

### Manuscript version: Author's Accepted Manuscript

The version presented in WRAP is the author's accepted manuscript and may differ from the published version or Version of Record.

#### **Persistent WRAP URL:**

http://wrap.warwick.ac.uk/161033

#### How to cite:

Please refer to published version for the most recent bibliographic citation information. If a published version is known of, the repository item page linked to above, will contain details on accessing it.

#### **Copyright and reuse:**

The Warwick Research Archive Portal (WRAP) makes this work by researchers of the University of Warwick available open access under the following conditions.

Copyright © and all moral rights to the version of the paper presented here belong to the individual author(s) and/or other copyright owners. To the extent reasonable and practicable the material made available in WRAP has been checked for eligibility before being made available.

Copies of full items can be used for personal research or study, educational, or not-for-profit purposes without prior permission or charge. Provided that the authors, title and full bibliographic details are credited, a hyperlink and/or URL is given for the original metadata page and the content is not changed in any way.

### **Publisher's statement:**

Please refer to the repository item page, publisher's statement section, for further information.

For more information, please contact the WRAP Team at: wrap@warwick.ac.uk.



# Sense perception in the Zhuangzi ${\Britilde { m I}}{ m Z}$

1	Dhile and her Comment
Journal:	Philosophy Compass
Manuscript ID	PHCO-1468.R2
Wiley - Manuscript type:	Article
Keywords:	Chinese Comparative Philosophy < Compass Sections, perception < Key Topics, Chinese Philosophy < Comparative Philosophy < Philosophy < Subject, Metaphysics < Philosophy < Subject, knowledge < Key Topics, Ethics < Philosophy < Subject
Abstract:	In this essay I explore the controversial issue of sense perception in the Zhuangzi 莊子. Although scholars have not explicitly addressed this aspect of the Chinese text, a common assumption is that the Zhuangzi proposes a mysticism that undermines sense perception in favour of a transcendent self. After an overview of this interpretation, and after analysing some key passages of the text that deal with heart fasting (xinzhai 心齋), sitting and forgetting (zuowang 坐忘) and skill mastery, I argue that in the Zhuangzi sense perception has been read with a metaphysical bias as something that needs to be transcended to reach perfection. My thesis is that the text does not propose a withdrawal from the senses and from the world, but rather warns about the danger of abiding to doctrinal knowledge, thus losing responsive awareness. Indeed, in the Zhuangzi, sense perception is not an impediment on the way to a supersensible reality, but an integral part of one's own being in the world. Through my analysis, I object the idea that the Zhuangzi proposes a mystical union with something not immediately available, which needs to be achieved by transcending the world of "appearances" and sense perception – thus postulating a union with a transcendent entity and reintroducing dualism via unity. This is not only contradictory but also misleading because the Zhuangzi does not point to another world – in a two worlds theory – where one can enter the realm of a transcendent self. On the contrary, in rejecting a univocal ethos, the text suggests one should keep responding to changing circumstances without turning one's perceptual awareness into stable habits. Thus, the Zhuangzi shows how sense perception should be seen, not as a "problem" but as an ethical possibility through which the univocity of doctrinal knowledge can be undermined.

SCHOLARONE™ Manuscripts

### **Abstract**

In this essay I explore the controversial issue of sense perception in the *Zhuangzi* 莊子. Although scholars have not explicitly addressed this aspect of the Chinese text, a common assumption is that the *Zhuangzi* proposes a mysticism that undermines sense perception in favour of a transcendent self. After an overview of this interpretation, and after analysing some key passages of the text that deal with heart fasting (*xinzhai* 心齋), sitting and forgetting (*zuowang* 坐忘) and skill mastery, I demonstrate that some interpreters of the *Zhuangzi* read the text as if it has a metaphysical bent, recommending that sense perception be transcended as a prerequisite to perfection. In contrast, my thesis is that the text does not propose a withdrawal from the senses and from the world, but rather warns about the danger of abiding to doctrinal knowledge, which has the consequence of a person losing their responsive awareness. Indeed, in the *Zhuangzi*, sense perception is not an impediment on the way to a supersensible reality, but an integral part of one's own being in the world.

Through my analysis, I object to the idea that the *Zhuangzi* proposes a mystical union with something not immediately available, which moreover needs to be achieved by transcending the lived world that is encountered through sense perception. My view is that such a move not only postulates union with a transcendent entity, it also forces a dualism between a person's capacities. This is problematic because the *Zhuangzi* does not point to another world – in a two worlds theory – where one must ideally attain the condition of a transcendent self. On the contrary, in rejecting a univocal *ethos*, the text suggests one should keep responding to changing circumstances without turning one's perceptual awareness into entrenched habits. Thus, the *Zhuangzi* shows how sense perception should be seen, not as a "problem" but as an ethical possibility through which the univocity of doctrinal knowledge can be undermined.

### 1. Introduction

### 1.1 Scope of the article

The *Zhuangzi* 莊子 is an anthology written around 4<sup>th</sup> century B.C.E. and edited by Guo Xiang 郭象 (died 312 CE).¹ Due to its composite nature, the text has been interpreted in many ways. In the introduction to his partial translation of the text, Brook Ziporyn (2009) offers a precise account of how the *Zhuangzi* gives support to many possible – and often contrasting – readings.² In this paper, I consider only the most controversial passages related to sense perception.

Although scholars have not explicitly addressed this aspect of the Chinese text, a problematic assumption held by some scholars is that the *Zhuangzi* proposes a mysticism that undermines sense perception in favour of a transcendent self. After an overview of this interpretation, and analysis of some key passages that seem to support this position, I consider some controversial descriptions of sense perception in the so-called skill stories (section 2). Through this analysis it will become apparent that the text does not propose to abandon sense perception, but to abandon the entrenched habits that prevent fuller perception and appreciation of our world.

More precisely, my analysis intends to show that there is a metaphysical bias<sup>3</sup> in interpreting the *Zhuangzi* as proposing:

- (i) a mystical union with something not immediately available
- (ii) "The Dao" as an absolute force that transcends the world of sensible experience
- (iii) the rejection of sense perception to reach perfection

Points (i) and (ii) tend to be associated with the passages of the *Zhuangzi* that propose concepts such as "heart fasting" and "sitting and forgetting" (discussed in section 2), whereas (iii) is generally connected to the so-called skill stories (section 3).

