# Why is the Bodiless (ananga) Gnostic Body (jñāna-kāya) Considered a Body?

Vesna A. Wallace

Published online: 3 December 2008

© Springer Science+Business Media B.V. 2008

**Abstract** This paper analyzes the reasons for which the incorporeal ultimate reality called the "Gnostic Body" (jñānakāya) is categorized as a "body" in the Kālacakra tradition. It examines the diverse ways in which the body imagery is applied to ultimate reality within this tradition. Although conceptions of the Gnostic Body ( $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}ya$ ) as a special category of the Buddha-body have been included in all of the unexcelled yoga-tantras (anuttara-yoga-tantras), they are most extensively elaborated upon in the Kālacakra literature. For this reason, the analysis is primarily based on the Indian *Kālacakratantra* literary corpus (11th century) (From among the Kālacakratantra literature, I consulted the Kālacakratrantra with the Vimalaprabhā, Nāropā's Sekoddeśaṭīkā, Sādhuputra's Sekoddeśaṭipanī, and the Sadangayoga of Anupamaraksita.) and to the closely related Mañjuśrīnāmasamgīti, Raviśrījñāna's commentary on the Mañjuśrīnāmasamgīti, the Amrtakanikātippanī, and Vibhūticandra's subcommentary Amrtakanikodyotanibandha (12th-13th centuries). In so doing, it will bring forth the evaluative and classificatory usages of the term  $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}ya$  in the aforementioned sources, and the analysis is concerned with both the heuristic and provocative functions of their discourses. It also addresses the interpretative framework through which the Kālacakra tradition constructs the notions of embodiment and suggests that Buddhist esoteric discourse can be useful in demonstrating that the concept of a body can be understood as a broader category that extends from a physical body, to an immaterial perceptible form, and to the pure nondual awareness. An analysis of the multileveled constructions of the Gnostic Body (jñāna-kāya) in the Indian Vajrayāna tradition opens new questions and new avenues of investigation with respect to critical assessments of the rubric of the "body," while bringing to light new models of embodiment.

V. A. Wallace (⋈)
Balliol College, University of Oxford,
Oxford OX1 3BJ, UK

e-mail: vesna.wallace@balliol.ox.ac.uk



**Keywords** Gnostic body · Bliss · Gnosis · Reflection of Emptiness · Realm of experience · Habitus

### Introduction

In the Kālacakra tradition, the Buddha's body (*buddha-kāya*) is characterized as having two aspects—absolute and phenomenal—in accordance with the Mahāyāna Buddhist doctrine of two truths: the ultimate and conventional. Depending upon the context in which a particular aspect is emphasized, the formless, absolute body of the Buddha is referred to by various names such as the *jñāna-kāya* (Gnostic Body), *sahaja-kāya*, or *sahaja-tanu* (Innate Body), the *mahāsukha-kāya* (Body of Sublime Bliss), and the *viśuddha-kāya* (Pure Body). It is conceived as a defining characteristic (*laksana*) of all Buddha-bodies and as the essence (*hrdaya*) of all the Buddhas.

In Indian Buddhist literature in general, the term  $k\bar{a}ya$  is frequently used to convey various variations of similar meanings such as body, heap, accumulation, world, and multitude. As we will see, in the Kālacakra tradition, the term  $k\bar{a}ya$  conveys almost all of the aforementioned meanings when referring to either the phenomenal manifestations of the Gnostic Body or to its ultimate aspects. However, it also carries the meaning of a *habitus*.

The Gnostic Body is said to transcend the material nature of atoms due to its freedom from spiritual ignorance  $(avidy\bar{a})$  and resultant mental obscurations, which are the basis of corporeality. On account of being free from corporeal form, it is sheer luminosity  $(prabh\bar{a}sitva)$ . As such, it is devoid of shape, thought, and verbal expression. In other words, being ultimately unmanifest (avyakta) and beyond the domain of the sense-faculties, it is not a condition for objectification.

While this view of the immateriality of the Buddha's absolute body accords with the Mahāyāna's view of *nirvāṇa* without remainder (*niropādhi-nirvāṇa*), it is supplemented elsewhere by the Buddhist tantric interpretation of emptiness. The classical Mahāyāna's interpretation of emptiness as the absence of inherent existence (*niḥsvabhāva*), or as wisdom that perceives phenomenal and personal identitylessness, is here extended to include the absence of material constituents of the mind-body complex. When the Gnostic Body is characterized as having a "form of

The Sekoddeśaṭīkā of Nāropā (2006, pp. 193–194): vajrasattvabuddhamātarau paramākṣarasukhasvabhāvau paramānudharmatātītāv ādarśapratisenāsvapnatulyau paramākṣarasvarūpāv iti/ ...tathāparādhyātmikā vidyā prajñāpāramitā prakṛtiprabhāsvarā mahāmudrā sahajānandarūpinī dharmadhātunisyandapūrnāvasthā sahajatanur ityucyate jinaih.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Vimalaprabhā commentary on the Kālacakratantra (1994, v. 107, p. 202): advayam jāānam samvrtyā śuddhakāyah sahajakāya ityarthah.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Amṛtakaṇikodyotanibandha of Vibhūticandra (1994, p. 115): paramākṣarajñānam vakṣyamānam sarvetyādi buddhānām hṛdayabhūtam iti sarvatathāgatajñānakāyatvād mañjuśriyah bodhisattvānāñ ca yato vikalpakleśā bodhisattvānām te vikalpāś ca paramākṣare savāsanānirundhyanta iti.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The Vimalaprabhā commentary on the Kālacakratantra (1986, p. 23): buddhatvam nāma samsāravāsanācittam iti/ prakṛtiprabhāsvaram tad eva samsāravāsanārahitam. Cf. the Amṛtakanikodyotanibandha of Vibhūticandra (1994, p. 183): dharmatārūpam śūnyatārūpam nirāvaranatvāt prakṛtiprabhāsvaram tasmāj jātasahajānandacandraprabhākṛtir yasya bhagavataḥ sa tathā.

emptiness" ( $ś\bar{u}nyat\bar{a}$ - $r\bar{u}pa$ ), or as being the reflection of emptiness ( $ś\bar{u}nyat\bar{a}$ -bim-ba), the phrase "form of emptiness" is understood in both ways: as an appearance of the emptiness of inherent existence and as an absence of matter.

