

## Erratum to: How (not) to Argue For Moral Enhancement: Reflections on a Decade of Debate

Norbert Paulo<sup>1</sup> · Jan Christoph Bublitz<sup>2</sup>

Published online: 1 August 2017  
© Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2017

### Erratum to: Topoi DOI [10.1007/s11245-017-9492-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11245-017-9492-6)

In the section “Framing of the Debate”, the original article suggests that Prof. Molly Crockett begins her talks with the Israeli-Palestinian conflict. This statement is inaccurate. In fact, she informs us that she alluded to the Israeli-Palestinian conflict only once in her TED talk “Drugs and Morals” (Zurich, 2011). While she hints at the role empirical research might play in overcoming such long-lasting political stalemates in the talk, she does not wish to suggest that biomedical means suffice to solve conflict of this scale. We

therefore amend the original paper by deleting the respective sentence.

We thank Prof. Crockett for clarifying her position. As our personal conversation has shown, we share the belief that empirical findings can illuminate the understanding of morality and might be conducive to enhance moral behavior. However, at the same time, we should neither overgeneralize these findings, nor expect easy technological fixes for humankind’s biggest problems.

---

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:[10.1007/s11245-017-9492-6](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11245-017-9492-6).

---

✉ Norbert Paulo  
norbert.paulo@sbg.ac.at

Jan Christoph Bublitz  
christoph.bublitz@uni-hamburg.de

<sup>1</sup> Department of Social Sciences and Economics, Philosophy of Law and Social Philosophy, University of Salzburg, Churfuerstr. 1, 5020 Salzburg, Austria

<sup>2</sup> Faculty of Law, University of Hamburg, Rothenbaumchaussee 33, 20148 Hamburg, Germany