



THE UNIVERSITY *of* EDINBURGH

Edinburgh Research Explorer

Sculpture from Rome and Tivoli

Citation for published version:

Russell, B 2014, 'Sculpture from Rome and Tivoli: Duthoy (F.) Sculpteurs et Commanditaires au IIe siècle après J.-C. Rome et Tivoli. (Collection de l'École Française de Rome 465.)', *The Classical Review*, vol. 64, no. 2, pp. 593-595. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0009840X14000018>

Digital Object Identifier (DOI):

[10.1017/S0009840X14000018](https://doi.org/10.1017/S0009840X14000018)

Link:

[Link to publication record in Edinburgh Research Explorer](#)

Document Version:

Publisher's PDF, also known as Version of record

Published In:

The Classical Review

General rights

Copyright for the publications made accessible via the Edinburgh Research Explorer is retained by the author(s) and / or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing these publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

Take down policy

The University of Edinburgh has made every reasonable effort to ensure that Edinburgh Research Explorer content complies with UK legislation. If you believe that the public display of this file breaches copyright please contact openaccess@ed.ac.uk providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.



The Classical Review

<http://journals.cambridge.org/CAR>

Additional services for *The Classical Review*:

Email alerts: [Click here](#)

Subscriptions: [Click here](#)

Commercial reprints: [Click here](#)

Terms of use : [Click here](#)



**SCULPTURE FROM ROME AND TIVOLI. (F.)
Duthoy *Sculpteurs et Commanditaires au IIe siècle
après J.-C. Rome et Tivoli.* (Collection de l'École
Française de Rome 465.) Pp. viii + 198,
pls. Rome: École Française de Rome, 2012. Paper,
€68. ISBN: 978-2-7283-0928-3.**

Ben Russell

The Classical Review / Volume 64 / Issue 02 / October 2014, pp 593 - 595
DOI: 10.1017/S0009840X14000018, Published online: 18 March 2014

Link to this article: http://journals.cambridge.org/abstract_S0009840X14000018

How to cite this article:

Ben Russell (2014). The Classical Review, 64, pp 593-595 doi:10.1017/S0009840X14000018

Request Permissions : [Click here](#)

is the sex of the deceased made explicit and even distinguishing between portraits of girls and boys is notoriously difficult. A number of parallels are drawn between these child and adult sarcophagi, however, such as the prominence again of ‘learned figures’ and the way in which different motifs are combined to build up a more multifaceted picture of the deceased – the cock-fighting and ‘learned figure’ combination on a sarcophagus in the Museo Nazionale Romano (cat. no. 36) is a case in point.

This is an extremely well-produced and well-illustrated volume – and, considering this, very reasonably priced. The catalogue is useful and the graphs are clear, though it would be useful to have a breakdown of total numbers and percentages for each. There are places in which the English could have done with a final edit and others where the prose could have been tightened up to avoid repetition; the number of examples employed to support each point made could comfortably have been trimmed down. This volume is a thought-provoking contribution to the rich and vast scholarship on sarcophagi.

University of Edinburgh

BEN RUSSELL
ben.russell@ed.ac.uk

SCULPTURE FROM ROME AND TIVOLI

DUTHOY (F.) *Sculpteurs et Commanditaires au II^e siècle après J.-C. Rome et Tivoli*. (Collection de l'École Française de Rome 465.) Pp. viii + 198, pls. Rome: École Française de Rome, 2012. Paper, €68. ISBN: 978-2-7283-0928-3.

