

Editorial

Two members of the editorial board, Sharon Beder and Mary Spongberg, have handed in their resignations, so to say, and I should like to thank them very much on behalf on *Metascience* for all their hard work. I have to confess that I was also expecting to announce the end of the tenure of the editor as well, but the plans for replacing me have become a little undone so I am not able to announce a definite date for a handover yet.

I can, however, announce a new kind of 'item' for the journal. On reading through the exchange between Johnston, Shanks and Pinnick, I felt it did not really qualify for a review symposium in the traditional sense. In particular, Johnston did not directly address his critics, but got in a bit more promotion for his views. Being about creationism and with the people involved all being from the USA, where the issues raised have practical consequences not so evident in Europe or Australia, I thought I would put it under a heading like "Debates and Controversies" and invite brief (please note brief: not more than 300 words) responses and rejoinders from readers. The fertile mind of Terry Dartnall suggested "Review Gymnasium"—where ideas 'get a workout'—and that met with enough support for it to be adopted. I expect I will put the reviews we have coming up of Sokal and Bricmont under this heading as well.

Erratum

The contribution by Harry M. Marks to the Review Symposium in Peter Galison's *Image and Logic* (vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 375–9) was previously copyrighted by H-NET to whom all rights and permissions belong.