -1.0 if no change
total available for hard-capital inv :
type a new value or -1.0 if no change
total available for hard-capital inv :
to sector Elec: 5.88
type a new value or -1.0 if no change
6.0 .
total available for hard-capital inv :
type a new value or -1 .b if no change
Allocation of soft-capital investment in terms of durable goods

| $n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $n$ |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $n$ |  |
| $n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $\dot{n}$ | $n$ | $n$ | $n$ | $n$ |



$y_{y}^{t y p e} y$ if you want to state this allocation as the final

[^2]Results of final supply allocation for region E scene 1
levels of supply to

Elec
29.90
19.19
7.24
0.00
0.00
0.00 6.00

$\begin{array}{rr}\text { TB'7 } & \text { TB'7 } \\ 0.15 & 0.00\end{array}$
TB' 5
0.00


Results of final supply allocation for region $S$ scene
Results of final supply allocation for region S scene laty
levels of supply to
Capital investment 1.29
Elec
29.89
22.68
$\begin{array}{lll}0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0\end{array}$
0.00
9.00

$\begin{array}{rr}\text { TB'7 } & \text { TB''7 } \\ 1.00 & 0.43\end{array}$
TB' 5
0.50

| Food | Fuel |
| :---: | :---: |
| 248.61 | 22.64 |
| 239.26 | 11.34 |
| 0.06 | 4.27 |
| 0.00 | 0.06 |
| 9.35 | 7.12 |
| 9.35 | 7.12 |
| s | 8.00 |

$\begin{array}{rrr}T B 11 & T B ' 15 & T B ' 6 \\ 0.20 & 0.20 & 0.30\end{array}$
TB8
Ø. $2 \varnothing$


$\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\oplus} \stackrel{\oplus}{\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}}$

World
4244.84
5950.27
149.00 6L－9をG6
 $\angle O^{\circ} \theta^{-}$
$\angle I^{-} \theta$
$\begin{array}{ll}\hat{0} & N \\ 0 & N \\ i & \\ i\end{array}$ 3.27
34.37 $n$
$\vdots$
0 $\stackrel{N}{N}$

0 903.67
769.27
15.96 2192.77 2480.00
$\infty$
$\stackrel{\infty}{1}$
0 0
0
0

$i$ $\stackrel{\infty}{\sim}$ | 0 | 0 | $\uparrow$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 0 | $\vdots$ |
| 0 | $\stackrel{0}{m}$ | 0 | $\begin{array}{ll}\stackrel{-}{-} & - \\ \bullet & 0\end{array}$ 0

0
0
$z$ 247.64
247.64
1513.58
31.40
1983.97 $00-05 \angle Z$ IT• 0 0
0
0
$i$ $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{n}$
$\underset{\sim}{2}$ $\underset{\sim}{-}$ $\begin{array}{cc}\text { m } & 0 \\ \vdots \\ \infty & 0 \\ \infty & 0\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ \stackrel{0}{0} & \\ 0 & 0\end{array}$ 0
$\stackrel{n}{2}$
$\stackrel{1}{2}$

0
0 $99^{\circ} 6$
$60^{\circ} \angle \nabla$
T $L \cdot \varepsilon 9$ 0
0
N
N
ö
a N
N
N
N $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\square}$ -1
$\vdots$
0 $\begin{array}{lll}\text { in } & \text { in } & 0 \\ 0 & \underset{\sim}{n} \\ \cdots & 0\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{ll}0 & 0 \\ \sim & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}$
$u$

 6
 395.70
689.90
41.76 288.00 $00^{-8 L L T}$


$$
\text { Ø. } 22
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { か } \\
& 0 \\
& \dot{0} \\
& i
\end{aligned}
$$

$\qquad$ 0.00
72.60
0.36 $\begin{array}{ll}0 & 7 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0\end{array}$
凹
$\backsim$
5
395.70
1689.90
41.76
2981.24

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 5 \theta \cdot \theta- \\
& 2 \theta \cdot \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -1 \\
& \sim \\
& -1
\end{aligned}
$$
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| TBl | TB 2 | TB 3 | TB4 | TB5 | TB6 | TB7 | TB8 | TB'1 | TB''5 | TB' 6 | TB'5 | TB'7 | TB''7 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S |  | xx | xx | x x |  |  |  |  |  | x x |  |  |  |
| E | xx | $\mathrm{x} x$ | x ${ }^{\text {x }}$ | $\mathrm{x} \times$ | $\mathrm{x} \times$ | x $\times$ | x x |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| C |  |  |  | $\mathrm{x} \times$ |  | x ${ }^{\text {x }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  | x ${ }^{\text {r }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $N$ |  | x ${ }^{\text {x }}$ |  | x $\times$ | $\mathbf{x} \mathbf{x}$ |  | x $\times$ | x ${ }^{\text {x }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| D $\mathbf{x x}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | curr | nce | TB's | since | start | of GEM |  |  |  |  |
| 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\emptyset$ | $\emptyset$ |
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