

# Controlling for homophone polarity and prime-target relatedness in the cross-modal lexical decision task

JONATHAN PICOULT and MARCIA K. JOHNSON  
Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey

Access of alternative meanings of ambiguous words was investigated with a cross-modal lexical decision task. We considered two factors that may have been inadequately controlled in previous experiments, homophone polarity and prime-target relatedness. We used both nonpolar homophones with two nearly equiprobable senses and polar homophones with one clearly dominant sense. Polarity ratings were derived from homophone familiarity norms obtained by Kreuz (1987). We also equated prime-target relatedness across items for dominant and subordinate meanings of the homophones using norms that we collected. Sentence contexts biased the dominant meanings of the homophones. Under these conditions, there was a small but significant priming effect, and priming of the subordinate meaning was not significantly affected by homophone polarity.

The cross-modal lexical decision task has been a primary procedure for investigating lexical access. A major issue addressed in previous research has been whether lexical access to alternative meanings of ambiguous words is context-dependent or initially exhaustive, with context operating on "postaccess" processes. Past cross-modal studies, however, may have failed to adequately control the polarity of ambiguous items (i.e., the degree to which one word sense dominated over the other) and the relatedness of the ambiguous items to the lexical targets (Holmes, Arwas, & Garrett, 1977; Onifer & Swinney, 1981; Seidenberg, Tanenhaus, Leiman, & Bienkowski, 1982; Swinney, 1979; Tabossi, 1988; Tabossi, Colombo, & Job, 1987; Tanenhaus, Leiman, & Seidenberg, 1979).

To control polarity, for example, Onifer and Swinney (1981) presented subjects with homographs and homophones and asked them to describe the first meaning that came to mind. The words that elicited one common interpretation from over 75% of the subjects, and a different common interpretation from less than 25% of the subjects, were deemed "polar" and used in the experiment. The problem with this procedure is that it may incorrectly label some nearly equiprobable (nonpolar) ambiguous words as polar. Consider a word with two senses of nearly equal frequencies. If one sense is just slightly more familiar than the other, it could be chosen as the dominant meaning by the 75% of the subjects necessary in the Onifer and Swinney pretest, and would therefore be designated as "polar." Priming of subordinate meanings of supposedly polar homographs would then provide an artifactual demonstration of exhaustive lexical access. If Onifer and Swinney labeled nearly equiprobable ambiguities as polar, their exhaustive access results would be less convincing.

In the present experiment, we sought to control polarity by using the homophone familiarity ratings reported by Kreuz (1987). Kreuz's subjects were specifically asked to rate the familiarity of printed homophones. This provided independent ratings of both the dominant and the subordinate senses of the homophones.

Not only should the relative familiarity of dominant and subordinate meanings of the ambiguous items be clearly specified, so too should the strength of association between each meaning and the corresponding lexical target. However, many cross-modal researchers (Onifer & Swinney, 1981; Seidenberg et al., 1982; Swinney, 1979; Tanenhaus et al., 1979) have merely noted that experimental targets were "related" or were "associates" of their respective ambiguous words, though they cite no source for such measures of relatedness. If, on the average, the dominant-related lexical targets are more strongly associated to the ambiguous word than their subordinate counterparts (or vice versa) are, then the priming effect will not be a fair measure of access to the two potential meanings of the item.

In the present experiment, we used a pretest to obtain norms of association for the experimental homophones. The relatedness of the dominant targets and subordinate targets to the homophone was then equated. With the target-relatedness factor controlled, any priming effects obtained in this experiment should be a "purer" measure of lexical access than those obtained in past research.

## METHOD

### Subjects

Forty undergraduate students at Princeton University served as paid volunteers; all were native English speakers.

### Design and Materials

Critical items used in this study were derived from a list of homophones compiled by Kreuz (1987). Using his familiarity ratings, a polarity ratio (PR) was calculated for each homophone pair, ranging from *perfectly polar*, PR = 0.01 (one sense much more familiar than the other), to *perfectly nonpolar*, PR = 1.00 (senses nearly equal in familiarity). Twenty nonpolar (i.e., nearly equiprobable) homophone pairs (PR = .85-1.00) and 20 polar pairs (PR = .51-.69) were chosen for use in the experiment.

This research was supported in part by NIH Grant 1-R01 AG09253. We would also like to thank Tina Loose for her help with statistical analyses and Sam Glucksberg for his helpful comments on an earlier draft. Correspondence may be addressed to Marcia K. Johnson, Department of Psychology, Green Hall, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544-1010.