The points above ((i)-(iii)) presuppose a clear hierarchical distinction between the sensible and supersensible in which sense perception needs to be transcended in favour of a supersensible realm. Not only does this imply an ontological separation between the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> On the problems of authorship and chapters division, see Graham (1979), Liu (1987) (1994) and Klein (2010).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Anthologies on the *Zhuangzi* give a good idea of the richness of these interpretations. See, for instance, Mair (1983), Kjellberg and Ivanhoe (1996), Ames (1998), and Ames and Nakajima (2015).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> I use the term metaphysics in the sense given by Heidegger (1957/1991, 48), who affirms that the setting up of the "partition between the sensible and nonsensible, between the physical and nonphysical is a basic trait of what is called metaphysics and which normatively determines Western thinking."

experiential self and the world that does not fit the ancient Chinese context – as we shall soon see – but it also neglects the fact that the *Zhuangzi* is critical of hierarchical structures.

As a consequence, this bias skews our understanding of the *Zhuangzi* by proposing a metaphysical two worlds theory that is not present in the text. On the contrary, I propose that the *Zhuangzi*'s view is that we need to clear what has been stored by entrenched habits – those driven by our ideals and doctrines – so as to be responsive; this, in turn, can lead to an ethical – more than epistemic – modesty (section 4). This will become apparent with the analysis of "responding without storing" (*ying er bu cang* 應而不藏) which shows how the *Zhuangzi* rejects a univocal *ethos* and spurs readers to keep responding to changing circumstances without turning one's perceptual awareness to ossified habits. In this way, the *Zhuangzi* considers sense perception not as a "problem" but as an ethical possibility through which the univocity of doctrinal knowledge can and should be undermined (section 5).

### 1.2 The "problem" of sense perception

To understand the motivations for the mystical interpretation of the *Zhuangzi*, it is crucial to analyse how sense perception is considered as a problem in Western philosophy. As Crane and French (2017) clearly show from the outset, in Western philosophy sense perception is a "pervasive and traditional problem, sometimes called 'the Problem of Perception'".<sup>4</sup> And "when philosophers speak of 'the' problem of perception, what they generally have in mind is the question whether we can ever directly perceive the physical world" (Smith 2002, 1).

This "problem" refers back to a traditional epistemic problem exemplified by Cartesian doubts about sensory perception:<sup>5</sup> because the senses are not always reliable, one cannot trust perception to give a veridical experience of the world. The possibility of a veridical experience of the world implies that there is a truthful and mind-independent world that can be experienced. And, in turn, the presupposition of a truthful and mind-independent world implies both mind-body and appearance-reality dichotomies.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> See also how the sections of *The Senses and the History of Philosophy* – which is almost entirely the history of Western philosophy – are presented as "problems of perception" and how it is suggested that "the subtitle of [the] volume should be something like *Problems, Perceptual Errors, and Misperceptions*" (Glenney and Silva 2019, 2).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> This is not to say that there is only one way to consider sense perception. See, for instance, how Bence Nanay (2010) takes into account other aspects of the topic. Nevertheless, Nanay (2010, 5) acknowledges that "Epistemology has always had special ties to philosophy of perception".

Early Chinese philosophy, however, does not seem to be concerned about a disembodied mind because the heart (xin 心), "the seat of thinking as well as feeling, is not a non-physical entity" (Blake 2019, 34). This is not to say that Chinese philosophy does not present any differentiation between the heart and the body – as we shall see in detail later. To use the words of Edward Slingerland (2013, 8) – who proposes a "weak" mind-body dualism" in early Chinese philosophy – "we do not find in early China the sort of distinction between an entirely disembodied mind, esprit, or Geist and an ontologically distinct body that characterizes certain philosophical positions in the West." As a consequence, I do not propose a Zhuangzi-Western philosophy opposition – such a radical opposition would make the Zhuangzi philosophically incommensurable. I only suggest that even if early Chinese philosophy distinguishes between the functions of the heart and of the body, this does not result in a substance dualism.

Moreover, in ancient Chinese thought, "structural compatibility of the subject and the object of comprehension was the basic precondition of human perception" (Rošker 2019, 21), and this can hardly imply "the problem" of a mind-independent world or the relevance of the appearance-reality dichotomy. As rightly stated by Geoffrey Lloyd (2006, 313), there was no "ontological divide between the intelligible and the perceptible domains" in ancient China. This means that, in approaching sense perception in the *Zhuangzi*, one needs to be careful to presuppose that sense perception is a "problem".

Glenney and Silva (2019, 3) warn us that the ideas of the senses, such as the one that we find in Chinese philosophy, "do not align well with our contemporary Western categories and may easily be dismissed as primitive or unscientific". This shows how the measure to evaluate the problem of sense perception is based on scientific reliability, which is not immediately applicable to the Chinese context. And when, in approaching the *Zhuangzi*, scholars do not find any specific account of the problematic reliability of the perception but only some (seemingly) contradictory statements on the importance of the senses, a popular route out of this problem is the transcendent mystical approach.

Before considering how the *Zhuangzi* is not concerned about sense perception as an epistemic problem in the veridical experience of a mind-independent world, but with the ethical

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Indeed, we need to be careful not to fall into the trap of the radical East-West opposition. See, for instance, how Zhang Longxi (1998, 110) criticises an "image of China that is nothing but a cultural myth of difference".

interference that doctrinal knowledge may have in one's perceptual awareness, let me discuss the mystical approaches in more detail.

## 2. Mysticism and sense withdrawal

### 2.1 Transcendent mysticism

According to Isabelle Robinet (1993, 48), the *Zhuangzi* represents the oldest and best example of mystical Daoism. For Benjamin Schwartz (1985, 188), although Daoist mysticism "remains irreducibly Chinese and entirely sui generis", it shares with other mysticisms a similar idea of union with a transcendent entity. Indeed, for Schwartz (1975, 66) early Daoism "represents the most radical expression of transcendence in China".