In the context of yogic experience, the phrase "form of emptiness," or "empty form" (\$\sin unpart a \text{inya-bimba}\$) refers to a non-conceptualized appearance (\$\bar{a}bh\bar{a}sa\$) of one's own mind, which spontaneously emerges in empty space as 10 sequential signs (\$nimitta\$) of smoke, mirage, fireflies, and so on. This non-conceptualized appearance is a result of the gradual eradication of mental obscurations and dissolution of the material constituents of the body through the practice of the six-phased \$yoga\$ (\$\sin adainga-yoga\$). In the initial phase of the practice, the appearance of the empty form is seen with the physical eyes. Eventually, through progressive attainment of extrasensory perception, Bodhisattva stages, and full and perfect awakening (\$samyaksambodhi\$), the \$yog\bar{v}\$ perceives the form of emptiness with a mental eye—with the divine eye (\$divya-caksu\$), Buddha-eye, wisdom-eye (\$prajn\bar{a}-caksu\$), and with the eye of gnosis (\$jn\bar{a}na-caksu\$).

The space-element ( $\bar{a}k\bar{a}\acute{s}a$ - $dh\bar{a}tu$ ) in which the appearance of the form of emptiness arises is called a "pure atom" ( $\acute{s}uddh\bar{a}nu$ ). Here, the word "atom" does not designate an irreducible material unit, but is used as a metaphor for the 12 Grounds ( $bh\bar{u}mis$ ) achieved on the path to Buddhahood. The  $yog\bar{t}$ 's achievement of the 12 Grounds is marked by the purification of the five psychophysical aggregates (skandhas), elements ( $mah\bar{a}bh\bar{u}tas$ ), and sense-bases ( $\bar{a}yatanas$ ) from mental obscurations ( $citt\bar{a}varana$ ). On account of this purification, the  $yog\bar{t}$ 's material constituents vanish and the state of being in which all phenomena become of the same taste (sama-rasa) is actualized. The empty space that remains after a material substratum of the body has vanished is metaphorically called the "pure atom," or a "bindu."

Cf. the *Amrtakaņikōdyotanibandha* of Vibhūticandra (1994, p. 152): *dvādaśabhūmijñānam jñānasambharah*. See also the *Sekkodeśatīkā* of Nāropā (2006, p. 194).



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Ten daytime and nighttime signs are the signs of smoke, mirage, fireflies, a lamp, a flame, the moon, the sun, the supreme form, and a *bindu*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The Şadangayoga of Anupamarakşita (2000, pp. 95–96): atra prathamam māmsacakṣuṣā yogy ādikarmiko viśva<br/>
bimba>m paśyaty abhijñābhir vinā/ tato divyacakṣuṣā paśyaty abhijñāvadhivaśāt/ tato buddhacakṣuṣā paśyati vītarāgāvadhivaśatah/ tataḥ prajñācakṣuṣā paśyati bodhisattvāvadhivaśāt/ tato jñānacakṣuṣā paśyati samyaksambuddhāvadhicittavaśāt sarvopaddhivinirmukta iti/ evaṃ tathāgatasya pañcacakṣūṃṣi māṃsādīny <uktāni> śūnyatādarśanam prati/ anye sattvāḥ śūnyatādarśanavisaye jātyandhā iti tattvabhāvanāniyamah.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> The Vimalaprabhā commentary on the Kālacakratantra (1994, Chap. 5, vs. 166ab and 167a): uktam prajñāpāramitāyām dharmodgataparivarte buddhānām kutracid gamanam vā agamanam vā na bhūtam na ca bhaviṣyati na bhavatīti/ tena ekarasāgre śuddhaparamāṇau siddharase sarvadhātuvedhake ādhāre sarvabuddhāḥ samastā ye śūnyalakṣaṇā nirāvaraṇā iti/ buddhakṣetram samastam akarmakam karmavātarahitam tribhuvanajanako jñānakāyena vīkṣayitvā śuddhāṇau sarvabuddhāṣ te viharanti/ ubhayasamarase śuddhāṇāv iti ... evaṃ bhūmyādyaṇau rāgarahitādikṛtsnāni sākṣāt kṛtāny anantāny acalādyāṃ praviṣṭānītyarthah/ ihānuśabdenācalādayo bhūmaya uktāḥ na paramāṇavah/ śuddhāṇauśabdena ādhārabhūtā dvādaśabhūmayah sarvāvaraṇakṣayata ityarthah/. taih sārdham vajrasattvo viharati gagane vartakālam hi yāvad/... iha yāvat sattvānāṃ puṇyajñānasaṃbhārau na bhavataḥ tāvat tair buddhotpādo na dṛśyate/ ato viharati gagane dharmakāyagata ityarthah.

Ćf. the Amrtakanikā of Raviśrījñāna (1994, p. 30): daśabhūmayo daśadhātūnām upasamhārah/ te ca vāyuś cittam bodhicittam raktamajjā asthīni snāyuh māmsam indriyāni carma ceti/ teṣām upasamhārah samarasībhāvah.

