# International Archives of the History of Ideas Archives internationales d'histoire des idées

#### **Founding Editors**

Paul Dibon Jeremy Popkin

#### Volume 242

#### **Honorary Editor**

Sarah Hutton, Department of Philosophy, University of York, York, UK

#### **Editor-in-Chief**

Guido Giglioni, University of Macerata, Civitanova Marche, Italy

#### **Associate Editor**

John Christian Laursen, University of California, Riverside, CA, USA

#### **Editorial Board Members**

Michael J. B. Allen, University of California Los Angeles, Los Angeles, USA Jean-Robert Armogathe, École Pratique des Hautes Études, Paris, France Stephen Clucas, Birkbeck, University of London, London, UK Peter Harrison, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, Australia John Henry, Science Studies Unit, University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK Jose R. Maia Neto, University of Belo Horizonte, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil

Martin Mulsow, Universität Erfurt, Gotha, Germany Gianni Paganini, University of Eastern Piedmont, Vercelli, Italy John Robertson, Clare College, Cambridge, UK Javier Fernández Sebastian, Universidad del País Vasco, Bilbao, Vizcaya, Spain Ann Thomson, European University Institute (EUI), Florence, Italy Theo Verbeek, Universiteit Utrecht, Utrecht, The Netherlands Koen Vermeir, Paris Diderot University, Paris, France International Archives of the History of Ideas/Archives internationales d'histoire des idées is a series which publishes scholarly works on the history of ideas in the widest sense of the word. It covers history of philosophy, science, political and religious thought and other areas in the domain of intellectual history. The chronological scope of the series extends from the Renaissance to the Post-Enlightenment. Founded in 1963 by R.H. Popkin and Paul Dibon, the International Archives of the History of Ideas/Archives internationales d'histoire des idées publishes, edits and translates sources that have been either unknown hitherto, or unavailable, and publishes new research in intellectual history, and new approaches within the field. The range of recent volumes in the series includes studies on skepticism, astrobiology in the early modern period, as well as translations and editions of original texts, such as the *Treatise of the Hypochondriack and Hysterick Diseases* (1730) by Bernard Mandeville. All books to be published in this Series will be fully peer-reviewed before final acceptance.

Joshua P. Hochschild • Turner C. Nevitt Adam Wood • Gábor Borbély Editors

# Metaphysics Through Semantics: The Philosophical Recovery of the Medieval Mind

Essays in Honor of Gyula Klima



Editors
Joshua P. Hochschild
Mount St. Mary's University
Emmitsburg, MD, USA

Adam Wood Wheaton College Wheaton, IL, USA Turner C. Nevitt University of San Diego San Diego, CA, USA

Gábor Borbély Eötvös Loránd University Budapest, Hungary

ISSN 0066-6610 ISSN 2215-0307 (electronic)
International Archives of the History of Ideas Archives internationales d'histoire des idées ISBN 978-3-031-15025-8 ISBN 978-3-031-15026-5 (eBook)
https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-031-15026-5

© The Editor(s) (if applicable) and The Author(s), under exclusive license to Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2023

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are solely and exclusively licensed by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors, and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, expressed or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

## **Preface**

Gyula Klima's distinctive work recovering medieval philosophy has inspired a generation of scholars. Klima's attention to the distinctive terms, problems, and assumptions that constitute alternative historical conceptual frameworks has informed work in philosophy of language and logic, cognition and philosophical psychology, and metaphysics and theology.

This volume celebrates Klima's project by collecting new essays by colleagues, collaborators, and former students. Covering a wide range of thinkers (Plotinus, Anselm, Aquinas, Buridan, Ockham, and others) and various specific questions (e.g., about language, cognition, the soul, and God), it is unified by a common interest in applying historically sensitive, hermeneutically sophisticated, and logically rigorous philosophical interpretation to recover, and appreciate, lost perspectives.

Each chapter is published with a proper abstract, but for another reason, we forgo a conventional introduction summarizing the volume's contents: it would feel artificial. As a celebratory volume, collecting works from diverse scholars with varying points of contact with Klima's rich body of work, the collection is eclectic in style and content. Some individual chapters engage Klima's thought directly, others draw on his work explicitly or implicitly, still others don't reference him but address topics in one or another of many overlapping spheres of interest. What unifies the set of contributions is nothing more nor less than the inspiration of Klima's general "historical-analytical" intention, the concern to intelligibly reconstruct difficult fundamental ideas in the history of philosophy.

