REFERENCE NOTE

1. Wickelgren, W. A. *Consolidation and forgetting* (Chapter 10). Unpublished chapter of book in preparation.

REFERENCES

GROSS, C. G., BENDER, D. B., & ROCHA-MIRANDA, C. E. Visual receptive fields of neurons in inferotemporal cortex of the monkey. *Science*, 1969, **166**, 1303-1306.

HUBEL, D. H., & WIESEL, T. N. Receptive fields, binocular interaction and functional architecture in the cat's visual cortex. *Journal of Physiology*, 1962, **160**, 106-154.

MICHALSKI, A., KOSSUT, M., & ZERNICKI, B. Single-unit responses to natural objects in area 19 of cats with different early visual experiences. *Acta Neurobiologiae Experimentalis* (Warsaw), 1975, **35**, 77-83.

MÜLLER, J. *Elements of physiology* (Vol. 2). (W. Baly, trans.). London: 1842. (Originally published, 1838). Relevant section reprinted in R. J. Herrnstein & E. G. Boring (Eds.), *A source book in the history of psychology*. Cambridge, Mass: Harvard University Press, 1965. Pp. 26-33.

PAKKENBERG, H. The number of nerve cells in the cerebral cortex of man. *Journal of Comparative Neurology*, 1966, **128**, 17-20.

THOMPSON, R. F., MAYERS, K. S., ROBERTSON, R. T., & PATTERSON, C. J. Number coding in association cortex of the cat. *Science*, 1970, **168**, 271-273.

WICKELGREN, W. A. Learned specification of concept neurons. *Bulletin of Mathematical Biophysics*, 1969, **31**, 123-142.

ZERNICKI, B., & MICHALSKI, A. Single-unit responses to natural objects in visual areas 17 and 18 of cats reared under different visual experiences. *Acta Neurobiologiae Experimentalis* (Warsaw), 1974, **34**, 697-712.

(Received for publication May 31, 1977.)

ERRATUM

Newman, S. E., and Frith, U. Encoding specificity vs. associative continuity. *Bulletin of the Psychonomic Society*, 1977, 10, 73-75. Page 75, column 1, line 26 should read: "This may have occurred since (1) in the Thomson and Tulving experiment the instructions prior to the recall test were shorter for the no-cue than for the strong-cue group and (2) strong-cue subjects, in both their experiment and ours, faced with a list of words that had not previously occurred in the experiment, . . ."