It is called a "bindu" because in the advanced stages of the practice, the form of emptiness is said to appear to the  $yog\bar{\imath}$  as a bindu, from whose center radiates the "universal form" (viśva-bimba), described as the body ( $r\bar{\imath}$ upa) of the five unobscured ( $nir\bar{\imath}$ varana) and imperishable ( $ak\bar{\imath}$ ara) psychophysical aggregates (skan-dha), or as a Buddha-body. While the form of emptiness is perceptible to the  $yog\bar{\imath}$  in this fashion, others engrossed in the dualistic mode of perception are said to see only empty space, like persons blind from birth. Although the form of emptiness is ultimately shapeless, it is nevertheless a form that is detected through the absence of apprehended phenomena.

However, the Gnostic Body of purified psychophysical aggregates transcends the duality of form and formlessness: it is not characterized by material form because it is devoid of atomic particles, and it is not characterized by formlessness because it has emptiness ( $\delta \bar{u} n y a t \bar{a}$ ) as its form. Since emptiness is inseparable from space, its form is the endless space in which myriads of its own reflections emerge and cease. Therefore, the form of emptiness is also called "emptiness that is endowed will all aspects" ( $sarv\bar{a}k\bar{a}ra-s\bar{u}nyat\bar{a}$ ). Being allpervading like space and endowed with all aspects, the Gnostic Body is inseparable from its appearances ( $\bar{a}bh\bar{a}sa$ )—namely, the three worlds ( $traidh\bar{a}tu-k\bar{a}bh\bar{a}sa$ ) and the three times. It is for this reason that its appearance is called the "universal form" (visva-bimba). However, although the Gnostic Body is endowed with all aspects, it is ultimately devoid of any aspects.

Moreover, owing to its unhindered pervasiveness, the Gnostic Body abides in the diverse bodies of beings. As it is nondual from the sublime bliss (*mahā-sukha*) of *nirvāṇa*, which is present in the bodies of all beings in the form of sensual bliss, it is considered to be the Innate Body (*sahaja-kāya*) of both the Buddhas and all embodied beings. Thus, while essentially bodiless, it is inseparable from the diverse bodies of beings throughout *saṃsāra*, although ultimately remaining unaffected by them. Therefore, one is advised to attend to the phenomenal world in the same way one attends to the image of the Buddha created for the sake of worship. In this regard, the Gnostic Body can be considered a body in the sense that it is a limitless, space-like form encompassing all appearances within itself and a habitat of the corporeal world. For this reason, it is at times referred to as a bodiless (*anaṅga*) body.

## The Gnostic Body as a Realm of Gnosis

Due to the fact that the Gnostic Body is ultimately devoid of corporality and yet endowed with all forms, its appearances are considered similar to the images in a

sattvā buddhā na buddhas tvapara iha mahān vidyate lokadhātau tesām ārādhaṇena tvaparamitabhavas chidyate nirvikalpāt/ droham kurvan hi yogī vrajati hi narakam raudravādyam mahāntam tasmāc citte visuddhe 'apyabudhabudhajanānām viruddham na kuryāt//.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> See the Sekoddeśatikā of Nāropā (2006, Chap. 8, v. 146a and its commentary, p. 197): bimbam śūnyodbhavam hetuh phalam akṣarajam sukham.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The *Kālacakratantra* (1994, Chap. 5, v. 66, pp. 37–38):

prognostic mirror. Since the images appearing in a prognostic mirror are not reflections of actual objects that are external to the mirror, their cause is immaterial and they themselves are insubstantial. Similarly, the appearances of the Gnostic Body in the mirror of emptiness, in which all phenomena are at display, are illusory and insubstantial, for they have no existence outside that mirror. They are a mere reflection of emptiness (śūnyatā-bimba), which is inherently non-arisen although it has a form. Thus, one could say that Gnostic Body, which has emptiness as its form, is at the same time a mirror and an array of the reflections of non-existing objects in the mirror. As such it ultimately exceeds any perception.

While all of the appearances in the mirror of emptiness arise and cease, the Gnostic Body itself neither arises nor ceases. Although its wisdom aspect is primordially non-arisen, its method, or compassion aspect, is perpetually arisen, for it can be known by every individual as a *nirmāṇakāya* and a *saṃbhogakāya*. On the other hand, its empty form is not non-existent because it has arisen from space, and its imperishable bliss is not existent because it has arisen from the non-conceptualized and illusion-like, empty form. Thus, the Gnostic Body transcends the categories of existence and non-existence in the same way as the image in a prognostic mirror escapes such a classification. If the image that is perceived by a virgin in a prognostic mirror were existent, the virgin would see a reflection of her face instead of some other image. If the image perceived by her were non-existent,

The Sekoddeśatippaņī of Sādhuputra Śrīdharānanda (1997, vs. 152–155, p, 142):

prajñāhetor ajātatvāt prajñāhetūdbhavam phalam/ prajñāhetor ajātatvāt prajñājātam na hetujam//

ato na hetujam jñānam prajñājñānam anuttaram/ phalena hetunānyonyam na parasparamudraṇam//

hetuh phalam ca yat sarvam tat pratītyasamudbhavam/ anyonyamudritam bimbam notpannam na ca nirvrtam//

prajñā cātyantanirvṛtā utpannaś ca paro 'kṣaraḥ/ hetuphalavinirmuktir na parasparamudraṇaṃ//

The Sekoddeśaţippani of Sādhuputra Śrīdharānanda (1997, vs. 27–30, pp. 122–123):

pihitāpihitanetrābhyām śūnye yan nānukalpitam/ dṛśyate svapnavad bimbam tad bimbam bhāvayet sadā//

abhāve bimbe bhāvanā sā yoginām na bhāvanā/ bhāvo 'bhāvo na cittasya bimbe 'kalpitadarśanāt//

pratisenām ivādarśe paśyet kumāry avastujām/ tathātītānāgatam dharmam yogy ambare 'pi paśyati//

asyā bhāvo na bhāvaḥ syāt vastuśūnyārthadarśanāt/ vastuno 'bhāvato 'pi arthah māyāsvapnendrajālavat//