The volume is framed by two pieces which more directly expound and interpret the significance of Klima's intellectual project. At the beginning, a more personal and general appreciation of Klima's character as a person and scholar; at the end, a more detailed exposition of his record of scholarship, effectively an extended (though by no means comprehensive) bibliographic essay. By both we hope not only to introduce new readers to Klima's legacy but to honor the man who produced it.

Credit for conceiving this volume is impossible to give. Thankfully, while parallel lines don't meet, parallel conceptions can converge, and a critical mass of grateful scholars and former students eventually came to a common understanding and

vi Preface

decided to execute. Some specific impetus deserves recognition. Gyula has been blessed with first one, and then another, devoted companion in life—devoted enough to travel to conferences with him and share in his friendships with students and colleagues. Making sure we were planning ahead for an appropriate landmark birthday, crucial encouragements and providential intercessions came first from Klima's late wife, Judit, before she died, and then later from Klima's second wife, Agnes.

As for the named editors, our work was shared but not the same. The overwhelming majority of editing individual papers was undertaken by Wood and Nevitt. Borbely secured and supported participation from key Hungarian scholars. Hochschild primarily organized and coordinated between authors and with the press. All took great pleasure in the chance to honor their teacher and friend.

Emmitsburg, MD, USA

Joshua P. Hochschild

San Diego, CA, USA

Turner C. Nevitt

Wheaton, IL, USA

Adam Wood

Budapest, Hungary

Gábor Borbély

# **Contents**

Int	Joshua P. Hochschild	X
Pa	rt I Before Aquinas	
1	Pythagoras, the Philosopher and Grammar Teacher (Br. Lib. Add. MS 37516 recto)	3
2	Abelard on Existential Inference.  Peter King	21
3	Rereading "Saint Anselm's Proof"  Daniel Patrick Moloney	39
4	Albert the Great Among the Pygmies: Explaining Animal Intelligence in the Thirteenth Century	63
Pa	rt II Aquinas	
5	"The Essential Differentiae of Things are Unknown to Us": Thomas Aquinas on the Limits of the Knowability of Natural Substances	79
6	<b>Aquinas,</b> <i>perversor philosophiae suae</i> Gábor Borbély	95
7	Knowing Non-existent Natures: A Problem for Aquinas's Semantics of Essence  Turner C. Nevitt	119

viii Contents

8	Metaphors, Dead and Alive	133
9	<b>Truth and Person in Aquinas's </b> <i>De veritate</i> Robert J. Dobie	153
10	Transcendentals Explained Through Syncategoremata: Is Being as Truth a Transcendental According to Thomas Aquinas? Giovanni Ventimiglia	173
11	<b>Truth as a Transcendental</b> Edward Feser	185
Par	t III Ockham and Buridan	
12	<b>Four Notes on the Grammar of Ockham's Mental Language</b> Claude Panaccio	207
13	Thoughts About Things: Aquinas, Buridan and Late Medieval Nominalism	221
14	Buridan's Reinterpretation of Natural Possibility and Necessity Guido Alt	237
15	The Semantic Account of Formal Consequence, from Alfred Tarski Back to John Buridan	255
16	Skeptical Motivators in Buridan's Philosophy of Science Ariane Economos	273
Par	t IV Other Scholastics	
17	Parody or Touch-Up? Duns Scotus's Engagement with Anselm's Proslogion Argument	289
18	<i>De se</i> vs. <i>de facto</i> Ontology in Late-Medieval Realism Laurent Cesalli	305
19	Connotation vs. Extrinsic Denomination: Peter Auriol on Intentions and Intellectual Cognition	323
20	Temporal Origins Essentialism and Gappy Existence in Marsilius of Inghen's <i>Quaestiones super libros De generatione et corruptione</i> Adam Wood	359

Contents ix

21	<b>John of Ripa and the Metaphysics of Christology</b> Richard Cross	377
Aft	erword  Gyula Klima as Medievalist: A Select Bibliographical Essay  Jacob Archambault	389
Abs	stracts	401

## **Contributors**

**Guido Alt** is Postdoctoral Fellow at the Department of Philosophy of Stockholm University.

**Fabrizio Amerini** is Associate Professor of History of Medieval Philosophy at the University of Parma (Italy).

**Jacob Archambault** researches the history and philosophy of logic and works as a full stack C# .NET developer in Louisville, Kentucky.

**István Bodnár** teaches ancient philosophy at Eötvös Loránd University (ELTE), Budapest, and Central European University (CEU), Vienna.

**Gábor Borbély** is Associate Professor of Philosophy at Eötvös Loránd University (ELTE), Budapest.

Laurent Cesalli is Professor of Medieval Philosophy at the University of Geneva.