This mystical position is held by other scholars, as suggested by Livia Kohn (2014, 181) who affirms that "Mysticism is the one label that has been attached to the *Zhuangzi* more often than others". One of the assumptions of this position is that the *Zhuangzi* advocates for a mystical union with "the Way" or "the *Dao*" (道) conceived as an absolute force or entity (Kohn 1992, 8). To achieve this end one needs to discard senses and intellect through various techniques of meditation, such as heart fasting (*xinzhai* 心齋) and sitting and forgetting (*zuowang* 坐忘). For Kohn (2010, 8), this union with "*Dao*" is "the fundamental human birth

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> Kohn (2014, 181-185) offers a detailed account of these interpretations.

Residual Res

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> As mentioned, in ancient China *xin* was the organ of feelings and thoughts. The term is generally translated as "mind" or, more properly, "heart-mind". I prefer to translate it as "heart" so as to avoid the idea of a disembodied mind. More on this later.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> *Xinzhai* appears in a dialogue between Confucius and his disciple Yan Hui in chapter 4 (9/4/24-28) – references to the *Zhuangzi* are from Hung (1947). Confucius recommends to Yan Hui: "don't listen with

right that is lost through sensory involvement and conscious categorizations". It is clear that, in Kohn's interpretation, sense perception is regarded as a hindrance, standing in the way of a person's reunion with the absolute and transcendent *dao*.

Harold Roth (1999, 125) aligns the practices of the *Zhuangzi* to the "Inward training (Neiye 內業)" chapter of the *Guanzi* 管子 (a collated text incorporating miscellaneous materials from between the  $4^{th}$  to  $2^{nd}$  century B.C.E.)<sup>11</sup> and to other mystical traditions present in other parts of the world.<sup>12</sup> In particular, Roth interprets the *Zhuangzi* as advocating apophatic practice (154). He uses the term apophatic to indicate "its more general and original sense: 'of knowledge of God: obtained through negation,'" so as to "facilitate an experience of the Absolute" (228). Roth adopts a specific hermeneutical tool to interpret the "Neiye" and the *Zhuangzi* – what he calls "mystical hermeneutic" (4); we explore this in detail in the following section.

## 2.2 The mystical approach

In Roth's interpretation of Daoism, the "Absolute" is represented by *dao*. <sup>13</sup> Whilst Roth distinguishes between theistic mysticism – the union with a single god or divine being – and

your ears, listen with your heart; don't listen with your heart, listen with your vital energy  $(qi \approx 1)^n - all$ translations of the Zhuangzi into English are by the author, unless otherwise stated. Confucius explains that whilst hearing stops at ears and understanding stops at what tallies (fu 符), "gi is a clearing (xu 虚) that awaits things". Confucius concludes that this clearing is xinzhai. Zuowang appears in chapter 6 as another dialogue between Confucius and Yan Hui (17/6/89-93). In this passage, Yan Hui describes his gradual progress: first he forgets ren 仁 (benevolence or humaneness), and yi 義 (righteousness, duty or responsibility); then he forgets li 禮 (rites or proprieties) and yue 樂 (music) – which are important Confucian moral commitments – and finally he just sits and forgets. Yan Hui explains that zuowang means to: drop away the frame (duo zhiti 墮肢體), dismiss the intellect (chu congming 點聰明), part from the body (li xing 離形) and discard knowledge (qu zhi 去知). In his commentary, Chen Guying (2007, 241) quotes Xu Fuguan who suggests that *chu congming* is linked to *qu zhi* and that forgetting knowledge "wang zhi 忘知" is the most important aspect of zuowang. For a similar reason I translate chu congming as "dismiss the intellect" provided that "intellect" retains its etymological sense of intellectus - "a perceiving", "a discerning", from intellego which indicates the act of understanding but also the capacity of discerning from sense perceptions (literally formed by inter "between" and lego "to choose", "to read"). Indeed, in classical Chinese "senses are also said to know, and clarity of perception and intelligence are described with the same term(s), congming 聰明, meaning sensitivity and brightness" (Blake 2019, 35). More on this in the next sections.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Angus Graham (1989, 100) explains that the *Guanzi* is generally classified as a Legalist text and he refers to the "Neiye" as "possibly the oldest 'mystical' text in China".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> As we shall soon see, I disagree with Roth's broad casting net.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> W. T. Stace (1960, 341-342), one of Roth's sources, states that the mystic refers to the eternal that transcends the transient world. These references to an eternal and transcending reality echo the position of William James (1902/1985, 320), another important reference for Roth, who affirms that the

monistic mysticism – the union with a single abstract entity, he ultimately affirms that Daoism belongs to the latter. In doing so, he considers *dao* "the Way" that can be reached through the mystical practice of negating awareness of emotions, desires and sense perceptions (154). Both *xinzhai* and *zuowang* are, for Roth, mystical apophatic practices that allow union with "the Way"; he substantiates his arguments with numerous passages in the *Zhuangzi* that propose "inner cultivation". There is a particular phrase, *dao shu* 道術, which Roth translates as "techniques of the Way".

Besides the problems relating to a singular, metaphysical *dao* (Lacertosa 2018), the idea of teaching and transmitting mystical techniques in the *Zhuangzi* is particularly problematic. In many important passages, the text shows scepticism towards the possibility of transmitting not only theoretical knowledge but also practical knowledge.<sup>14</sup>

This is particularly evident in the second chapter where the use of double rhetorical questions undermines the possibility of a stable approach to teaching, to the point that Wang Ni, in one passage, asks: "How do I know that what I call knowledge is not ignorance? How do I know that what I call ignorance is not knowledge?" (6/2/66). Later, (6/2/68-70) Wang Ni questions also the possibility of determining proper taste (*zheng wei* 正味) and a proper sense of beauty (*zheng se* 正色), thus undermining any categorical position in relation to sense perception. If *dao* is "ineffable and so cannot be known as an object" (Roth 1999, 44) and if knowledge is not determinate, how can it be possible to teach techniques that lead to the mystical "Way"?

