

Erratum to: Localizing the axioms

Athanassios Tzouvaras

Published online: 17 November 2010
© Springer-Verlag 2010

Erratum to: Arch. Math. Logic
DOI: 10.1007/s00153-010-0188-5

In clause (i) of Lemma 2.1 of [1], it is claimed that in BST, we can show the existence of ω as the least inductive set. BST contains the axiom of Infinity saying that “there is an inductive set”. However, one cannot see how to prove the existence of a least inductive set without either \in -induction or at least Π_1 -separation, both of which are not included in BST. The simplest way to correct this flaw is to replace the above Infinity axiom with the stronger version: “There is a smallest inductive set, which we call ω ”. Then clause (i) of Lemma 2.1 is modified as follows: “The axioms of Peano arithmetic hold in ω endowed with the usual operations. Thus, $PA \subseteq BST$.” Also the proof of clause (i) is modified as follows: “The minimality of ω as inductive set amounts to the fact that ω satisfies complete induction. The operations $'$, $+$, \cdot on it are defined as usual, and the axioms of PA are shown in BST to be true with respect to ω .”

The above stronger version of Infinity axiom is a Σ_2 sentence (while the old version was Σ_1). This slightly affects the truth of Remark 2.5, where it is claimed that all axioms of BST are Π_2 sentences.

Reference

1. Tzouvaras, A.: Localizing the axioms. Arch. Math. Logic **49**(5), 571–601 (2010)

The online version of the original article can be found under doi:[10.1007/s00153-010-0188-5](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00153-010-0188-5).

A. Tzouvaras (✉)
Department of Mathematics, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, 541 24 Thessaloniki, Greece
e-mail: tzouvara@math.auth.gr