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> The Sekoddeśatīkā of Nāropā (2006, pp. 198–200): bimbasya sarvākārasvacittābhāsasyābhāva ucchedo nāsti/ ... abhāvāc chūnyāt kevalāmalanabhastalād eva pratyātmavedyasya tryadhvatraidhātukapratibhāsasyotpatteḥ/ ...ata eva rūpārupavinirmukta ityucyate/ pratisenāyāṃ hi dapraṇādyupalabhyamānaśarirāyāṃ na rūpalakṣaṇaṃ paramāṇudravyasandohābhāvānn ārūpalakṣaṇam upalabhyamānasya durapakramatvāt/ tasmān na bimbaṃ bhavaṃ saṃsāraṃ āyāti nirvāṇam ucchedam āyāti nākṣaram.

she would be able to see nonexistent things such as the hare's horn, and the like, but this is not the case. <sup>11</sup>

Moreover, just as the virgin does not see the image in a prognostic mirror with her physical eyes that are covered by blinders, so the empty form of the Gnostic Body cannot be perceived by visual sense-faculty. It is perceptible only to the mind because it is nothing other than the reflection of one's own mind, since the mind is able to perceive its own reflection due to its innate luminosity; and this very luminosity of the mind is said to be an appearance of the other three Buddhabodies. Therefore, even though illusory forms of the Buddha-bodies such as limitless nirmāṇakāyas and saṃbhogakāyas may function as the object of cognition for others, they are ultimately not a phenomenon separate from the mind that cognizes them. In this context, the term jñāna-kāya seems to designate the "realm of gnosis," or "the realm of mind," which cannot be explained ontologically but only in terms of its appearances and their functions.

## The Gnostic Body as a Collection of Bliss and Gnosis

Furthermore, an appearance of the form of emptiness is said to be the cause of the imperishable bliss (ak sara-sukha) attained through the accumulation of 21,600 moments of bliss, which, in turn, gives rise to the gnosis ( $j\bar{n}\bar{a}na$ ) of the perfection of wisdom ( $praj\bar{n}\bar{a}-p\bar{a}ramit\bar{a}$ ). The indivisible unity of these two—emptiness and bliss—is termed the Gnostic Body ( $j\bar{n}\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}ya$ ) and defined as the embodiment ( $k\bar{a}yatva$ ) of the gnosis of all the Tathāgatas. The term  $k\bar{a}ya$  here clearly subsumes the meaning of a "collection," suggesting that the phrase  $j\bar{n}\bar{a}na-k\bar{a}ya$  is to be interpreted here as a "set of bliss and gnosis."

This Kālacakra tradition's view of a corporeal body as an extension of the afflictive and cognitive obscurations implies that even the mind and body that are characterized by physicality are not two opposing principles but extensions and expressions of each other. As indicated in the *Sekoddeśaṭīkā*, the psychophysical aggregates, elements, and sense-bases (*āyatana*) are nothing other than incidental

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> The Amṛtakaṇikodyotanibandha of Vibhūticandra (1994, p. 115): sarvatathāgatakāyajñānatvāt.



 $<sup>^{11}</sup>$  The <code>Sekoddeśatipaṇ</code> of Sādhuputra (1997, vs. 33–34, pp. 123–124):

yadi paśyati sadrūpam svamukham kim na paśyati/ yadi paśyaty asadrūpam śaśaśṛṇgam katham na ca//

yadi tāvad vastu pratibhāti tadā katham darpane samnihitam kumārikāyā <mu>kham vidyamānam eva na pratibhāti/ asad vastv api na pratibhāti/ atyantābhāvalakṣaṇaśaśaviṣāṇasyāpratigamyamānatvāt/

na paśyaty anyacakṣurbhyāṃ svacakṣurbhyāṃ na paśyati/ drśyamānam ajātaṃ tu kumāryā jātakaṃ yathā//

anyacakşurbhyām iti lalāṭādibhāvābhyām svacakşurbhyām na paśyati andhapaṭapracchāditatvāt.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>12</sup> The Amrtakanikodyotanibandha of Vibhūticandra (1994, p. 152): dhātugotram prakṛtiś cittasya prabhāsvaretyukte/... prabhāsvarād eva kāyatrayaprathanāt/ sahajodaye kṣaranāsambhavād avyayah/ anyam apekṣya yogiviṣayah svayam bhavati akṛtrimatvāt sahajah.

 $(\bar{a}gantuka)$  habitual propensities of the mind  $(citta-v\bar{a}san\bar{a})$ . <sup>14</sup> In contrast, the Gnostic Body is considered to be a habitual propensity of  $nirv\bar{a}na$   $(nirv\bar{a}na-v\bar{a}san\bar{a})$ , which is connately present in the mind of the  $yog\bar{\iota}$ . This view provides a reason for which it can spontaneously appear as a reflection of the  $yog\bar{\iota}$ 's own mind in the form of various signs (nimitta). <sup>15</sup> The mind and its reflection are said to be nondual, like the moon and the moonlight. <sup>16</sup> Just as an eye can see its own reflection in a mirror, so the  $yog\bar{\iota}$ 's apprehending mind  $(gr\bar{a}haka-citta)$ , which is wisdom  $(prajn\bar{a})$  that knows the emptiness of all phenomena, sees its own reflection, which is the apprehended mind  $(gr\bar{a}hya-citta)$  and characterized by the emptiness endowed with all aspects  $(sarv\bar{a}k\bar{a}ropeta-s\bar{u}nyat\bar{a})$ . The mind's perception of its empty form  $(s\bar{u}nya-bimba)$  is defined as self-awareness  $(sva-sanvedan\bar{a})$ . This self-awareness is said to result in the gnosis of imperishable bliss (akṣara-sukha), which is metaphorically called the "face of the Buddha" (buddha-vaktra), or "the face of gnosis"  $(jn\bar{a}na-vaktra)$ .