<sup>&</sup>quot;overcoming of all the usual barriers between the individual and the Absolute is the great mystic achievement".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> On the problem of scepticism in the *Zhuangzi*, see Kjellberg and Ivanhoe (1996).

## 3. Sense perception in the *Zhuangzi*

One way to understand the position of the *Zhuangzi* in relation to sense perception is through the so-called skill stories. These stories portray people in the act of performing or describing their exceptional activities. I examine a few of them in this section.

### 3.1 Cook Ding

In describing his art of carving oxen, Cook Ding (in chapter 3 (7/3/2-12)) explains that when he first began butchering, he could only see the ox. After three years, he no longer saw the ox as a whole. Finally, he stopped using eyesight, perceiving the ox with his *shen* 神 (variously translated as "spirit", or "daemonic"). The term *shen* in Cook Ding's story is found in a complex passage that refers to both the perceptive senses (*guan* 官) and spirit (*shen*). I examine below how different scholars have interpreted the passage (*guanzhi zhi* er *shen yu xing* 官知止 而神欲行):

"With the senses I know where to stop, the daemonic I desire to run its course." A. C. Graham (1981/1989, 63-64)<sup>16</sup>

"Controlling knowledge has stopped and my spirit wills the performance." Chad Hansen (1992, 287)

"Perception and understanding have come to a stop, and spirit moves where it wants". Burton Watson (1968/2013, 19)

The vagueness of this passage lends itself to metaphysical readings, which suggest that sense perception must stop in order that *shen*, spirit, takes over in a trance-like dance. Indeed, for Kuang-ming Wu (2007, 269-70) Ding dances "to the divine rhythm of sacred ritual music". Haiming Wen (2012, 51) goes as far as to affirm that Cook Ding nullifies his knife, turning it

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Wim De Reu (2019, 197) rightly comments on this stating that "it would be a misinterpretation of the story if one were to focus on the killing of the ox and project *that* onto the human domain". For De Reu, the fact that Ding keeps both the knife and the bones intact exemplifies an action that "defuses conflict and avoids harm".

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Graham (1981/1989, 35) renders *shen* as "daemonic" but warns us that it must not be confused with the negative connotation of "demoniac". For a detailed analysis of *shen* and the importance to consider its wide semantic range, see Sterckx (2007) and Puett (2002, 21-23). For a specific account of *shen* in the *Zhuangizi* and how it is not independent of the body, see Chiu (2016).

into its edge; and suggests that this is "analogous to nullifying one's body". These interpretations are in line with those above, in relation to heart fasting (*xinzhai*) and sitting and forgetting (*zuowang*). Their general assumption is that Cook Ding represents an example of attaining absolute perfection through *dao*.

Hansen is one of the strongest opponents of such positions. According to him (1992, 287-288), this "absolutist monistic interpretation" makes grasping *dao* as an all-or-nothing matter: "When you have it you suspend entirely all thought and sensation. Surrender yourself to the *Dao* and you can be saved!" For Hansen (2003, 917), Cook Ding does not reach any absolute perfection and does not report any sudden mystical conversion because his *dao* is still developing.

Even more importantly, Cook Ding does not and cannot abandon perception and intellect. Indeed, the mystical interpretation would have difficulty accounting for the second part of the story in which Ding shows that his senses are neither discarded nor suspended: whenever he sees some difficult parts, he becomes especially careful, looks (*shi* 視) attentively and slows his movements down. Only then can Cook Ding proceed to carve the difficult joint.

Still, one cannot avoid asking why whilst in the first part of the story Ding affirms that he is not looking with the eye but proceeds with his *shen*, here, his eyesight occupies a fundamental role. To answer this question we need to better understand the function of the senses (*guan*  $\dot{\Xi}$ ) in relation to the heart (*xin*  $\dot{\Box}$ ). Before that, let me consider another famous skill story, of Woodworker Oing in chapter 19 (50/19/54-59).

#### 3.2 Woodworker Qing

In this story we find another instance of heart fasting. In explaining how he makes remarkable bell-stands, Qing tells his audience that he fasts: after three days, he is no longer mindful about congratulations and rewards, titles and profit; after five days, he no longer pays attention to reputation and skills; and after seven, he forgets his limbs and his body. Up to this point, Qing's practice seems to fit into the meditative account of mysticism.

The story continues, however, in a way that contradicts such denial of the body. Qing explains that, after his fasting, he forgets about the royal court and external distractions so as to concentrate (zhuan 專) his skill (qiao 巧). This already gives a precise indication of the purpose of his fasting, which is not to stop at a meditative state – as the metaphysical bias suggests – but to be ready for his skilful activity. And this implies that to concentrate one's skill, one needs

fully-functioning sense perception. Only after fasting can Qing enter the mountain forest to *observe* (*guan* 觀) the quality of the trees and to choose the right tree for making a bell-stand with. He affirms:

When my body reaches its highest point (*xingqu zhi yi* 形軀至矣) and I have a complete perception (*jian* 見) of the bell-stand, then I set my hand to carving. If I do not perceive such a tree, I do not proceed. In this way, I match nature with nature (*tian he tian* 天合 天). Probably this is the reason why this seems to be the work of *shen*. (50/19/58-59)

This passage deserves several comments. First, more than a withdrawal of the senses, Qing describes heightened perceptive capacities. Far from abandoning this world for a 'higher' state of mystical union with 'reality', Qing immerses himself in the forest and concentrates his skill to perceive more clearly the structure of the trees. Only through this can be succeed in matching nature with nature. And, yet, why does Qing need to forget his limbs to be efficacious in his action? As anticipated above, the answer lies in the relation between body and heart. We investigate this in the following section.

# 4. Responding without storing

# 4.1 The relationship between the heart (xin 心) and the senses (guan 官)

According to Jane Geaney (2002, 13), the early Chinese believed that the heart "is not distinguished from the other senses in its ability to know its discriminations". Even more importantly, although the heart has "a special knowledge capacity (for verifying the operations of seeing and hearing), it does not do so from a position of detachment from the body". As a consequence, for Geaney nothing suggests that sense perception – or, to use her terminology, sense discrimination – must be transcended as prerequisite to a supersensible form of knowing.<sup>17</sup>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> Similarly, for Hansen (2002, 207) "we need not infer that Daoist must be referring to a reality in a classical Western (e.g., Parmenidean) sense – as something independent of or transcending sense experience, conceptions, and beliefs".