**Richard Cross** is John A. O'Brien Professor of Philosophy at the University of Notre Dame.

**Robert J. Dobie** is Professor of Philosophy and Chair of the Department of Philosophy at La Salle University (Philadelphia, Pennsylvania).

**Ariane Economos** is Associate Professor of Philosophy and Director of the School of Humanities at Marymount University (Virginia).

**Edward Feser** is Professor of Philosophy at Pasadena City College (California).

Giacomo Fornasieri is a postdoctoral fellow at Lumsa University in Rome.

**Joshua P. Hochschild** is Professor of Philosophy at Mount St. Mary's University (Maryland).

**Peter King** is Professor of Philosophy at the University of Toronto.

**Martin Klein** is a lecturer in Philosophy at the University of Würzburg, Germany.

xii Contributors

**Fr. Daniel Patrick Moloney**, a priest of the Archdiocese of Boston, is Assistant Professor at St. John Vianney Theological Seminary (Denver).

**Turner C. Nevitt** is Associate Professor of Philosophy at the University of San Diego.

**Calvin G. Normore** is Professor of Philosophy at the University of California Los Angeles.

**Claude Panaccio** is Emeritus Professor in the Department of Philosophy of the University of Quebec at Montreal and a fellow of the Royal Society of Canada.

Giorgio Pini is Professor of Philosophy at Fordham University (New York).

**Peter G. Sobol** is an honorary fellow in the History of Science Department at the University of Wisconsin.

**Giovanni Ventimiglia** is Professor of Philosophy and Vice Dean of the Faculty of Theology at the University of Lucerne.

Adam Wood is Associate Professor of Philosophy at Wheaton College (Illinois).

## **Introduction: In Appreciation of Gyula Klima**

Joshua P. Hochschild

Évezred hanyatlik, évezred kel újra, Míg egy földi álom e világba téved, Hogy a *hitlen* ember imádni tanulja A köd oszlopában rejlő Istenséget.

—János Arany, "Dante" (1852)

One millennium sets and one millennium rises, Till a mortal's dream into that world will stray, Till the unbelieving person recognizes That mist-hidden Godhead to which he must pray.

(trans. David Hill, 2010)

What began as a peaceful student protest in Budapest on October 23, 1956 quickly turned violent. Soon many strategically placed buildings had become staging grounds for confrontation between communist and populist forces. Within days, a Soviet tank had driven through the maternity ward at Saint Margaret Hospital in Óbuda. So it was that on October 30, in the midst of the Hungarian Uprising, a midwife was called to a modest house on Bercsényi Street, and Gyula Klima was born at home.

Klima would pursue all his schooling in Hungary, completing his PhD in 1986 at Eötvös Lóránd University. In 1986 and 1987, conference presentations outside of Hungary impressed established scholars (most notably Stephen Read, Simo Knuuttila, Sten Ebbesen, and Calvin Normore), leading to fellowship offers in Finland (1989–90, and 1991), Scotland (1990) and Denmark (1991), followed by successive faculty positions at three major American universities: Yale (1991–1995), Notre Dame (1995–1999), and Fordham (1999 to the present). Keeping the last position part-time, Klima has recently returned to Budapest, now with an international network of appreciative scholarly colleagues, former students, and friends. His external accomplishments are a matter of a long publishing record and attested, directly and indirectly, in this celebratory volume, with contributions from many who have been privileged to engage with his person and work.

Invited to recount his own early career, Klima is remarkably humble and bemused by the series of accidents, chance meetings, and good fortune that set him on such a distinguished and enviable, perhaps charmed, trajectory. So it seems not inappropriate to focus on the coincidences at the very beginning—the philosopher's homebirth during a world-historic political confrontation—and draw from them three defining threads, woven through his subsequent work: the characteristic Hungarian temper of resilient, independent, and creative traditionalism; attention to the practical implications of first principles; and lastly, midwifery—at least in the Socratic sense, which is to say, the service of dialectic.

The three threads intertwine, yet each in turn can serve more dominantly: the first, to highlight some general features of Klima's style; the second, to summarize his scholarly project; and the third to highlight the animating spirit of his work.

## A Magyar Mind

It is not uncommon to suggest that there is a characteristically Hungarian temperament, often traced, at least in part, to the distinctiveness of the Hungarian language. The historian John Lukacs (who emigrated from Budapest to the United States in 1946 at the age of 22) noted "the loneliness of the Magyar language," having "no relative among the great families of European languages" (Lukacs 1988, 65). Not only vocabulary, but grammar and syntax, isolates Hungarian from Germanic and Romance languages. Its structure is "agglutinative," which linguists also call "synthetic": a syntax strictly rule-based and conspicuous through added syllables rather than by inflections and prepositions. Lukacs links this to "the frequent linguistic abilities of Hungarians" (and we might conjecture that such a mother tongue would offer advantageous perspective in studying both Latin and formal logic).