Being free from the habitual propensities of samsāra (samsāra-vāsanā), the gnosis of imperishable bliss liberates the mind from its obscurations and facilitates the arising of the new, imperishable psychophysical aggregates. The experience of the gnosis that is aware of its blissful nature is no longer contingent on the physical body. The newly emerged psychophysical aggregates, which are mutually pervasive and indistinguishable due to their immateriality, make up the Gnostic Body. This means that the Gnostic Body is not just a mere transcendence of the mind-body complex characterized by materiality but also the manifestation of its purified aspect. Thus, at the full and perfect awakening, the mind-body complex is not eliminated but only sublimated. This explains why the Gnostic Body is considered capable of functioning as the fundamental source of the unlimited capacities of the body, speech, and mind that manifest as various Buddha-bodies. This, perhaps, in part explains why in Vajrayāna discourse in general, mind-body imagery is projected onto ultimate reality itself, whose mind-body complex is interpreted such that emptiness is its form and the imperishable bliss is its the mind.

asyeti sarvaprapañcarahitakāyacatustayaikalolībhūtānuttaramahāsukhasvabhāvasya vajrasattvasya prajñābimbair nirvikalpānuttaraprajñāsvarūpaprajñāpratibhāsākāraiś ca candracandrikevābhinnaih.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> The Sekkodeśaţīkā of Nāropā (2006, pp. 201–202): iha hi yad vaktavyam mūrkhaih paramānusandohātmakaih skandhadhātvāyatanair vinā cittamātreņa prajñājñānam svasamvedyam na bhavati tan na/ kasmāt/ āgantukacittavāsanāvaśāt/ iha skandhadhātvāyatanam nāmāgantukacittavāsanā.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> The Kālacakratantra (1994, Chap. 5, v. 116d): sarvākāram svacittam viṣayavirahitam nāparam cittam eva.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> The Sadangayoga of Anupamaraksita (2000, p. 113):

ajātasyāniruddhasya yaj jñeyasyeha darśanam/ tat svacittasya nānyasya bāhyajñeyavibhāgataḥ// The Sekoddeśaṭipaṇī of Sādhuputra (1997, v. 24, p. 122): asyaiva sādhanam kuryāt pratibhāsair acintitaih/ dhūmādibhir nimittais taiḥ prajñābimbair nabhaḥsamaiḥ//

On the basis of this, it was possible for the Kālacakra tradition to personify the gnosis of sublime bliss as the Ādibuddha Kālacakra. The mutual pervasiveness of emptiness and bliss, of gnosis ( $j\tilde{n}ana$ ) and the object of gnosis ( $j\tilde{n}eya$ ), as ultimate aspects of the mind and body is figuratively depicted as a couple in sexual union—Kālacakra and Viśvamātā. Their nonduality is conceived as a neutergendered state (napumsaka-pada). This characterization is to point out not only the nonduality of the Buddha's mind and body but also to indicate ultimate reality as transcending any gender defining characteristics. It is said that although the Gnostic Body is often referred to as male because of its relation to the lineage of sages (rsis) through whom it historically emanated itself in the human world, in reality, it is neither male nor female, for it is ultimately unmanifest (avyakta). This conception of the omnipresent Gnostic Body as evading the gender-based classifications has provided the foundation for the androgynous model of humanity, social order, and the cosmos as a whole, which permeates this tantric system in various ways. <sup>18</sup>

The Gnostic Body encompasses various types of gnosis. It is the body of the gnosis of conventional reality (saṃvṛti-jñāna), which sees all phenomena as being similar to an illusion; and it is the body of the gnosis of ultimate reality (paramārtha-jñāna), which is the non-perception (anupalambha) of any dharmas. <sup>19</sup> It is also understood as a bearer (dhṛk) of the vajra of gnosis of the four types of bliss (ānanda) inseparable from the manifestations of the four bodies of the Buddha. Hence, it is called the "Vajra-holder" (vajradhara) and is characterized as a collection of the four drops of gnosis (jñāna-bindu-samūha). Thus, in this context, the phrase jñāna-kāya is a body in so far as it is understood as an agglomerate of multifaceted knowledge. However, since the four drops of gnosis are present in their impure aspects as minute, physical bindus ("drops") within four cakras of the embodied beings, the Gnostic Body is also an embodied human being in its phenomenal expression.

# The Gnostic Body as a Realm of Experience

As mentioned earlier, Gnostic Body transcends the conceptual classifications of the subject of knowledge and the object of knowledge, because it does not apprehend external phenomena, but everywhere sees only itself. Therefore, it is a unified state of knowledge ( $j\tilde{n}\bar{a}na$ ) and the object of knowledge ( $j\tilde{n}eya$ ), which is achieved through the realization of the identitylessness ( $nair\bar{a}tmya$ ) of one's own mind, in which the apprehending mind ( $gr\bar{a}haka\text{-}citta$ ), or wisdom, has merged into the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>19</sup> The Amrtakanikodyotanibandha of Vibhūticandra (1994, p. 128): jñānakāyā jñānabindavah/samvrtijñānam māyopamabhāvabodhah/paramārthajñānam sarvadharamānupalambhah.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> The Sekoddeśaṭīkā of Nāropa (2006, p. 194): akārasambhavah samyaksambuddhah prajño-pāyātmako vajrasattvo napuṃsakapadam sahajakāya ityucyate jñānajñeyātmako hetuphalayor abhedatvāt/ sa ca kālacakrabhagavān paramāksarah sukhapadam.