Geaney gives us an important hint toward the understanding of sensible experience in the *Zhuangzi*. Two comments are necessary for the moment. First, senses and heart are both involved in the process of knowing and discriminating (*bian*  $\Re$ ). Second, if knowledge arises from sensory discriminations, we need to understand the relation between the senses and knowledge in the text and why the *Zhuangzi* seems to be ambivalent about some of the senses.

Geaney (2002, 57) points out that every time the *Zhuangzi* proposes the abandonment of hearing or seeing, there is always a rejection of knowledge: "the *Zhuangzi* rejects the eyes and ears and thereby rejects the knowledge they represent" (59). But what kind of knowledge do they represent?

From an examination of some contemporaneous texts, namely, the *Lunyu*, *Mozi*, *Mengzi* and *Xunzi*, Geaney (82) concludes that, although hearing and sight can sometimes refer to the senses collectively, they are used in these texts to "indicate knowledge of the world and the power to control it". <sup>18</sup> It is not by chance that in ancient China the metaphor of the senses as officials (*guan* 官) under the control of the ruler, the heart (*xin*), was so common. <sup>19</sup> In this context, the text questions the problematic assumption that identifies the heart as the "true ruler" (*zhen zai* 真宰) among the organs (4/2/16-20); according to *Zhuangzi*, the other organs may take turns in this function and therefore the attempt to identify a ruler among them cannot be justified. <sup>20</sup> This metaphorically implies that if there are no hierarchical structures within the body, one should be careful to not arbitrarily give precedence to the heart as the ruler of the other organs, and the primary capacity for knowledge.

### 4.2 The predetermined heart vs. the heart like a mirror

By seeing the problem of sense perception in relation to the heart (*xin*) as a specialised capacity for knowledge, it becomes clear that *Zhuangzi*'s accounts of not using the sensory organs should not be read literally but metaphorically. Ding and Qing do not literally abandon their bodies

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>18</sup> For a detailed account of how senses are related to knowledge and discrimination in early Chinese thought, see also Blake (2019) – in particular p. 35. For the problem of scepticism in pre-Han China, see Fraser (2011). It is not possible here to discuss in detail how the *Zhuangzi* needs to be read in relation to Confucianism and Mohism. On this, see Chiu (2018).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> On this, see Raphals (2021). Furthermore, as seen previously, the *Zhuangzi* not only rejects the acquisition of knowledge with which to control the world, but is also critical of the process of knowing through discrimination (*bian*). I have discussed elsewhere how this does not mean that the *Zhuangzi* refuses discrimination *tout court* (Lacertosa 2019).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> According to Kim-chong Chong (2011, 429) this passage "suggests that the heart-mind is just one organ among the others and there is no true ruler."

with their sense perceptions. They abandon the preconstructed form of knowledge entrenched within their bodies and hearts, and it is this entrenched nature of their knowledge that needs to be abandoned.

This is particularly evident when the *Zhuangzi* (4/2/21-22) criticises the predetermined and completed heart (*cheng xin* 成心) which represents a form of knowledge that follows a fixed pattern of discriminations made of affirmations and negations (*shifei* 是非).<sup>21</sup> To follow fixed patterns implies that senses too are oriented toward a fixed set of values or outcomes, and this limits their capacity to be responsive.

Cheng xin is therefore the opposite of using the heart like a mirror (yong xin ruo jing 用 心若鏡) that the Zhuangzi prises in chapter 7 (21/7/32-33). In this passage, the text openly affirms that the utmost person (zhiren 至人) uses the heart like a mirror. This shows that the Zhuangzi does not reject the heart and its perception of the world. Rather, it is quite the opposite. In using the heart like a mirror, the utmost person responds (ying 應) but does not store (cang 藏). How a person responds without storing is the subject of the next section.

### 4.3 Responding without storing

"Responding without storing" denotes a process of perceiving the world in such a way that is not hindered by and does not retain predetermined notions of what the world must be like. The fasting of the heart is a metaphor that suggests precisely this possibility of being responsive to the constant changing world. Earlier, I questioned the hierarchical prioritization of the ruling knowledge of the heart (see also Geaney (2002, 84) and Blake (2019, 35)).<sup>22</sup> From this angle, we may understand the *Zhuangzi*'s critique of the senses in the skill stories as related to the critique of the predetermined heart. Indeed, in both cases, completion (*cheng* 成) obstructs responsiveness (*ying*). This is clear in the story of the lute player Zhao Wen:

The appearance of affirmations and negations (*shifei*) is the reason why *dao* is damaged, and when *dao* is damaged, partiality becomes complete (*cheng*). Is there really

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> For a detailed analysis of *shifei*, see Graham (1969-1970, 142-43).

 $<sup>^{22}</sup>$  It is worth mentioning that the later Mohists documents that are related to the issue of knowledge (in particular 67/42/2-68/42/4) do not mention the word xin. Instead, they use the word zhi 知 "intelligence" – defined as the ability (cai 材) of knowing – compared to the ability of seeing (jian 見). As a consequence, understanding (zhi 思, same as 智) is compared to clear-sightedness (ming 明). On this, see Graham (1978, 59-60; 266-267).

something damaged and complete? Or is there not? To have something damaged and complete can be compared to Zhao Wen playing the lute. Not to have something damaged and complete can be compared to Zhao Wen not playing the lute. (5/2/42-43)

The passage seems to propose a conundrum: how can something be complete and simultaneously damaged? From the perspective of the *Zhuangzi*, *the striving* for completion is damaging because it shapes a person's choice from prior commitments, thus favouring one set of outcomes over the others. In this sense Zhao Wen not playing the lute metaphorically refers to a process that cannot reach completion because *no completion target* is sought, and therefore multiple possibilities remain open. This does not mean that Zhao Wen does not play the lute in a literal sense. It only means that one should not hold on to predetermined styles, that is to say: to avoid holding on predetermined knowledge and ingrained physical habits. Indeed, a skill involves not only knowledge but also physical ability, and this means that responding without storing pertains to the person as a whole.