Its grammar and syntax also gives Hungarian speech a distinctive sound. In appropriately musical English, Patrick Leigh Fermor described how in Hungarian "changes of sense are conveyed by a concatenation of syllables stuck on behind the first; all the vowel sounds imitate their leader, and the invariable ictus on the leading syllable sets up a kind of dactylic or anapaestic canter which, to a new ear, gives Magyar a wild and most unfamiliar ring" (Fermor 1986, 31).

Lukacs describes the language as strongly "declarative... rational rather than mystical, lyrical rather than metaphorical," which he takes to explain why "there is little that is sly and secretive in the national character" (Lukacs 1988, 110). The Hungarian literary scholar Antal Szerb, linking language to the style of the nation's parliamentary politics, said the Hungarian mind "tends to monologue, rather than to dialogue" (quoted in Lukacs 1988, 109).

These historical and cultural reflections are relevant because language is central to Klima's philosophical project, not only as an object of study, but for its range of persuasive power—a range that somewhat confirms, and somewhat challenges, Lukacs's comments on Magyar rhetorical habits. Klima's own writing moves comfortably between technical formalization and elegant, often poetic, composition.

And anyone who has heard him speak knows his playfulness with language, his love of elegant turns of phrase and vivid metaphor, and his careful enthusiasm, perfectly fluent in English but taking on a rushing intensity when speaking in his native tongue.

The "loneliness" of the Magyar tongue has also inspired an almost unsharable pride in national literature, especially poets. It was a reading of Sándor Petőfi's 1848 "National Song," an anthem for freedom asserting independence from Austria, that also roused students to begin what became the 1956 Uprising. Klima's personal favorites include Miklós Radnóti and János Arany, modern poets who yet confirm the Hungarian habit of preserving and persisting through suffering, mixing piety and melancholy, nostalgia and hope. "Many of the most enduring achievements of the nation consist of conservative efforts of recovery and rebuilding after its worst disasters" (Lukacs 1988, 110). Lukacs finds that spiritually, Hungarians are more conscious of "that blending of major and minor, of optimism and pessimism, of light and darkness that is, after all, the inevitable human condition, and also the condition of any culture that is worthwhile" (Lukacs 1988, 24). Preserving culture involves not only protecting against threats but also assimilating valuable contributions from outside.

Klima's work reflects this creative traditionalism. One of the first things one notices as his student is that he does not treat medieval thinkers as part of a past age, a lost curiosity. He once remarked that, as far as intellectual culture is concerned, the Middle Ages lasted in Hungary well into the nineteenth century. Latin was Hungary's official language until 1844, still spoken in the halls of Parliament even after that. And again linguistic and philosophical effects are linked: "[T]he Enlightenment, the Century of Reason, the French Revolution hardly touched Hungary" (Lukacs 1988, 114).

There is no denying the specifically Christian dimension of Hungary's extended medievalism. "The Magyars were a people raised to the dignity of a kingdom by Rome itself," Frederick Wilhelmsen wrote in the days following the 1956 Uprising; and the Sacred Crown of St. Stephen, signifying Hungary's 1000-year sovereign destiny, is capped by a symbol of that destiny's resilient source: a Cross, bent but not broken (Wilhelmsen 1980). While Klima has always embraced modern developments with agility—for instance, as an early adopter of the internet for sharing his work, and in his creative use of new technological examples to illustrate classical concepts—his mind draws strength from contexts usually thought long past, and he comfortably treats medieval thinkers, and their concerns, as contemporary.

This transcending of categories, or creative traditionalism, is reflected in a label some might attach to Klima's work in philosophy: "Analytic Thomism." The contested title can cover a variety of approaches, from Thomists seeking to engage analytic thinkers or using analytic approaches, to analytic philosophers willing to draw Thomistic arguments into contemporary discussions. Yet Klima stands apart in his ability to use resources from both contemporary analytic and medieval thinkers to illuminate each other, bringing both into genuine dialogue to highlight the limitations of each, without compromising the insights available in either.