For each homophone, a sentence was constructed that incorporated a dominantly biased context before the ambiguous word. Five of the sentences (indicated by an asterisk in the Appendix) were taken from Onifer and Swinney (1981). Note that for all but one of the nonpolar items there was always one meaning that had a slight advantage in familiarity. For the one nonpolar homophone pair that was calculated to be perfectly equiprobable, a dominant sense was picked randomly. A set of four lexical targets was constructed for each homophone. One of these four was highly related to the dominant meaning of the item, and another was highly related to the secondary meaning of the item.

These two experimental lexical targets were obtained in a pretest questionnaire completed by 63 Princeton University undergraduates, none of whom participated in the actual experiment. Two questionnaire forms were used. Each listed 40 words and asked subjects to write down the first word they thought of in response to each stimulus. Note that each form of the pretest included only one member from a homophone pair, so the fact that the words were aurally ambiguous was not particularly salient to pretest subjects. The word associates receiving the highest percentage of responses were then used as experimental lexical targets (except for a few instances in which the second most common response was used because the most common response conflicted with words in the experimental sentence). This percentage was equated for the dominant and subordinate targets, so that on the average, neither condition had an advantage in target relatedness. The remaining two lexical targets were controls; each was matched in frequency (Francis & Kučera, 1982) and letter length with its respective experimental target.

The 40 experimental sentences were mixed pseudorandomly with 41 filler sentences whose lexical targets were nonwords, so that subjects' responses to the lexical decision task were nearly evenly split between the affirmative and the negative. A list of the experimental sentences and their accompanying lexical targets is given in the Appendix.

The 81 sentences were recorded on a four-track audio tape. A 1000-Hz signal was placed on a separate channel of the audio tape exactly coincident with the offset of the ambiguous word in each experimental sentence (and with the offset of a pseudorandomly chosen word in filler sentences). These signals, which were inaudible to the subject, triggered a Commodore Pet 2001 computer to present the appropriate lexical target and to initiate a timing mechanism that was terminated by the subject's buttonpress.

### Procedure

The 81 sentences were presented to the subjects binaurally over a pair of headphones. They were instructed to listen carefully to, and to comprehend, each sentence. The subjects were told that they had a second, equally important task. It was explained that a string of letters would appear on the visual display screen at some point during each sentence. The subjects were to indicate, as quickly as possible, whether or not each letter string they saw formed a word; they were to do so by pressing one of the two buttons on which they rested their hands throughout the experiment. They were told that they would be asked some comprehension questions at the conclusion of the experiment. Therefore, they were cautioned to continue listening to each sentence even while making their lexical decisions.

## RESULTS

The individual data were subjected to a repeated measures analysis of variance. In order to reduce variability,

data points 2.5 standard deviations above and below the mean for all subjects for each condition were excluded before individual subject means were computed. Overall, 6.4% of all scores were dropped, with no condition losing less than 5.6% or more than 7.1% of its data. Mean response times for each of the eight experimental conditions are presented in Table 1. There was a main effect of target type, with response times for experimental lexical targets faster than those for control targets [ $F(1,39) = 13.71$ ,  $MS_e = 1,281.30$ ,  $p < .001$ ]. There was no significant two-way interaction between word sense and target; dominant and subordinate senses exhibited equal priming [ $F(1,39) = 1.05$ ,  $MS_e = 1,367.42$ ,  $p > .10$ ]. (See Figure 1.) There was also no significant three-way interaction between sense, target, and polarity [ $F(1,39) = 0.02$ ,  $MS_e = 1,267.02$ ,  $p > .10$ ]. Separate analysis of the polar and nonpolar data showed that the main effect of target type was significant for both conditions [ $F(1,39) = 7.79$ ,  $MS_e = 1,651.16$ ,  $p < .01$ , and  $F(1,39) = 4.12$ ,  $MS_e = 1,332.16$ ,  $p < .05$ , respectively].

## DISCUSSION

We observed a priming effect that was consistent across the dominant and subordinate conditions (see Figure 1). The priming effect was not sensitive to polarity and was obtained when prime-target relatedness was equated for dominant and subordinate targets. This experiment supports the exhaustive access model in its strongest form; even a polarized homophone, when placed in a dominantly biased context, primes both its dominant and its subordinate meaning.

In addition to exhaustive priming, this experiment yielded other interesting results: (1) Subjects' response times in this experiment were much faster than those in other studies (see Figure 2a), and (2) the average size of the priming effect in this experiment was smaller than the size of the priming effects in other studies, both in absolute terms (see Figure 2b) and as a percentage of the baseline control conditions.