In the *Zhuangzi*, sense perception has sometimes been read with a metaphysical bias, as an impediment on the way to a supersensible reality, as something that needs to be transcended to reach perfection. However, as I have shown, the *Zhuangzi* opposes precisely this idea of completion. As a consequence, is the pursuit of one's being in a state of union with *dao* not itself a quest for completion?

Why should one abandon perception if the utmost person responds without storing? The point is that the *Zhuangzi* does not reject sense perception and knowledge but warns against adopting univocal doctrinal positions. Therefore, forgetting one's body and acting with one's spirit (*shen*) does not literally mean to stop perceiving the world. It only means to abandon those habits that hinder the responsiveness of the body and heart. And, in doing so, this allows us to be open to alternatives with an ethical – more than epistemic – modesty. Indeed, the main point is not to deemphasise one's claim to knowledge – which is nevertheless fundamental as a means to the ethical end – but to deemphasise entrenched patterns of conduct that we are so complacent about.

### 4.4 The clearing (xu 虚) that awaits things

Instead of denying the use of the senses, the *Zhuangzi* proposes to clear them from ingrained habits and practices through embodying a certain 'emptiness' (xu). Xu (literally "emptiness")

involves *clearing* what has been stored through conventional practices, so that a person may become responsive to the world. According to Chris Fraser (2008, 142), the condition of *xu* captures a scenario in which the agent "maintains a kind of loose, responsive, psychophysical equilibrium and lets the body take over, or move 'of itself', in guiding action". Fraser (2019, 172) gives the example of the expert swimmer (49/19/24) who forgets the water but his "*shen* continues to respond to it sensitively and intelligently". It is interesting too, that for Steve Coutinho (2019, 91), *shen* does not refer to a supersensible condition, but actually describes "hypersensitivity to the subtlest tendencies just before the threshold of perceptual awareness".

From both accounts, the point is precisely to find the equilibrium on this hypersensitive threshold which acts with a mirror-like aptitude, that is to say: to keep responding to changing circumstances without turning one's perceptual awareness into entrenched habits. This is the metaphorical meaning of forgetting:

The fish-trap is used because there is the fish; catch the fish and then forget the trap. The snare is used because there is the rabbit; catch the rabbit and then forget the snare. Words are used because there is meaning; get the meaning and then forget the words. Where can I find someone who forgets words and have a word with her? (75/26/48-49)

In this ironic passage, the act of forgetting is not a withdrawal from the world or from society. There is no *absolute* forgetting but only a forgetting that clears the way from the obstacles of conventional knowledge and values. The point is not to reach absolute silence or stillness but to dismiss ossified styles and habits – indeed, "a *dao* is obscured by small achievements, words are obscured by pompous styles" (4/2/25-26).

In this sense, it is difficult to agree with positions such as held by Yang Rur-bin (2003, 90) who affirms that "in the process of seeking the Dao, one must go through a stage wherein perception and rationality disintegrate". Besides the fact that for the *Zhuangzi* there is no such thing as mere disintegration – because division is formation and formation is disintegration  $(4/2/35-36)^{23}$  – the problem with this kind of self-denial is that it misleadingly imposes a Platonic two worlds conception on the text (as Yingshi Yü (2016, 6) also does in stating that "Zhuangzi lived in 'this world,' but at the same time, his spirit wandered in the 'other world'").

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> In commenting on this passage, Chen Guying (2008, 218) explains that in its fragmented dissolution, a thing contributes to the formation of a new one and the constitution of this new thing includes the element of the former dissolved one.

In affirming a higher-level supersensible reality, this two worlds idea not only misses the importance of an aesthetic and responsive experience of the world, it also overlooks the crucial role played by the constant transformation of things that does not gesture at any metaphysical realm in the *Zhuangzi*. Here, even death is nothing but change: whether transforming into a rat's liver or an insect leg (17/6/55-56), one will suddenly wake up after a sound sleep (17/6/60) and still partake in the everchanging becoming of the myriad things (wanwu 萬物).

### 5. Conclusion

From the previous analysis, I have demonstrated that it is quite plausible that the Zhuangzi ethically and metaphorically warns against distinctions and taking one organ of knowledge as ruling over the others.<sup>24</sup> If heart and senses are all responsible for producing distinctions and knowledge, then the problem is not whether knowing-that has priority over knowing-how, or *vice-versa*, but the ethical dimension of knowing *tout court*. Indeed, practical knowledge can become rigid and unresponsive as any other stored, transmitted and learned interpretive content.

The apparent contradictions of the text seem to mirror the possibility for something to be simultaneously damaged and complete. The *Zhuangzi* does not negate the importance to achieve excellence, rather it ethically warns against turning this into entrenched doctrine with accompanying habits – in short, a univocal *ethos*. The text seems to suggest that excellence should never become complete so as to avoid producing or accepting an imposing and imposed *ethos*.

The moment this happens, one needs a clearing that reinstates responsiveness. And this clearing refers to the body as a whole with no distinction between the senses and heart. To proceed in a mirror-like attitude one needs an undivided perception and an integral awareness.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> This is not to say that the *Zhuangzi* does not present some forms of dualism. The entire discussion on *shifei* 是非 in the second chapter demonstrates the all-pervasive presence of polarities. With its double rhetorical questions, however, the *Zhuangzi* questions the very possibility of considering these polarities as real dualities, thus hinting that they are only contrasting positions introduced for the sake of disputation. And although it is true that the *Zhuangzi* distinguishes between the mediate experience of reality (which is influenced by univocal doctrines) and a more immediate experience of reality (which tries to respond without storing), this distinction does not introduce a metaphysical duality – that is to say, the world does not have a more or less superficial form but there is a more or less superficial way to experience it. As we have seen, the point is to match nature with nature.

Thus the *Zhuangzi* shows how sense perception should be seen not as a "problem" but as an ethical possibility through which the univocity of doctrinal knowledge can be undermined. And this changes the way we understand the *Zhuangzi* as neither mystical nor sceptical, but as proposing ethical engagement with the world in which sense perception helps to counteract ossifying and ossified habits.