For that matter *qua* "Thomist" Klima is rare among his contemporaries in not being primarily trained within one of the dominant twentieth-century "schools" (Existential, Laval, Transcendental, Lublin), whose particular preoccupations, and

sometimes idiosyncratic jargon, therefore seem less significant to Klima's students. Klima acknowledges several influential teachers from his formation in Budapest, but none of them Thomists: in high school, a chemist, philologist, and polymath, Dr. György Bánhegyi; for training in formal semantics, Imre Ruzsa; and as a model of analytic philosophy, Ferenc Altrichter. From János Kelemen Klima learned more history of philosophy, as well as an appreciation of Dante, and Katalin Vidrányi was a special influence in Catholicism and scholasticism.

This background suggests a culture of rigorous philosophy, philology, intellectual history, and historically informed faith, but not of Thomism *per se*. Studying Aquinas more or less on his own—and only somewhat surreptitiously, under Hungary's softening "goulash communism" that didn't end until 1989—Klima was formed not by any school of *Thomism*, but by *Thomas*, the philosophical saint treated on his own terms and as capable of dialogue with the most prominent contemporary thinkers, Catholic or otherwise.

Although he has criticized Peter Geach in some important particulars (Klima 2015), Klima was inspired early on by Geach's confidence in Christian theology's power to keep logic honest, and by his ambition to reconstruct a pre-modern conceptual schema. As an epigraph to the third essay ("General Terms in their Referring Function") in his early volume *Ars Artium*, Klima quoted Geach's hope (articulated in his essay "History of the Corruption of Logic") to achieve the "Paradise Regained" of reconstructing an Aristotelian semantic framework (Klima 1988, 44).

In this underlying hope of recapturing a lost classical framework, Klima has something in common with another philosopher known for bringing Aquinas into very different contemporary philosophical conversations. Alasdair MacIntyre's *After Virtue* taught many to think of our moral discourse as a collection of confusing scraps and fragments; ethics is more coherent, MacIntyre proposed, if its questions are reformulated in terms of a once fundamental, but now abandoned, teleological framework. Where MacIntyre attempted to recapture lost concepts of practical reason through an alternative narration of the history of ethics, Klima seeks to recapture lost concepts of theoretical reason by bringing them into dialogue with whatever displaced them—confident that, if they were ever intelligible, a judicious application of analysis and argumentation can make them intelligible once again.

If for MacIntyre in *After Virtue* the most important tool for renewing the intelligibility of a tradition is narrative, for Klima it is logic. More specifically, Klima's project commits him to dialectic, applied to semantics. It is in these terms that we can review more properly some of his particular contributions to philosophy and philosophical scholarship.

## **Contributions to Metaphysics Through Semantics**

One can certainly find in Klima a hint of the tragic story of decline and loss with the transition from medieval to modern philosophy, the "vanishing of substance," as he puts it in the culmination of his series of undergraduate lectures—a virtual

monograph introducing the history of philosophy—delivered for Yale's Directed Studies program (Klima 1993). But this is complemented by a confident hope in anticipation of recapturing these lost ideas. Hume, and his positivist heirs, have not had the final say in modern philosophy—certainly not in eliminating metaphysics!—and Klima finds in contemporary analytic philosophy a revival of interest in fundamental principles of reality that often approaches (although rarely in such terms) medieval concepts and arguments about essences, individuation, analogy, and formal causality.

So, a recurring, explicit intention of Klima's work is to make classical metaphysical ideas available again by reconstructing and defending the coherence of the kind of discourse in which those ideas could be intelligible. As he writes at the end of his article comparing the different "essentialisms" of contemporary analytic philosophy and the Aristotelian tradition, "the time is ripe for a radical recovery of our lost metaphysical tradition, yet this is possible only through recovering the language in which it is properly conveyed, uniting the formal rigor of contemporary logical techniques with the metaphysical vigor of the pre-modern tradition" (Klima 2002a). And he begins his major paper on Aquinas's semantics of "being," announcing that he is motivated by the fact that "the very *form of discourse* within which the substantive claims of that literature [viz. Thomistic literature about the analogy of being] as well as Aquinas's own claims are formulated is radically different from that of contemporary philosophical discussions" (Klima 1996).

Still, different forms of discourse are also present *within* scholasticism, and a central theme of Klima's scholarship is the historical shift from *via antiqua* to *via moderna* semantics, which he sees as neither unmitigated progress nor an incoherent disaster, but a set of technically sophisticated innovations which nonetheless often led to confusion, with interlocutors talking past each other rather than engaging in fruitful argument. To make sense of this, Klima's strategy has been to disentangle the metaphysical shifts from the semantic developments—and so a major contribution has been to highlight the metaphysical neutrality of the different semantic frameworks, allowing for a metaphysical nominalism within the *via antiqua* and a metaphysical realism within the *via moderna* (Klima 1991).