When evaluated in absolute terms, the amount of priming appears to be related to a study's overall response times. Experiments with slower overall response times may be more susceptible to postaccess influences that could artificially enlarge the priming effect. Such studies may not measure lexical access uncontaminated by postaccess processes. Hence, our small priming effect could, in part, be related to our overall "fast" results.

The correlation between overall response time and amount of priming is not as clear-cut when priming is considered as a percentage of baseline response times. Nonetheless, even from this perspective, the amount of priming does vary (e.g., 3.2% of baseline in our experiment and 9.2% of baseline in Onifer & Swinney, 1981). Such variations might arise from differences across studies in prime-target relatedness. Recall that past experimenters chose their lexical targets without the use of pretest norms. In searching for an appropriate experimental lexical target, an experimenter may choose an ambiguity-target pair that is well linked bidirectionally (e.g., STAKE -- PEG). That is, the ambiguous word primes the target, but the target also primes the ambiguous word,

Table 1  
Mean Response Times (in Milliseconds) for Lexical Decisions Made in Response to Words Related to the Dominant and Subordinate Interpretations of Polar and Nonpolar Ambiguities or to Control Words in Sentential Contexts Biased Toward the Dominant Meaning of the Ambiguity

Lexical Target	Type	Homophone Polarity							
		Polar				Nonpolar			
		Mean RT	SD	% Errors	Amount of Priming	Mean RT	SD	% Errors	Amount of Priming
Dominant Meaning	Experimental	455	89.46	1.0	14	440	79.33	0.5	7
	Control	469	81.63	0.5		447	81.25	0.5	
Subordinate Meaning	Experimental	451	86.51	1.3	22	463	81.01	0.3	16
	Control	473	76.97	0.5		479	72.11	0.5	



4. Michael knew that the thrift shop's clothing was often tattered and *worn*, so he checked the shirt carefully before purchasing it. (warn) (PR = .99)  
 OLD 16% DANGER 19% WORK DESIRE
5. The century-old oak must have a very strong *root* system if it survived all these years. (route) (PR = .97)  
 TREE 44% HIGHWAY 19% PRICE CONTENT
- 6.\* The ultraviolet rays that come from the *sun* can burn your skin, so you must be careful outside during the summer. (son) (PR = .95)  
 MOON 28% DAUGHTER 65% LAKE TRAINING
7. As the boy climbed to the second floor, he tripped on the final *stair*, twisted his ankle, and was taken to the hospital for X-rays. (stare) (PR = .95)  
 CASE 26% EYE 31% CITY END
8. It is widely believe that our sensation of smell is the keenest *sense* of the five with which we humans are endowed. (cents) (PR = .94)  
 SMELL 19% DOLLARS 44% DEVIL PRODUCT
- 9.\* The little boy tied his wagon to his bicycle with a *cord* so that he could pretend to be a conductor with his wagon following him wherever he went. (chord) (PR = .93)  
 ROPE 22% PIANO 23% RAGE REBEL
- 10.\* After the bee pollinates the *flower*, it flies back to its hive and uses the nectar it has collected to make honey. (flour) (PR = .91)  
 ROSE 10% BAKE 22% CAKE SORT
11. Bob gets along with all of his relatives, except his *Aunt* Gloria, whom he has not spoken to for nearly 10 years. (ant) (PR = .91)  
 UNCLE 75% HILL 26% SNAKE NEWS
12. Environmentally concerned Americans are now aware that their *waste* must be disposed of properly or it will endanger the planet's future. (waist) (PR = .90)  
 DUMP 16% HIPS 16% TYPE DOSE
13. The banks told the real estate developer that without more *capital* she would not receive the loan and would be unable to begin construction on the new mall. (capitol) (PR = .90)  
 GAINS 13% HILL 16% MEAL TOTAL
14. Tim prefers his meat well-done, so he refused to eat the *steak* until the waiter brought it back to the kitchen for further cooking. (stake) (PR = .89)  
 MEAT 23% HEART 16% NOSE SPIRIT
15. The woman went to the department store and bought a *pair* of pumps that matched her new evening gown. (pear) (PR = .89)  
 SHOES 28% APPLE 39% JAZZ WITCH
16. The stalk was weighed down by the *kernel* of the vegetable, giving a slightly flattened look to the entire field. (colonel) (PR = .88)  
 CORN 68% ARMY 9% CASH GAME
17. After six months on the diet program, the woman *weighed* no less than when she started, prompting her to file a lawsuit against the dieting company. (wade) (PR = .86)  
 HEAVY 23% WATER 44% LIKELY SIDE
18. The man began each day with a full breakfast, which included freshly *brewed* coffee, cereal, fruit, and a donut. (brood) (PR = .85)  
 BEER 47% SULK 10% DISH STRAY
19. The mechanic had just finished working and was covered with *grease*, so Mike chose not to shake his hand and hoped he would not take offense. (Greece) (PR = .85)  
 OIL 13% ATHENS 16% TASK FACET
20. Once the telephone repairman climbed the *pole*, he saw the problem and was able to restore telephone service in just five minutes. (poll) (PR = .85)  
 NORTH 28% ELECTION 13% THIN TENSION

