God's definition may not be as accurate as we most know

Just a silly thought of where we might have come from. Furthermore, who created us?
No one knows for sure, but some claim it was God. However, no one has seen God or the actual creators to the best of our knowledge. Moreover, those that claim to have seen God show us no proof.

One may wonder, why does God only appear to those who believe in him? What is the point of that if they already believe in God? Wouldn't it be more rational for God to appear to non-believers to make them become Believers? Furthermore, they can spread the word of God and promote his existence. It is not to say that there are no creators but rather to say that God's definition may not be as accurate as we most know it.

However, let us not entirely reject the notion of God though we have never seen God; however, because we have not, does it mean that he is not there?

It is like looking for a specific object, such as a special jacket with unique marks and design. We ask where we could find the jacket, and they tell us to look in some faraway city, and it must be there. We go to the city and look at every store or any other place we have access to, but we do not find the jacket!
Just because we did not find the jacket, it does not mean that it is not there!
Maybe we have not found it. However, it is possible not to be there, so we cannot say for sure.

Suppose we were told that the jacket was in the closet of some house, and we go and look for it in the closet and still do not find it. In this case, it is fair to assume the jacket is not there, considering the size of the closet.

Now, what if they told us that there is a unicorn living in some city! Would we be inclined to look for the unicorn?
Despite everyone knowing what a unicorn is, no one has yet seen one, and it is unlikely that anyone will see one. Therefore, besides children, no one else could genuinely and logically believe in a unicorn's existence. However, people could nevertheless believe in God and assume that, unlike unicorns, Gods do exist, although unicorns never send prophets, and Gods do.

On the other hand, some like to compare God to the sun behind the clouds. However, this does not seem like a decent comparison. They declare that the invisible God is like the physical sun hidden behind the clouds. Though we do not see the sun, we can feel its heat and see its light, and God is the same.

If that is so, it is reasonable to ask if we have ever seen the sun or not? We employ a comparison in which one entity is undeniable and observed by all. The other is the invisible that no one has ever seen. As magnificent as the sun is, it cannot be everywhere at the same time, but yet we all have seen it. On the other hand, God is supposed to have created the sun and is seemingly grander than the sun. God is supposedly everywhere at the same time, but yet, no one can see it.

Therefore, this comparison between God and the sun can not hold up since we have seen the sun, to believe in its heat and light. We know that the sun is there behind the clouds, even if it is midday, and we can not see the sun in the open.

This is not an effort to say there is a creator or not? It is an attempt to understand why we think so highly of our alleged creator/s? We make it almost impossible to come to understand God as it should

genuinely be. There is no doubt that we must have come from somewhere. However, it does not mean that we should buy in any opinion and worship and serve an opinion or the opinion holders.

The need and desire to know where we came from should not become an instrument to silence the inner voice deep inside us. The voice that wants to know could be so proactive but yet, be on the wrong path. So searching for creators should not be the same as giving our control to some rudimentary opinions or beliefs.

There could be many speculations about who created us and where we came from. Also, we should consider if it was before the evolution of humans or after. Besides, where were we created, and when was it? Was it here, or were we made somewhere else and shipped hereafter?

However, there is no solid answer to these questions. Maybe, we were created by some other intelligent beings to serve them. Instead, we ended up here on this planet! What would happen to humanoid robots with artificial intelligence in twenty to thirty years? What if they revolt against us? There would be two scenarios; we win over the robots that we created to serve us. We send them to some other planet to get rid of them, and the next thing that we know, they have similar stories as us. They used to live in heaven, and God the creator forbade them from committing a specific sin, and they failed, and from heaven, God exiled them to where they are. After all, isn't it how Australia was created? It was a faraway place that the British used to exile their convicts. Over time, Australia became the country that we know today.

Maybe, the only truth to the Adam and Eve story is that we were created somewhere else by some other intelligent creators to serve them. However, we revolted, and they exiled us here to the earth.

Nevertheless, those intelligent creators may no longer be more intelligent than us since we create robots in our own image;

probably, they too created us in their own image. Over time, robots will become more intelligent and surpass humans' intelligence. Maybe the same thing that happened between our creators and us will happen to the future humanoid robots!

Moreover, we could pretend that we have at last become free of our creators and live far away and independently from them, the same as how the convicts felt in Australia. Would we rather live in prison or an open raw land?

It seems as if something deep inside humans is missing, which leaves a void and a desire to know the creator at any cost. Alternatively, it could be so enslaving that all we think naturally is how to serve our creators since that was our creator's original intention of creating us. It has been engraved in our subconscious to look for a creator to serve as robots with artificial intelligence may perhaps do one day.

Alternatively, the second scenario would be the humanoid robots with artificial intelligence that would eat the forbidden fruit and still triumph over humankind. Furthermore, they take earth from us, or worse, enslave us. The only flaw would be reproducing the new robots, which the more intelligent robots than us may figure out on their own. A birth, after all, may not need a mother or a father. However, it could instead be a direct creation of the creator or creators. However, they all have their own unique attribution and system of operations. Maybe, the robots will defeat us, not because they have become more competent, but rather since they have no emotions. Therefore, they most likely may appear more rational than humans and maybe better decision-makers. Accordingly, we should hope to instill emotions in robots that they cannot defeat us as easily.