Studies in Applied Philosophy, Epistemology and Rational Ethics

Volume 43

Series editor

Lorenzo Magnani, University of Pavia, Pavia, Italy e-mail: lmagnani@unipv.it

Editorial Board

Atocha Aliseda Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México (UNAM), Coyoacan, Mexico

Giuseppe Longo Centre Cavaillès, CNRS—Ecole Normale Supérieure, Paris, France

Chris Sinha School of Foreign Languages, Hunan University, Changsha, P.R. China

Paul Thagard Waterloo University, Waterloo, ON, Canada

John Woods University of British Columbia, Vancouver, BC, Canada Studies in Applied Philosophy, Epistemology and Rational Ethics (SAPERE) publishes new developments and advances in all the fields of philosophy, epistemology, and ethics, bringing them together with a cluster of scientific disciplines and technological outcomes: from computer science to life sciences, from economics, law, and education to engineering, logic, and mathematics, from medicine to physics, human sciences, and politics. It aims at covering all the challenging philosophical and ethical themes of contemporary society, making them appropriately applicable to contemporary theoretical, methodological, and practical problems, impasses, controversies, and conflicts. The series includes monographs, lecture notes, selected contributions from specialized conferences and workshops as well as selected Ph.D. theses.

Advisory Board

- A. Abe, Chiba, Japan
- H. Andersen, Copenhagen, Denmark
- O. Bueno, Coral Gables, USA
- S. Chandrasekharan, Mumbai, India
- M. Dascal, Tel Aviv, Israel
- G. D. Crnkovic, Göteborg, Sweden
- M. Ghins, Lovain-la-Neuve, Belgium
- M. Guarini, Windsor, Canada
- R. Gudwin, Campinas, Brazil
- A. Heeffer, Ghent, Belgium
- M. Hildebrandt, Rotterdam, The Netherlands
- K. E. Himma, Seattle, USA
- M. Hoffmann, Atlanta, USA
- P. Li, Guangzhou, P.R. China
- G. Minnameier, Frankfurt, Germany
- M. Morrison, Toronto, Canada
- Y. Ohsawa, Tokyo, Japan
- S. Paavola, Helsinki, Finland
- W. Park, Daejeon, South Korea

- A. Pereira, São Paulo, Brazil
- L. M. Pereira, Caparica, Portugal A.-V. Pietarinen, Helsinki, Finland
- D. Portides, Nicosia, Cyprus
- D. Provijn, Ghent, Belgium
- J. Queiroz, Juiz de Fora, Brazil
- A. Raftopoulos, Nicosia, Cyprus
- C. Sakama, Wakayama, Japan
- C. Schmidt, Le Mans, France
- G. Schurz, Dusseldorf, Germany
- N. Schwartz, Buenos Aires, Argentina
- C. Shelley, Waterloo, Canada
- F. Stjernfelt, Aarhus, Denmark
- M. Suarez, Madrid, Spain
- J. van den Hoven, Delft,

The Netherlands

P.-P. Verbeek, Enschede,

The Netherlands

- R. Viale, Milan, Italy
- M. Vorms, Paris, France

More information about this series at http://www.springer.com/series/10087

Woosuk Park

Philosophy's Loss of Logic to Mathematics

An Inadequately Understood Take-Over



Woosuk Park Humanities and Social Sciences KAIST Daeieon, Korea (Republic of)

ISSN 2192-6255 ISSN 2192-6263 (electronic) Studies in Applied Philosophy, Epistemology and Rational Ethics ISBN 978-3-319-95146-1 ISBN 978-3-319-95147-8 (eBook) https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-95147-8

Library of Congress Control Number: 2018947027

© Springer International Publishing AG, part of Springer Nature 2018

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer International Publishing AG part of Springer Nature

The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

Preface

Essays originally published in Korean have been translated into English by me. I have made small changes, mainly stylistic, to most of the articles published internationally. In updating the book's chapter, I have striven for an overall consistency and coherence, I hope with satisfactory success. Supplementary readings are proposed in the Epilogue.

Chapter 2 first appeared in Korean in *Yonsei Philosophy*, 4, (1992), 53–80. Chapter 3 is a reprint of a paper published in *Modern Schoolman*, Vol. 67, (1990), 259–273. Chapter 4 appeared in *Logica Yearbook 2000*, (Prague: Czech Academy of Science), (2001), pp. 79–89. Chapter 5 appeared in *Korean Journal of Logic*, 11. 2, (2008), 1–57. Chapter 6 was published in *Erkenntnis*, 76 (3), (2012), 427–442. Chapter 7 was published in *Korean Journal of Logic*, 14.2, (2011), 1–37. Chapter 8 appeared in *Korean Journal of Logic*, 17 (1), (2014), 33–69. Chapter 9 was published as a discussion note in *Modern Schoolman*, Vol. 80, (2003), 144–153. Chapter 10 was published in *Korean Journal of Logic*, 9.2, (2006), 117–175. Chapter 11 was published in *Foundations of Science*, 21, (2016), 511–526. Chapter 12 was published (in English) in *Korean Journal of Logic*, 12.2, (2009), 141–170.

Daejeon, Korea (Republic of)

Woosuk Park

Acknowledgements

While I am indebted to all my teachers in logic and philosophy, I am especially grateful to Jorge J. E. Garcia and John Corcoran for their unfailing support. Nino Cocchiarella's detailed and much appreciated comments led to invaluable improvements of several of the book's chapters. As always, I have benefited from the criticism and encouragement of John Woods and Lorenzo Magnani.