**Polar Condition**

1. A group of children and their parents chanted a holiday *carol* in front of each house on the block. (carrel) (PR = .69)  
 CHRISTMAS 35% STUDY 25% INITIATIVE REPORT
2. In a fit of anger, the man kicked the wall, broke his *toe*, and subjected himself to four weeks on crutches. (tow) (PR = .69)  
 FOOT 59% TRUCK 32% FORCE NOVEL
3. The dog devoured the fruit and buried its *core* under the fence in our neighbor's backyard. (corps) (PR = .68)  
 APPLE 58% MARINE 41% WITCH MANKIND
4. Mike was not very hungry this morning, so he had a bowl of *cereal* for breakfast instead of his regular helping of ham and eggs. (serial) (PR = .68)  
 BOX 16% KILLER 42% YARD WALNUT
5. Selling alcoholic beverages to a *minor* is a punishable offense in most every state. (miner) (PR = .66)  
 MAJOR 38% COAL 45% MOTEL FATE
6. The hypochondriac complained of a *pain* in his right shoulder and arm, but the doctor couldn't find anything wrong with him. (pane) (PR = .65)  
 SUFFER 13% WINDOW 72% STRIKE FACTOR
7. Jodi was still hungry, so she ate a *plum* after finishing the five-course meal. (plumb) (PR = .65)  
 PUDDING 23% DEPTHS 13% FRECKLE TALENT
8. Jack and Mary work in the same office, so he *sees* her nearly every day in the elevator or in the cafeteria. (seize) (PR = .64)  
 EYES 22% TAKE 23% ROOM COME
9. Whenever I eat peanut butter, it gets stuck on my *palette* and makes my mouth very dry. (palette) (PR = .63)  
 TASTE 48% PAINT 34% BRANCH RIDER
10. The commander prepared his *troop* for combat by taking them through the jungles of South America. (troupe) (PR = .63)  
 SOLDIER 13% DANCE 13% THEATER ERROR
11. The woman accepted the promotion, because she could not pass up the *chance* to provide her family with a better life. (chants) (PR = .61)  
 LUCK 35% MONKS 19% HEEL TILE
12. It was not his firing that upset Tim, it was the *manner* in which he was told of his superior's decision. (manor) (PR = .61)  
 WAY 22% HOUSE 35% DAY SCHOOL
13. Bill was dressed very casually, wearing *jeans* and a ripped T-shirt with his scuffed up sneakers. (genes) (PR = .58)  
 BLUE 35% BIOLOGY 16% POOR CORONER
14. The seamstress had not yet *sewn* the hole in the shirt that she was asked to fix. (sown) (PR = .57)  
 HEM 13% SEED 29% FROG CODE
15. A sore throat can be soothed with a hot mug of *tea* and honey, and long-lasting relief can be obtained with throat lozenges. (tee) (PR = .57)  
 COFFEE 29% GOLF 50% CATTLE BOSS
16. After five miles of walking, the soles of my *feet* began to ache so badly that I was forced to rest for a few moments. (feat) (PR = .56)  
 SHOES 34% ACCOMPLISHMENT 29% CHIEF CATASTROPHE
- 17.\* Their pet has very fluffy *gray fur* all over him except on his two front paws and his tail, which are white with spots of black and brown. (fir) (PR = .55)  
 COAT 23% TREE 72% RING LIST
18. The lumberjack spent the afternoon cutting down *timber* that would eventually be used to build fires throughout the winter. (timbre) (PR = .52)  
 TREE 25% SOUND 13% RULE SPRING
19. Insomniacs often have trouble sleeping through the *night* and must therefore take medication in order to get sufficient rest. (knight) (PR = .51)  
 DAY 52% ARMOR 63% WAY ROGUE
- 20.\* Warm weather and salt water make the *beach* a really fun place to go with your friends to cool off on a hot, sunny day in July. (beech) (PR = .51)  
 SAND 34% TREE 48% CLERK RULE