

Erratum

To Richard L. Lanigan, “Capta versus data: Method and evidence in communicology”, *Human Studies* 17: 109–130, 1994.

PAGE 119

Rather, it is something tied to experience”. (Last line of second paragraph.)

[Omit next paragraph]

[INSERT]

As the *other* person involved in the research project, Luria (1976) relies on the second eidetic model of explication as a basis for interpretation and *takes (capta)* Sher to be using a *critical* perspective that Luria calls “concrete situational thinking” (p. 54) or a “graphic thinking process” that is “based on an individual’s practical experience” (p. 52). As Peirce defines it, the critical approach uses the logic of *adduction*: Rule + Result = Case [universal and a priori] (Lanigan, 1992:217). This is to say, Luria sees Sher using his own lived-experiences (Rule; “concrete”) all “work together” (the meaningful Result; “situational”) in explaining the hammer-saw-log-hatchet (Case in question; “thinking”).

As is always the case in phenomenological research, we immediately intuit that the description...