Contents

1	In	troduction	1
	Re	eferences	6
Par	t I	The Fregean Legacy	
2	Fr	rege's Distinction Between "Falling Under"	
		d "Subordination"	9
	1	Introduction	9
	2	The Distinction	10
	3	The Non-existence of the Distinction	12
			12
			18
	4	Why Does the Distinction Matter to Frege?	23
			24
			25
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	26
		4.4 The Distinction Between Falling Under and Subordination	
		Versus the Distinction Between Class Membership	
		* · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	27
	Re	eferences	28
3	Sc	otus, Frege, and Bergmann	31
	1		33
	2		34
	3		39
	4		42
	D.		11

x Contents

4	On	Cocchiarella's Retroactive Theory of Reference	47
	1	Introduction	47
	2	Predicable Concepts and Nominalized Predicates	49
	3	Referential Concepts	51
		3.1 General Reference	51
		3.2 Singular Reference of Proper Names	52
	4	Frege and Cocchiarella	53
	5	Conclusion	55
	Re	ferences	56
Par	t II	The Hilbert School	
5	Ze	rmelo and the Axiomatic Method	59
	1	Introduction	59
	2	The Discrepancy Between the Later Zermelo's Thought	
		and Hilbert Program	62
	3	The Development of Hilbert's Thought on Axiomatic Method	
		Between 1900 and 1917	63
		3.1 Implicit Definition in Hilbert	64
		3.2 Euclidean Axiom System and Hilbertian Axiomatic	
		Method: Unending Frege/Hilbert Controversy	64
		3.3 The Application of the Axiomatic Method and Deepening	
		the Foundations	67
		3.4 The Influence of Zermelo-Russell Paradox	71
	4	Zermelo's Position on the Axiomatic Method Around 1908	74
		4.1 The Motivations for the Axiomatization	74
		4.2 Zermelo's Reductionism	76
		4.3 The Problem of Selecting Axioms and the Axiom	
		of Choice	78
		4.4 Could Zermelo's Axiomatic System of Set Theory	
		Be the Implicit Definition of the Concept of Set?	82
	5	Concluding Remarks	84
	Re	ferences	84
6	Fri	edman on Implicit Definition: In Search of the Hilbertian	
	He	ritage in Philosophy of Science	87
	1	Introduction	87
	2	Implicit Definition and the Relativized a Priori	89
	3	Carnap's Debt to Hilbert	94
		3.1 Hilbert on Deepening the Foundations	95
		3.2 Friedman on Carnap's Later Views on Theoretical Terms	
		in Science	98
	4	Concluding Remarks	99
		ferences	100

Contents xi

7	Be	tween Bernays and Carnap	103
	1	Introduction	103
	2	Hilbert's Axiomatic Method and Deepening the Foundations	106
	3	The Limitation of Hilbert's Axiomatic Method from Bernays'	
		Point of View	108
		3.1 The Development of Axiomatic Method	108
		3.2 The Problem of Uniformity of the Axiomatic Method	112
	4	Bernays' Criticism of Carnap	115
	5	Concluding Remarks	117
	Re	ferences	118
Par	t II	I Goedel and Tarski	
8	Pa	atterson on Tarski's Definition of Logical Consequence	123
	1	Introduction	123
	2	Patterson's Interpretation of Tarski	128
		2.1 Intuitionistic Formalism and the Young Tarski	129
		2.2 Skepticism About Semantics	133
		2.3 Carnap and Tarski	136
		2.4 Carnap's and Tarski's Concepts of Logical Consequence	137
	3	Beyond Patterson	140
	Re	eferences	143
9	Oı	the Motivations of Gödel's Ontological Proof	145
	1	Introduction	145
	2	Back to Gödel's Original Proof	146
	3	Gathering Clues	147
	4	The Role of the Proof of the Existence of God in Mathematics	
		and Science	150
	5	Conclusion	153
	Re	ferences	153
Par	t IN	Back to Aristotle	
10	Oı	ntological Regress of Maddy's Mathematical Naturalism	157
	1	Introduction	157
	2	Maddy as Realist	160
		2.1 Maddy's Strategy as a Realist to Meet Benacerraf's	160
		Challenge	160
		2.2 The Analogy Between Science and Mathematics	161
		2.3 Aristotelian Aspects of Maddy's Realism	166

xii Contents

	3	Maddy's Mathematical Naturalism: Beyond Quine and Gödel	166
		3.1 What Is Gone, What Is Newly Introduced,	
		and What Is Revised	166
		3.2 The Analogy Between Science and Mathematics	
		Revisited	169
	4	Concluding Remarks: What Maddy's Belief Change Means	185
	Re	ferences	185
11	W	hat if Haecceity Is not a Property?	189
	1	Introduction	189
	2	The Common Assumptions About Haecceity	191
	3	Haecceity and the Identity of Indiscernibles	192
	4	Haecceitas from Scotus' Point of View	196
	5	What if Haecceity Is not a Property?	199
	6	Concluding Remarks	202
	Re	ferences	205
12	Bi	ancani on Scientiae Mediae	207
	1	Introduction	207
	2	Wallace and Dear on the Motivations of Jesuit Debates	
		on Scientiae Mediae	208
	3	The Chapter on Scientiae Mediae	210
	4	Biancani's Target	211
	5	Biancani on Perfect Demonstrations	212
	6	Biancani's Strategy	217
	7	Concluding Remarks	219
	Re	ferences	220
Epi	logı	ıe	223
Daf	orei	nces	229
V.			