The Sekoddeśaṭippaṇī of Sādhuputra Śrīdharānanda (1997, v. 151, p. 28): bimbam na bhāvam āyāti nāpi nirvānam aksaram/

anyonyālingitam śāntam napumsakapadam param//

apprehended mind ( $gr\bar{a}hya$ -citta), or bliss. In this regard, here too, the Gnostic Body, consisting of the unified sublime bliss and gnosis, is understood as a body in the sense that it is a realm pervaded by bliss and cognitive experience. It is said that this immaterial, luminous gnosis can be still considered a "body" ( $k\bar{a}ya$ ) owing to the pervasiveness of its bliss (sukha-caryatva), which radiates limitless emanations of bliss throughout the entire cosmos. It is on account of its blissful nature that the Gnostic Body is also called the "Body of Sublime Bliss" ( $mah\bar{a}sukha$ - $k\bar{a}ya$ ).

The Body of Sublime Bliss is experienced in three different ways in accordance with different levels of the spiritual conditions of beings. In the case of ordinary people (*pṛthag-jana*), who engage in sexual bliss with emission, it is experienced as an impure (*samala*) body. Ācāryas experience it as their stainless (*nirmala*) bodies, and in the case of Buddhas, it is experienced as a completely stainless (*vimala*) body of the undifferentiated bliss and emptiness. <sup>21</sup> Thus, although it is ultimately pure and incorporeal, it does not escape the possibility of being experienced as impure and corporeal. Considered as the all-pervasive sublime bliss and the realm of absolute space (*dharma-dhātu*), it is interpreted as a cause of the origination of all other Buddha-bodies and is accordingly termed "the progenitor (*prajāpati*) of the Buddhas<sup>22</sup>" and the "Great Body (*mahā-kāya*) of all the Buddhas." Thus, it is the ultimate source of both *saṃsāra* and *nirvāṇa*: with regard to the former, it is a realm of experiences within the physical body; and in terms of the latter, it is a realm of space-embodied bliss. Thus in each instance, it is considered a body (*kāya*) on account of being a domain of experience.

The Gnostic Body, known also as Innate Body ( $sahaja-k\bar{a}ya$ ), is identified as a gnosis-vajra characterized by compassion. Therefore, it is also referred to as a pure yoga ( $vi\acute{s}uddha-yoga$ ), or as a vajra-yoga, consisting of wisdom and method. Owing to the destruction of the fourth ( $tury\bar{a}$ ) state of the mind, it is purified by means of liberation through emptiness ( $\acute{s}\bar{u}nyat\bar{a}-vimoksa$ ), or by a gnosis that apprehends both emptiness as an absence of inherent existence ( $nihsvabh\bar{a}va$ ) and the emptiness of the past and the future.<sup>23</sup>

Although this innate, Gnostic Body is a single unitary reality, it is said to manifest in four different ways. In accomplishing the goals of others, it becomes the

Cf. this citation with the *Vimalaprabhā* commentary on the *Kālacakratantra* (1986, Chap. 1, v. 1, p. 45.) śuddhajñānavijñānātmako acyuto binduh . . . jñānavajrayogo vajrasattvah.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> The Amṛtakaṇikodyotanibandha of Vibhūticandra, 1994, p. 195: anangakāyo bodhicittavajraḥ sukhacaryatvāt kāyaḥ/...nirmāṇakāyādīnām koṭiparyantamahāsukhakāyam visphārayati/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> The Amṛtakaṇikodyotanibandha of Vibhūticandra (1994, pp. 184–185): sarveṣāṃ khalu bhāvānāṃ viśuddhis tathatā smṛtā ityukte/ śuddhaḥ śūnyah/ ... trividhā tathatā—samalā pṛthag-janānām/ nirmalā ācāryāṇām/ vimalā sambuddhānāṃ phalāvasthā bhūtakoṭiḥ/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> The Amṛtakanikodyotanibandha of Vibhūticandra (1994, p. 165): jñānaprabodhāt turyātītam yatprabhāsvaram tadudbhūtatvāt tanmayam jñānasya vidyam tannirmānatvena prajānām jananāt patiḥ prajāpatiḥ.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> The Sekoddeśaṭīkā of Nāropā (2006, pp. 69–70): tatra svabhāvābhāvatah śūnyam/ tasya śūnyasya bhāvah śūnyatā/ ihātītānāgatam jñeyam śūnyam/ tasya darśanam bhāvah śūnyatā gambhīrodārā/ atītānāgatābhāvād gambhīrā/ atītānāgatādarśanād udārā/ tayopalakṣitam tadgrāhakam vā jñānam śūnyatāvimokṣah/ tena viśuddham turyāvasthākṣayād akṣaram mahāsukham/ kam sukham tadruṇaddhīti karuṇālakṣaṇam jñānavajram/ sa eva sahajakāyaḥ prajñopāyātmako viśuddho yoga ityucyate/ ... taduktam vimalaprabhāyām prathamaślokavyākhyāne – sa eva sahajakāyaḥ śūnyatā vimokṣaviśuddho jnānavajrah sarvajñaḥ prajñopāyātmako viśuddhayoga iti.