doi:10.1017/S0009840X14000018

Technical studies focusing on the materials used, the methods and tools employed, and the craftsmen responsible for artistic production have a long history in scholarship on Roman and, to a lesser extent, Greek sculpture. Interest in the practicalities and logistics of sculptural production can be traced from the early works of H. Blümner (*Technologie und Terminologie der Gewerbe und Künste bei Griechen und Römern* [1912]) and C. Blümel (*Griechische Bildhauerarbeit* [1927]), through S. Adam's study of Archaic and Classical carving (*The Technique of Greek Sculpture in the Archaic and Classical Period* [1966]), to more recent analyses by J.-C. Bessac (*L'outillage traditionnel du tailleur de pierre, de l'Antiquité à nos jours* [1986]), M. Pfanner ('Über das Herstellen von Porträts', *JDAI* 104 [1989], 157–257) and P. Rockwell (*The Art of Stoneworking* [1993]), among others. This previously niche subject has increasingly positioned itself in the mainstream of ancient art history and major new studies like those by P. Stewart (*The Social History of Roman Art* [2008]) and J. Trimble (*Women and Visual Replication in Roman Imperial Art and Culture* [2011]) devote whole chapters to questions of production.

This volume, then, follows a well-trodden path, though the primary objects that are its main focus have never been systematically examined from this perspective. The specified aim of this volume is to explore the relationship between craftsmen and their customers through the lens of the sculptures created and used by these individuals, and in so doing examine the mechanisms which conditioned the production of sculpture more generally in the Roman world. In particular, D. is interested in models and how sculptors worked with their customers to translate these models into three-dimensional marble sculptures. To do this, two main datasets are drawn on: inscriptions mentioning sculptors and their

workshops, of which a useful appendix is provided; and a range of finished and part-finished sculptures, principally from second-century A.D. Rome and Tivoli.

The volume is divided into three main sections. The first of these concentrates on the broader question of how the relationship between craftsmen and customers might have functioned, the cost of production and the difficulties associated with identifying the output of specific workshops. Some of this is valuable, especially those sections dealing directly with the material from Rome and Tivoli, which clearly demonstrate how the sculptors responsible for this material adapted particular sculptural types and motifs in response (presumably) to cliental demands. The rest of this section draws together primary evidence for the cost of raw materials, of labour and of finished sculpture, as well as data relating to the specialisation of craftsmen and the organisation of production. Some of this is dealt with too superficially, however, to be really useful to specialists in the field and much recent work on the provenancing of marble, on quarry-based production of stone objects and on the transport of these materials is not referred to. Occasional assertions are crying out for supporting evidence; the statement that funerary monuments were carved by specialist sculptors, for instance.

The issue of workshop output is dealt with in more detail in the second section. A well-constructed discussion of the history of scholarship in this area, especially as it concerns the adaptation of Greek sculptural types and the production of Roman portraits, leads on to a critique of the methodology employed to assign bodies of work to common producers. Particular influence is drawn from C. Gasparri's work on the Lateran Sophocles ('Il Sofocle lateranense: nuove considerazioni su un'officina di scultori di età medioimperiale', *RPAA* 78 [2005–6], 139–81) and C. Evers' study of the portraits of Hadrian (*Les portraits d'Hadrien, typologie et ateliers* [1994]). Using a comparable methodological framework D. then turns to her main datasets which, despite the volume's broad title, consist of just four defined groups of material: eighteen sculptures from Hadrian's Villa (Groups I-A and I-B); seven from various locations in Rome, including the Horti Lamiani and the Tomb of the Licinii, as well as Ostia (Group II); seven from, or thought to be from, the tomb of Claudia Semne on the Via Appia (Group III); and six from the tomb of the Manilii (Group IV). This selection is explained by the fact that D. is keen to examine a range of material that is broadly contemporary (second century A.D.), that is from known archaeological contexts, but that was also employed for quite different purposes and commissioned by individuals from differing social backgrounds. Based on a close examination of the form of each of these sculptures – especially key details, such as their eyes, hair and surface treatment – D. argues that the material from Hadrian's Villa can be assigned to two groups of carvers who were clearly closely related and perhaps worked together on occasion; the high-end sculpture from Rome and Ostia, meanwhile, is credited to an unrelated third workshop, and the assorted finds from the pair of tombs to two further sets of craftsmen. Analysis of this kind has its critics but the close study of the primary material that forms the core of this volume is generally sensible and well-reasoned, and the identification of the different hands at work is plausible.