Thus Klima's work often involves developing traditional Aristotelian or Thomistic ideas in light of later insights and tools (for instance, scholastic supposition theory or modern formal logic), as well as developing the modern insights and tools in order to reconstruct pre-modern ideas (for instance, the existential quantifier, logical formalizations of supposition theory, and clarifications of the square of opposition). For this project of making different conceptual frameworks intelligible to each other, Klima has variously cited Kuhn (on "straddling different paradigms"), Gadamer (on seeking a "fusion of horizons"), and Wittgenstein (on learning to play different "language games"), all in favor of what can also be described as a revival of "scholasticism," that is,

a new conceptual synthesis, comparable to the scholastic synthesis, namely, one which is modern without any anachronism, and yet an authentic, organic continuation of the traditional discourse, and which therefore is able to present the breakdown of this discourse over the past few centuries as a (conceptually) merely contingent historical episode (Klima 1997).

Thus in his various projects we find Klima comfortably engaging a variety of approaches, and it is no wonder that his network of colleagues and collaborators exhibits a diverse range of commitments, interests, and styles.

This synthesizing perspective also explains what might otherwise appear the paradox, or irony, of a philosopher with Thomist sympathies devoting so much attention to a late scholastic nominalist, John Buridan. Attention to supposition theory and its novelty in relation to "realist" semantics is, on the face of it, a challenging test case for bringing rival conceptual frameworks into fruitful conversation. Klima not only meets the challenge, he finds in supposition theory resources for a revised articulation of realist semantics, capable of being brought into discourse with modern formal semantics; and this, in turn, informs engagement with topics in medieval and contemporary philosophy beyond logic and language: theories of cognition, philosophy of mind, the idea of mental language, theological discourse, and of course metaphysics.

Klima often adopts a semantic focus that does not presume metaphysical conviction, not so much to avoid but to make possible metaphysical reflection. Thus, far from construing questions of "being" as linguistic or conceptual rather than ontological, Klima's strategy of focusing on semantics helps identify where there is metaphysical disagreement and how it can be intelligibly formulated. So, for instance, he shows particular appreciation for the relation of semantics and metaphysics in his reflections on the function of the copula (Klima 2002b; here he might enjoy another Hungarian advantage: the function of the copula is less likely to be taken for granted in the Magyar tongue, where it is rarely used).

But as this example shows, even in focusing on semantics, Klima is able to shed light on traditional (and sometimes apparently intractable) metaphysical topics even within Thomism (for instance on analogy, causality, and participation; the real distinction between being and essence and divine simplicity; the soul, illumination and abstraction), avoiding the ruts of established Thomistic arguments by focusing his efforts on making novel concepts intelligible—in themselves, and as part of an overall coherent framework—independently of and as propaedeutic to the development of arguments that could defend traditional theses as true.

More recently Klima has come to refer to this strategy as "historical-analytic metaphysics" (as he has named a recent book series), and he has become increasingly explicit that, at the center of his work to recover the intelligibility of lost classical notions is attention to the role of the notion of "form." Resisting translation into the implicitly static modern notions of "objects" and "properties," form is an active principle of intelligibility and being, and plays a crucial role not only in physics or metaphysics but in cognition and thus semantics. Form thus once helped integrate philosophical inquiry, and without it we cannot properly comprehend medieval arguments and theses. As Klima described it in a recent lecture, the notion of form is a kind of "conceptual keystone" holding together the elaborate scholastic "cathedral of thought" (Klima 2021).

In this respect, Klima's project with form in medieval thought is not only parallel to or proportional with MacIntyre's project on virtue in classical ethics, but more

radical and fundamental. Klima himself embraces the MacIntyre comparison, even extending and transforming it:

Indeed, I'm following in [MacIntyre's] footsteps, while paradoxically walking ahead of him, which is after all possible if we are both walking backwards, as we are, *in history*. So, just to bore you with one more metaphor, in this strange scenario I can do two things he could not: I can *deepen his footprints*, while also *fixing my eyes on our present horizon*. I intend to *deepen* MacIntyre's footprints by digging deeper down to the roots of our contemporary predicaments, identifying the *historical-metaphysical* roots of the dismal scenario he identified in modern moral discourse. And I am *fixing my sight* on our current horizon both by taking into account recent welcome developments in the recovery of some aspects of the scholastic tradition, *and* by identifying what I think we can gain by a *full* recovery of this tradition, something that points us *beyond* this horizon... (Klima 2021, 2).