To conclude then, let me mention one last story, the one of the wheelwright Bian – chapter 13 (36/13/68-74). It seems as if Bian is talking to us – the readers of the *Zhuangzi* – asking what we are reading. Similarly to Duke Huan, we would say that we are reading "the words of the sages" (*shengren zhi yan* 聖人之言). For Bian, these words are the inert residues of the people from the past. Interestingly, Bian explains himself by describing his activity: in making a wheel, he proceeds with the right pace, feeling the wood with his hands and responding with the heart (*de zhi yu shou er ying yu xin* 得之於手而應於心). According to Bian, this fully responsive perceptual awareness cannot be put into words and cannot be conveyed, not even to his son.

Bian believes that the words from the past are inert because printed words cannot transmit knowledge – a knowledge that comes from a responsive heart and senses, not from predefined doctrines, in ink on bamboo strips. Thus, through the words of the wheelwright Bian, in its usual ironic and paradoxical form, the *Zhuangzi* proposes that we avoid univocal doctrinal knowledge by closing the book that we are reading and by experiencing the world first hand. And this is certainly a good way to make its words alive again without falling in the cul-de-sac of a "completed" *ethos*.

#### References

- Ames, Roger T., ed. 1998. Wandering at Ease in the Zhuangzi. Albany: State University of New York Press.
- Ames, Roger T., and Takahiro Nakajima, eds. 2015. *Zhuangzi and the Happy Fish*. Honolulu: University of Hawaii Press.
- Blake, Susan. 2019. "Perception and its disorders in early China." In *The Senses and the History of Philosophy*, edited by Brian Glenney and José Filipe Silva, 33-48. New York: Routledge.
- Chen, Guying 陳鼓應. 2007. Zhuangzi jin zhu jinyi 莊子今注今譯. Beijing 北京: Shangwu Yinshuguan 商務印書館.
- Chen, Guying 陳鼓應. 2008. *Laozhuang xin lun* 老莊新論. Beijing 北京: Shangwu Yinshu guan 商務印書館.
- Chiu, Wai Wai. 2016. "Zhuangzi's idea of 'spirit': acting and 'thinging things' without self-assertion." *Asian Philosophy* 26 (1):38-51.
- Chiu, Wai Wai. 2018. "Zhuangzi's Knowing-How and Skepticism." *Philosophy East and West* 68 (4):1062-1084.
- Chong, Kim-chong. 2011. "Zhuangzi's *Cheng Xin* and its Implications for Virtue and Perspectives." *Dao* 10 (4):427-443.
- Clarke, J.J. 2000. *The Tao of the West: Western Transformations of Taoist Thought*. London; New York: Routledge.
- Coutinho, Steve. 2019. "Skill and Embodied Engagement: *Zhuangzi* and *Liezi*." In *Skill and Mastery: Philosophical Stories from the Zhuangzi*, edited by Karyn Lai and Wai Wai Chiu, 85-99. London: Rowman & Littlefield International.
- Crane, Tim, and Craig French. 2017. "The Problem of Perception." *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*.
- https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/spr2017/entries/perception-problem/.
- De Reu, Wim. 2019. "The Unresponsive Fighting Cocks: Mastery and Human Interaction in the *Zhuangzi*." In *Skill and Mastery: Philosophical Stories from the Zhuangzi*, edited by Karyn Lai and Wai Wai Chiu, 183-200. London: Rowman & Littlefield International.
- Fraser, Chris. 2008. "Psychological Emptiness in the *Zhuāngzi*." *Asian Philosophy* 18 (2):123-147.
- Fraser, Chris. 2011. "Knowledge and Error in Early Chinese Thought." Dao 10 (2):127-148.
- Fraser, Chris. 2019. "The Ferryman: Forget the Deeps and Row!" In *Skill and Mastery: Philosophical Stories from the Zhuangzi*, edited by Karyn Lai and Wai Wai Chiu, 163-181. London: Rowman & Littlefield International.
- Friedman, Maurice. 1976. "Martin Buber and Asia." *Philosophy East and West* 26 (4):411-426.
- Geaney, Jane. 2002. On the Epistemology of the Senses in Early Chinese Thought. Honolulu: University of Hawaii Press.
- Glenney, Brian, and José Filipe Silva. 2019. "General Introduction." In *The Senses and the History of Philosophy*, edited by Brian Glenney and José Filipe Silva, 1–14. New York: Routledge.
- Graham, A. C. 1969-1970. "Chuang-tzu's Essay on Seeing Things as Equal." *History of Religions* 9 (2/3):137-159.

