

Mission: Impossible? On Empirical-Normative Collaboration in Ethical Reasoning

Sebastian Schleidgen · Michael C. Jungert · Robert H. Bauer

Published online: 4 June 2009
© Springer Science + Business Media B.V. 2009

Erratum to: *Ethic Theory Moral Prac* DOI 10.1007/s10677-009-9170-x

Due to an unfortunate turn of events this article was published online with two errors in the text. In the paragraph under section “2.2.2 Ought Implies Can” starting with the sentence:

“Unlike the intuitive understanding of ‘Ought implies Can’, the classical philosophical understanding is represented by a material implication between Ought and Can, i.e. $Oa \rightarrow Ca$. However, this understanding in our opinion neither is adequate.”

The sentence:

“Hence, ‘Ought implies Can’ has to be adapted to the case at hand, i.e. inserting Oa when Ca is given in order to fulfil $Oa \rightarrow Ca$.”

Should read and be regarded by the reader as the final version:

“Hence, Ought implies Can has to be adapted to the case at hand, i.e. inserting $\neg Oa$ when $\neg Ca$ is given in order to fulfil $Oa \rightarrow Ca$.”

The online version of the original article can be found at <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10677-009-9170-x>.

S. Schleidgen (✉) · M. C. Jungert · R. H. Bauer
Interdepartmental Center for Ethics in the Sciences and Humanities, University of Tuebingen,
Wilhelmstrasse 19, 72074 Tuebingen, Germany
e-mail: sebastian.schleidgen@izew.uni-tuebingen.de