## **Intellectual Midwifery**

Klima's strategy of facilitating metaphysical reflection through semantic clarification points to another crucial dimension of logic in his work: the role of dialectic. I earlier suggested that what narrative is to ethics in *After Virtue*—the means of reconstructing (without recourse to metaphysics!) a "teleological" alternative to modern confusion—dialectic is to metaphysics in Klima's overall project: the means of making intelligible a lost conceptual framework. As we have seen, Klima's aim in reconstruction is not so much to assert victory over other alternatives, but to learn to enter into, to occupy, and even to enrich, alternative frameworks.

Even more, dialectic trusts that, under the right conditions, we can find those alternative frameworks already within ourselves. The Platonic contrast of *mythos* and *logos* is not between supernatural and natural explanations, but between modes of persuasion. The storyteller is invitational, calling one to trust a vision proposed; the dialectician is maieutic, assisting one to recognize intelligibility in and from one's own participation in reasoning. It was thus not only an act of humility, nor as plausible deniability for the accusation of "teaching," that Socrates compared himself to a midwife. It was part of his understanding of human reason, as containing within it forgotten truths, or (in more Aristotelian terms) potencies waiting to be actualized.

In its Platonic development, dialectical exercise of *logos* even points to spiritual heights more mystical than conventional *mythos*: ideas themselves are grasped as traces of an original intelligibility, a transcendent Truth and Goodness and Being inarticulable in words, but the source and end of our participatory intellectual activity.

One might not know it from his most technical papers in medieval Aristotelian semantics, but Klima has a deep and sincere affection for Plato (and for the Platonist tradition, as in Augustine, and even the integration of neo-Platonic metaphysics in St. Thomas). Without compromising his commitment to hylomorphic anthropology, Klima admires the film *Shawshank Redemption*, which he interprets as an overt allegory of the Platonic soul's struggle for emergence into freedom from the

oppression of embodied suffering. A favorite Hungarian film, *Testről és lélekről* ("On Souls and Bodies," Ildikó Enyedi, 2017), depicts ennobling *eros* in the context of a dismal slaughter-house; its two main characters suffer potentially isolating physical limitations—his mechanical, hers emotional—but are inspired by a shared, and apparently supernatural, vision of spiritual union.

What Klima admires about Socrates is precisely what Plato always tried to depict in his dialogues: a rationality seeking communion with others. Argument is an act of friendship, not competition. Not every philosopher who loves to argue is as committed to the Socratic principle that we should be as glad when shown wrong as when shown right: either way we end up closer to the truth.

If for Klima *form* is scholasticism's keystone concept, *dialectic* is its architectonic practice: hence dialectic as the "art of arts" is a recurring theme of Klima's work (starting with the title of his first book), and he finds it especially well articulated by Buridan:

[W]e should note that dialectic (that is, logic) is rightly said to be the art of arts, by reason of a certain superiority it has over other arts, [namely], in virtue of its utility and the generality of its application to all other arts and sciences. Due to this generality, which it shares with metaphysics, it has access to disputations that concern not only the conclusions, but also the principles of all sciences (quoted in Klima 2009, 8).

This vision of dialectic offers to unify intellectual pursuits, not in the reductionist way imagined by positivists and rationalists—by translating complex ideas into a simple conceptual framework—but by making ideas intelligible in and across conceptual frameworks. Dialectic is what makes it possible to evaluate different frameworks, not by translating one into another in order to eliminate it, but to understand and inhabit both and move between them, and beyond them, ever closer to the truth. The Gadamerian "fusion of horizons" does not resolve one framework into another, but brings them into genuine conversation—making them capable of enriching each other and thus transcending their own limitations.

So understanding dialectic as *ars artium* suggests more than that it is a foundation for all the sciences; it is also the foundation of society and friendship. Dialectic is a common pursuit of truth, not competitive manipulation. This view has a Socratic and Platonic heritage, and is reinforced by traditional Christian theology, but for even this function of dialectic Klima has drawn inspiration from the nominalist arts master Buridan:

Dialectic, when applied in speculative matters or utilized in a speculative manner, is directed toward opinion; for both disputants aim at acquiring an opinion about the point of the discussion; they take contradictory stances, and each of them should produce probable arguments for his position, if he has any. He should also solve his opponents' arguments, if they also have probable solutions—and not in a litigious manner, just in order to win, but in order that both of them should assent, in agreement with each other, to the position that they have seen to have been supported in the disputation by more probable and less soluble arguments; and if they do otherwise, then they slip into a sophistic disputation, which often happens (Summulae de dialectica, 7.1.4; in Buridan 2001, 499).