dharmakāya, which is neither one nor many.  $^{24}$  This dharmakāya consists of wisdom ( $praj\tilde{n}\bar{a}$ ) that apprehends the minds of others; and it consists of method ( $up\bar{a}ya$ ), which is the apprehended mind characterized by compassion. It is the integrated body ( $yuganaddha-k\bar{a}ya$ ), in which ultimate and conventional realities are unified.  $^{25}$  This dharmakāya is the translucent  $sambhogak\bar{a}ya$ , which is a reflected image (pratibimba) comprised of wisdom that knows the past and future of beings, and of the method that teaches them by means of unarticulated sounds that issue from it. Because it is devoid of the  $pr\bar{a}nic$  winds associated with a physical body, its sounds are like an echo, devoid of verbal expressions, and are present everywhere. To violent beings, the  $sambhogak\bar{a}ya$  appears as the dark Heruka or as the ferocious Vajrabhairava in order to tame them. It appears as Vairocana in order to train the deluded, as Ratnasaṃbhava to show generosity to the suffering, as Amitābha to train impassioned beings, and as Amoghasiddhi to destroy the demons of obstacles (vighna).  $^{26}$ 

In order to mature sentient beings, this  $sambhogak\bar{a}ya$  becomes a  $nirm\bar{a}nak\bar{a}ya$ . It shows itself in the three worlds through the illusion  $(m\bar{a}y\bar{a})$  of its limitless emanations. The illusory body of its emanation, which enters the minds of humans,

samastabuddhadharmasvabhāvatayā ca tad eva satyadvayādvaidhībhāvasvabhāvaṃ yuganaddhākhyām ucyate/ tasmād yugganaddhakāya eva dharmakāyah sāṃbhogikasvābhāvikakāyābhyām prthagbhūto yogipratyaksavedyah/

rūparāśir ananto me nirmāṇakāya uttamah/ rutarāśir ananto me sambhogakāya uttamah// dharmarāśir ananto me dharmakāya uttamah/ sukharāśir ananto me sukhakayo 'kṣarah parah//

evañ ca şoḍaśīkalābodhaḥ paracittajñānapratiśabdasadṛśaśabdādhigamāśeṣarūpasandarśanajñānalakṣaṇaṃ

catuḥkāyasvarūpam āveditam/ uktañ ca śrīkālacakre

na prajñā nāpy upāyah sahajatanur iyam dharmakāyo babhūva prajñopāyasvabhāvah khalu vigatatamo jñānavijñānabhedāt/ so 'yam sambhogakāyah pratiravaka ivānekasattvārthakarttā

sattvānām pākahetor bhavati punar asau buddhanirmāṇakāyaḥ//

The  $Vimalaprabh\bar{a}$  commentary on the  $K\bar{a}lacakratantra$  (1994, Chap. 5, v. 89, p. 45), where the following verse is cited from the  $\bar{A}dibuddhatantra$ :

uddhrtam mañjuvajrena ādibuddhān niranvayāt/

lakṣaṇaṃ buddhakāyānāṃ caturṇāṃ tadvitanyate//

The Sekoddeśaṭīkā of Nāropā (2006, pp. 70–71): sa eva dharmakāyo 'nimittavimokṣaviśud-dham cittavajram jñānakāyah prajñopāyātmako dharmātmā yoga ityuktah/ sa eva samb-hogakāyo 'pranihitavimokṣaviśuddham vāgvajram dinakaravapuh prajñopāyātmako mantrayoga ityuktah/ sa eva nirmāṇakāyo

'nabhisaṃskāravimokṣaviśuddhaṃ kāyavajraṃ padmaptrāyatākṣaḥ prajñopāyātmakaḥ samsthānayoga ityukta iti.

eko 'sau vajrasattvah pralayanibho heruko vai babhūva/ raudrāṇāṃ pācanārthaṃ sa ca samayajino mohitānāṃ sukhārthaṃ/ ratneśo duhkhitānāṃ sa ca kamaladharo rāgināṃ rāgahetor/ vighnānām dhvamsanārtham tv asikarakamalo 'moghasiddhir babhūval/



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>24</sup> The Amrtakanikodyotanibandha of Vibhūticandra (1994, pp. 94–95):

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>25</sup> The Sekoddeśaţīkā of Nāropā (2006, p. 198): śūnyatākaruṇayor anayoḥ saṃvṛtipa-ramārthasatyasvabhāvayoḥ saṃyogo mīlanam vajrayogaḥ/ sa cādvayo yuganaddhākhyo 'kṣaraś cety etad eva tattvam/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>26</sup> The Kālacakratantra and the Vimalaprabhā (1994, Chap. 5, v. 90, p. 46):

gods, and Buddhas, is a non-arisen phenomenon, devoid of origination and cessation. Although its emanations are inseparable from various phenomena, they are not physical bodies  $(r\bar{u}pak\bar{a}ya)$ . Just as the body of a person who appears in a dream is a projection of the dreamer's mind, so the  $nirm\bar{a}nak\bar{a}yas$  are mere projections of the habitual propensities of the minds  $(citta-v\bar{a}san\bar{a})$  of ordinary beings. Although incorporeal, each of these bodies is androgynous in that each can project an anthropomorphic form through which it appears as simultaneously male and female to beings on different planes of existence. Thus, all perceptible forms of the Gnostic Body exist only in relation to other sentient beings and not in and of themselves.<sup>27</sup>

# The Gnostic Body as a Set of Esoteric Teachings and Practices

The immateriality of all the manifestations of the Buddha-bodies is strongly emphasized throughout the Kālacakra literature, for if the Buddhas were physical bodies, they would meet their end when their material forms are vanished. The misconception of the *nirmānakāyas* as physical forms is said to result from the incomprehension of the profound Buddha-dharma. This misconception is considered detrimental to one's spiritual progress, for it leads one to "deviant" practices. Those who misconceive the Buddha's emanations in this way are said to become overcome by delusion and hope that their putrid bodies will become Buddha's bodies in this life. They go for instruction to inauthentic teachers, ingest the five ambrosias (amrta) in the hope of making their bodies ageless and immortal, and in hope of becoming the Varjasattva himself. They believe that one should actually kill beings by means of a samādhi focused on a wrathful deity; and they lie, steal, and take other men's wives. Others, taking the words of evil tantric masters (ācārvas) as their authority, believe that one should follow the path of ten non-virtues by means of deity-yoga. They ingest substances that are not ritually purified and transformed into ambrosia and thereby ineffective in bringing about the qualities of Buddhahood.<sup>28</sup>

