

## Foreword

The current issue contains only regular articles, as far as their length is concerned, and no short communications are included this time. Some of the papers bring forth quite innovative ideas. For instance, Stanislav Južnič makes a bold suggestion to initiate a new discipline in the realm of science studies. Enn Kasak and Raul Veede come forward with a new approach to Pierce's cosmology, introducing it in the framework of their own original understanding of different types of knowledge. Piret Kuusk and Sumei Cheng dwell on more mainstream topics in philosophy of science. In a way, the same can be said about the journal's editor-in-chief's article on Leibniz's approach to corporeal substance. The author himself believes that he has added some new ideas and connections to the existing large scholarship on the extremely rich and voluminous legacy of the universal German genius. The insight into the history of the development of the approach to human rights is not a conventional topic in philosophy or history of science. However, the topic is deeply interdisciplinary if we look into the essence of the issue. For instance, human rights connect directly to the basic human needs. In contemporary times, we can hardly imagine that any human needs can be satisfied without the support of our science-based technological environment. In addition, moral issues are no less important in science than they are in our everyday life.

The authors, as well as our editorial team, are eager to receive competent feedback from our readers to the ideas expressed in the current issue.

*Peeter Mürsepp*  
*Editor-in-Chief*