Teasing out the political and spiritual stakes of this conception of dialectic, Klima glossed this passage from Buridan in remarks introducing a recent conference he

organized on "The Metaphysics and Theology of the Eucharist" (Budapest, September 2021):

[T]he point is that a dialectical disputation is not a zero-sum game. It is all too often that we see the deterioration of such worthy discussions into petty quarrels, indeed, we shall see historical examples of how they can turn into something worse: fights, schisms, even wars (in which we know truth is the first victim), all for winning by vanquishing the opposing party. But a dialectical discussion is not for vanquishing one's opponent: it is a win-win encounter for both parties, from which both come away with the prize of deeper understanding.

At stake in dialectic's maieutic persistence is this commitment to the common good, the principle of friendship and justice—an implicit awareness of which permeates not only Klima's philosophical project, but his generosity to students and his dedication to colleagues and peers. It would be hard to ignore the role of community-building in Klima's career. From founding formal societies (the Society for Medieval Logic and Metaphysics in 2000, and more recently the Society for the European History of Ideas in 2021) and establishing book series, to organizing international work groups, conferences and conference sessions, Klima has fostered networks of scholars not only in philosophy but in fields such as theology, cognitive science and artificial intelligence.

The good of dialectic points not only to political but to spiritual communion. No wonder then that even in sober technical papers Klima does not shy away from gesturing to the more mystical implications of Thomistic metaphysics, and its ascent to a simple God who transcends discursive reason. Indeed, only upon directly contemplating the divine essence would dialectic lose its utility, as even St. Thomas judged his own work to be mere straw compared to what he had glimpsed of God in mystical experience.

Until we are given such experience, dialectic rightly pursued can continue to help lead us to truth, Divine and otherwise, and to improve the human condition by fostering productive harmony between minds and hearts. Klima's career testifies to the power of philosophy to overcome cultural, political, and spiritual isolation. Born in the midst of fragmentation and devastation from twentieth-century ideology and technocratic violence, Klima's pursuit of truth has animated a spiritual quest, cultivating coherence and conversation across disciplines, across nations, and across intellectual history. In his noble persistence in dialectic, Klima's efforts have not only left impressive and lasting scholarly resources, they have helped to build up a community of grateful fellow wisdom-lovers, friends inspired and humbled to join him in pursuit of ever-greater understanding of life-giving truth.

### **Bibliography**

- Buridan, John. 2001. Summulae de Dialectica: An annotated translation, with philosophical introduction, by Gyula Klima. New Haven: Yale University Press.
- Fermor, Patrick Leigh. 1986. *Between the woods and the water*. New York: Viking Press. Reprinted 2005. New York: NYRB Classics.
- Klima, Gyula. 1988. *Ars artium: Essays in philosophical semantics, medieval and modern*. Budapest: Institute of Philosophy, Hungarian Academy of Sciences.
- ——. 1991. Ontological alternatives vs. alternative semantics in medieval philosophy. *S: European Journal for Semiotic Studies* 3 (4): 587–618.
- ——. 1993. The Yale Lectures. https://faculty.fordham.edu/klima/lectures.htm.
- ——. 1996. The semantic principles underlying St. Thomas Aquinas's metaphysics of being. *Medieval Philosophy and Theology* 5 (1): 87–144.
- ——. 1997. What can a scholastic do in the 21st century? *Budapest Review of Books* (English Edition) 7: 167–169. https://faculty.fordham.edu/klima/21ST.HTM.
- ——. 2002a. Contemporary 'essentialism' vs. Aristotelian essentialism. In *Mind, metaphysics, and value in the Thomistic and analytic traditions*, ed. John Haldane, 175–194. Notre Dame: Notre Dame University Press.
- ——. 2002b. Aquinas' theory of the copula and the analogy of being. *Logical Analysis and History of Philosophy* 5: 159–176.
- ——. 2009. John Buridan. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- ——. 2015. Geach's three most inspiring errors concerning medieval logic. *Philosophical Investigations* 38 (1–2): 34–51.
- ——. 2021. Historical-analytic metaphysics *or* what *should* a scholastic do in the 21st century? (Keynote lecture for "Second Scholasticism, Analytical Metaphysics, Christian Apologetics: A Conference in Honour of Professor Stanislav Sousedík's 90th birthday," October 27–29, Prague, Czech Republic.) http://www.skaut.org/s-conf/downloads.php.
- Lukacs, John. 1988. *Budapest 1900: A historical portrait of a city and its culture*. New York: Grove Press.
- Wilhelmsen, Frederick D. 1980. "Hungary Speaks." *Citizen of Rome: Reflections from the life of a Roman Catholic*, 3–6. La Salle, Illinois: Sherwood Sudgeon & Company.