- Graham, A. C. 1978. *Later Mohist Logic, Ethics and Science*. Hong Kong: Chinese University Press.
- Graham, A. C. 1979. "How Much of *Chuang Tzu* Did Chuang Tzu Write?" In *Studies in Classical Chinese Thought (Thematic Issue of the Journal of the American Academy of Religion)*, edited by Henry Rosemont and Benjamin I. Schwartz, 459-501. 47/3.
- Graham, A. C., trans. 1981/1989. Chuang-tzŭ: The Seven Inner Chapters and Other Writings From the Book Chuang-tzŭ. London; Boston; Sydney; New Zealand: Unwin Paperbacks.
- Graham, A. C. 1989. Disputers of the Tao: Philosophical Argument in Ancient China. La Salle, Ill.: Open Court.
- Hansen, Chad. 1992. *A Daoist Theory of Chinese Thought: A Philosophical Interpretation*. Oxford; New York: Oxford University Press.
- Hansen, Chad. 2002. "The Metaphysics of Dao." In *Comparative Approaches to Chinese Philosophy*, edited by Bo Mou, 205-224. Aldershot: Ashgate.
- Hansen, Chad. 2003. "Zhuangzi (Chuang Tzu)." In *Encyclopedia of Chinese Philosophy*, edited by Antonio S. Cua, 918-911. New York: Routledge.
- Heidegger, Martin. 1957/1991. *The Principle of Reason*. Translated by Reginald Lilly. Bloomington: Indiana University Press.
- Hung, William (Hong Ye 洪業) et al., ed. 1947. A Concordance to Chuang Tzu 莊子引得. Harvard-Yenching Institute Sinological Index Series, Supplement No. 20. Beiping: Harvard-Yenching Institute.
- Hung, William (Hong Ye 洪業) et al. 1948. *A Concordance to Mo Tzu* 墨子引得. Harvard-Yenching Institute Sinological Index Series, Supplement No. 21. Beijing: Harvard-Yenching Institute.
- James, William. 1902/1985. *The Varieties of Religious Experience*. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press.
- Kjellberg, Paul, and P. J. Ivanhoe, eds. 1996. *Essays on Skepticism, Relativism, and Ethics in the Zhuangzi*. New York: State University of New York Press.
- Klein, Esther. 2010. "Were there 'Inner Chapters' in the Warring States? A New Examination of Evidence about the *Zhuangzi*." *T'oung Pao* 96 (4/5):299-369.
- Kohn, Livia. 1992. Early Chinese Mysticism: Philosophy and Soteriology in the Taoist Tradition. Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press.
- Kohn, Livia. 2010. Sitting in Oblivion: The Heart of Daoist Meditation. Dunedin, FL: Three Pines Press.
- Kohn, Livia. 2014. Zhuangzi: Text and Context. St. Petersburg, FL: Three Pines Press.
- Lacertosa, Massimiliano. 2018. "Interpreting *Dao* (道) between 'Way-making' and '*Bewegen*'." In *Ancient and Modern Practices of Citizenship in Asia and the West: Care of the Self*, edited by Gregory Bracken, 103-120. Amsterdam: Amsterdam University Press.
- Lacertosa, Massimiliano. 2019. "The Ethical Stance of the 'Qiwulun (Discourse on Corresponding Things)'." *Dao. A Journal of Comparative Philosophy* 18 (2):183–196.
- Liu, Xiaogan. 1994. *Classifying the Zhuangzi Chapters*. Translated by William E. Savage. Ann Arbor, Mich.: Center for Chinese Studies, University of Michigan.
- Liu, Xiaogan 劉笑敢. 1987. *The philosophy of Zhuangzi and its evolution* 莊子哲學及其演變. Beijing 北京: Zhongguo Shehui Kexue Chubanshe 中國社會科學出版社.

- Lloyd, G. E. R. 2006. "Appearance versus reality: Greek and Chinese comparisons and contrasts." In *Principles And Practices in Ancient Greek And Chinese Science*, 303–317. Aldershot: Ashgate.
- Mair, Victor H., ed. 1983. *Experimental Essays on Chuang-tzu*. Honolulu: University of Hawaii Press.
- Nanay, Bence. 2010. "Philosophy of Perception: The New Wave." In *Perceiving the World*, edited by Bence Nanay, 3–12. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Puett, Michael J. 2002. *To Become a God: Cosmology, Sacrifice, and Self-Divinization in Early China*. Cambridge, Massachusetts; London: Harvard University Asia Center.
- Raphals, Lisa. 2021. "Skilled Feelings in Chinese and Greek Heart-Mind-Body Metaphors." *Dao* 20 (1):69-91.
- Robinet, Isabelle. 1993. *Taoist Meditation: The Mao-shan Tradition of Great Purity*. Albany, NY: State University of New York Press.
- Rošker, Jana S. 2019. "Chinese theories of perception and the structural approach to comprehension." In *The Senses and the History of Philosophy*, edited by Brian Glenney and José Filipe Silva, 21-32. New York: Routledge.
- Roth, Harold David. 1999. Original Tao: Inward Training (Nei-yeh) and the Foundations of Taoist Mysticism. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Schwartz, Benjamin I. 1975. "Transcendence in Ancient China." Daedalus 104 (2):57-68.
- Schwartz, Benjamin I. 1985. *The World of Thought in Ancient China*. Cambridge, Mass.: Belknap Press of Harvard University Press.
- Slingerland, Edward. 2013. "Body and Mind in Early China: An Integrated Humanities—Science Approach." *Journal of the American Academy of Religion* 81 (1):6-55.
- Smith, A. D. 2002. *The Problem of Perception*. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press.
- Stace, W. T. 1960. Mysticism and Philosophy. London: Macmillan.
- Sterckx, Roel. 2007. "Searching for Spirit: Shen and Sacrifice in Warring States and Han Philosophy and Ritual." *Extrême-Orient Extrême-Occident* (29):23-54.
- Watson, Burton, trans. 1968/2013. *The Complete Works of Zhuangzi*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Wen, Haiming. 2012. Chinese philosophy. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Wu, Kuang-ming. 2007. "'Emperor Hundun 渾沌': A Cultural Hermeneutic." *Dao. A Journal of Comparative Philosophy* 6 (3):263-279.
- Yang, Rur-bin. 2003. "From 'Merging the Body with the Mind' to 'Wandering in Unitary Qi 氣': A Discussion of Zhuangzi's Realm of the True Man and Its Corporeal Basis." In *Hiding the World in the World: Uneven Discourses on the Zhuangzi*, edited by Scott Cook, 88-127. Albany: State University of New York Press.
- Yearley, Lee H. 1983. "The Perfected Person in the Radical Chuang-tzu." In *Experimental Essays on Chuang-tzu*, edited by Victor H. Mair, 125-139. Honolulu: University of Hawaii Press.
- Yearley, Lee H. 1996. "Zhuangzi's Understanding of Skillfulness and the Ultimate Spiritual State." In *Essays on Skepticism, Relativism, and Ethics in the Zhuangzi*, edited by Paul Kjellberg and P. J. Ivanhoe, 152-182. New York: State University of New York Press.
- Yü, Yingshi. 2016. Chinese History and Culture, Volume 1: Sixth Century B.C.E. to Seventeenth Century. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Zhang, Longxi. 1998. *Mighty Opposites: From Dichotomies to Differences in the Comparative Study of China*. Stanford, Calif.: Stanford University Press.
- Ziporyn, Brook, trans. 2009. Zhuangzi: The Essential Writings with Selections from Traditional Commentaries. Indianapolis: Hackett Pub. Co.