## **The Problem of Divine Evaluation**

**Abstract**: I raise the following six moral objections to the way God evaluates us. (i) He violates the human right to free thought. (ii) He makes the dubious assumption that it is praiseworthy and blameworthy, respectively, to believe and disbelieve that he exists. (iii) He excessively rewards believers and excessively punishes disbelievers. (iv) He only assigns to his evaluates the two extreme grades: eternal bliss and eternal damnation. (v) He overlooks diverse factors related to the belief of God. (vi) He is silent on the issue of whether to evaluate animals. Therefore, God, who is allegedly omnipotent, omniscient, and omnibenevolent, does not exist.

Park, S. (2024). The problem of divine evaluation. Symposion, 11(1), 37-48.

Published Version of PDF: https://symposion.acadiasi.ro/wp-content/uploads/2024/05/2024.11.1.4.park\_-1.pdf

## **Bibliography**

- Goldman, Alvin and Bob Beddor. 2016. "Reliabilist Epistemology." *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, edited by Edward N. Zalta, URL = <a href="https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/win2016/entries/reliabilism/>.
- Hájek, Alan. 2018. "Pascal's Wager." *The Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, edited by Edward N. Zalta, URL = <https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/sum2018/entries/pascal-wager/>.
- Martin, Michael. 1983. "Pascal's Wager as an Argument for Not Believing in God." *Religious Studies* 19: 57–64.
- Pascal, Blaise. 2018. *Pensées*, translated by William F. Trotter, New York: Dover Publications.
- Schick, Theodore Jr. and Lewis Vaughn. 2010. *Doing Philosophy: An Introduction through Thought Experiments*. 3rd ed., New York, NY: The McGraw-Hill Companies.

Sobel, Howard. 1996. "Pascalian Wagers." Synthese 108(1): 11-61.

Talbott, Thomas. 2021. "Heaven and Hell in Christian Thought." *The Stanford Encyclopedia* of *Philosophy*, edited by Edward N. Zalta, URL = <a href="https://plato.stanford.edu/archives/sum2021/entries/heaven-hell/>.</a>