In the third and final section, D. turns to the question of how these various sculptures were actually manufactured. This is essentially a discussion of copying, of the production and transmission of models (in clay or plaster) and of how sculptors translated and adapted models during the carving process. Some of this will sit uneasily with those familiar with the lengthy discussions of copying, replication and emulation – most of it more than simply semantic – that has dominated studies of Roman sculpture in English for a little over a decade (see especially the essays in E. Gazda [ed.], *The Ancient Art of Emulation. Studies in Artistic Originality and Tradition from the Present to Classical Antiquity* [2002] and E. Perry, *The Aesthetics of Emulation in the Visual Arts of Ancient Rome* [2005]).

However, there is as much in this section on experimentation and the creation of new models – which D. sees as being characteristic of second-century sculpture in central Italy – as there is on the repetitive production of the best-known sculptural types. D. also stresses that there existed no system in the Roman period for the exact, or even near-exact, replication of three-dimensional objects, the pointing machine being demonstrably a later invention. This is an important point that is too often glossed over (P. Rockwell, *The Art of Stoneworking* [1993], pp. 118–20). This being said, the focus on models in this section is somewhat limiting. Few securely identified sculptural models survive from the Roman period and while it is repeatedly assumed that marble carvers would always have employed models (sometimes one-to-one in scale), many sculptors throughout history have worked just from drawings or from memory, especially when trained by rote to carve a limited canon of forms.

While the first and third sections of this volume might disappoint experts in the field of Roman sculptural techniques, at its heart this is a detailed, methodical analysis of four groups of marble sculptures from second-century Rome and Tivoli. It is well illustrated (though some images are inexplicably repeated) and it will interest anyone studying this material or other products of the same period or region.

University of Edinburgh

BEN RUSSELL
ben.russell@ed.ac.uk

C. I. I. / P. II

AMELING (W.), COTTON (H.M.), ECK (W.), ISAAC (B.), KUSHNIR-STEIN (A.), MISGAV (H.), PRICE (J.), YARDENI (A.) (edd.) *Corpus Inscriptionum Iudaeae/Palaestinae. Volume II: Caesarea and the Middle Coast 1121–2160*. With Contributions by R. Daniel, A. Ecker, M. Shenkar and C. Sode. With the Assistance of M. Heimbach, D. Koßmann and N. Schneider. Pp. xxiv + 923, ills, maps. Berlin and Boston: De Gruyter, 2011. Cased, €169.95, US\$255. ISBN: 978-3-11-022217-3.

doi:10.1017/S0009840X14000419

The ambitious project, launched in 1997, to compile a comprehensive corpus of inscriptions written in the many languages of the ‘Iudaea/Palaestina’ moves to its next phase with the publication of this collection of epigraphic documents originating in Caesarea and the Middle Coast region. Nine volumes are planned and this, the second, focuses on Caesarea and its hinterland (Chapter 2). Briefer sections cover Apollonia/Arsuf (Chapter 1); Castra Samaritanorum (Chapter 3); Dora/Dor (Chapter 4); Mikhmoret (Chapter 5); and Sycamina (Chapter 6). Introductory essays on the historical context of each settlement precede the presentation of the inscriptions. As with the previous volume, only an index of personal names follows the collection; a dedicated index and internet database are envisaged as a later phase of the project (H.M. Cotton [et al.], *Corpus inscriptionum Iudaeae/Palaestinae, Volume I, Part I* [2010], p. viii.). The work calls upon an impressive interdisciplinary and international team of scholars whose marshalling is far from the least impressive achievement of the editors: historians, linguists, archaeologists and curators, as well as the many friends of scholarship in religious communities in Israel. The editors pay generous tribute to Holum and C.M. Lehmann and K. Holum’s *Greek and Latin Inscriptions of Caesarea Maritima* (2000), which is respectfully