iha vairocanādīni pañcāmṛtāni gokudahanādipalāni bhakṣyāṇi svabhāvaśuddhāni tathāgatenoktāni/ ebhir bhakṣitaiḥ śarīram ajarāmaraṃ bhaviṣyati vajrasattvo 'pi varado bhaviṣyatīti/
anyatra vajrakule krodharājasamādhinā prāṇino ghātyāḥ/ khadgakule 'moghasiddhisamādhinā
'satyaṃ vaktavyaṃ/ ratnakule vairocanasamādhinā parasvaṃ hāryaṃ/ padmakule 'mitābhasamādhinā parastrī grāhyā/ cakrakule vairocanasamādhinā pañcāmṛṭapalāni bhakṣāṇīyānīti/ apare
'pi daśākuśaladharmapathā devatāyogena yoginā kartavyā iti/ evaṃ duṣṭācāryavacanaṃ pramāṇīkṛtya daśākuśalān karmapathān kurvanti aśodhitāny abodhitāny apradīpitāny anamṛtīkṛtāni
bhakṣyanti/ tāni ca bhakṣitāni pañcāmṛṭāni na teṣāṃ bhakṣakānāṃ buddhatvaguṇadāyakāni bhavantīti tathāgatavacanāprabhodhatvād iti.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> The *Sekoddeśatīkā* of Nāropā (2006, v. 151 and the commentary, pp. 198–199):

bimbam na bhavam āyāti nāpi nirvāṇam akṣaram/ anyonyālingitam śāntam napuṃsakapadam param//

anyonyālingitam śāntam avikārīndriyavikārarahitatvāt/ idam evobhayātmakam napuṃsa-kapadam kevalaprajñopāyapaksayor abhāvat.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> The Vimalaprabhātīkā commentary on the Kālacakratantra (1994, Chap. 5, pp. 71–72): ato bhgavato vacanād rūpakāyo bhagavān na bhavati sarvabuddhānām samājitatvāt/ yadi rūpakāyā buddhāh tadā paramānurūpenāpi mīlanam na syād iti/ vākyam śrutvā tathāpi sattvā bhagavatoktam gambhīrodāradharmam parīkṣayitvā na grhnanti buddhatvāya gurum ca parīkṣayitvā nārādhayanti mahāmūrkhā lobhābhibhūtā santa ihaiva janamny asmākam pūtiśarīram buddhaśarīram bhavisyatīty āśālubdhā akalyāṇamitrasamsargād asadgurūpadeśād

When the secret Vajrayāna is properly understood, one knows the Vajrayāna to be itself the unified state of the body, speech, and mind,  $^{29}$  which results from bliss and gives rise to the gnosis of bliss. Vajrayāna itself is said to be the Gnostic Body for a number of reasons. The gnosis of sublime bliss is such that it expands (tanyate) as a great tantra ( $mah\bar{a}tantra$ ), as an extended discourse (prabandha); and the sounds and meanings of its mantras are nothing other than the sublime bliss of the Gnostic Body, which protects the mind of the  $yog\bar{t}$ . Thus, here, the Gnostic Body is considered to be a body in the sense of being a set of esoteric teachings and practices that have issued from it, point to it, and lead one to its realization.

# The Gnostic Body as a Habitus of All Divine Forms

Since there is nothing separate from the Gnostic Body, one is told that even various *nirguṇa* and *saguṇa* divine forms, which are sought after and worshipped by the proponents of the Brāhmaṇic tradition, are contained in it. The Gnostic Body is a knower of *brahman* (*brahma-vid*), as its blissful gnosis is declared to be *brahman* on the basis of the statement that the nature of *brahman* is bliss (*ānando brahmaṇo rūpam*).<sup>32</sup> In that regard, the Gnostic Body is characterized as liberation (*mokṣa*), the fourth pursuit of men. It is also said to be the Brahmā of the Brāhmaṇas, for it is the body of sublime passion and the nature of Brahmā's four faces, characterized by the four Divine Abidings (*brahmavihāras*). It is the very nature of Viṣṇu, Rāhu, Indra, Tryambaka, and other deities, <sup>33</sup> although unrecognized as such by the Brāhmaṇic sages who consider the older Vedic Dharma to be

brahmavid brāhmaņo brahmā brahmanirvāṇam āptavān muktir mokṣo vimokṣāngo vimuktih śāntitā śivaḥ

prakṛtiprabhāsvaraśūnyatākaruṇābhinnajñānam brahma tattādātmyena vetty anubhavatīti brahmavit/ ... ākāśāsaktacittatayā pratyāhārādiṣaḍangasamkṣepacaturangabrahmavihāracaturdhyānacaturmukhasvabhāvatvād brahmā/ brāhmano nirvāṇam ānandah/ ānandam brahmano rūpam iti vacanāt/ tadāptavān/ śūnyatāvinirbhāgavarttitvān muktih/ ... samyagjñānāgnibhasmīkṛtasattvarajastamaskandhatvena vimokṣa evānga surūpam yasya sa tathā.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>33</sup> The Amrtakanikodyotanibandha of Vibhūticandra (1994, p. 199): devānām apy atiśayena divyatīti/ viṣanād viṣnūcyate ity ukter upendra ity arthah/... jagadasya prādeśikaskandhamārādeh prathamo vināyakah/ kāyāder anāṣravatā/ muktis tadviśuddhyā tryambko maheśvarah/



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> The Amṛtakaṇikā of Raviśrījñāna (1994, p. 4): guhyaṃ śrāvakapratyekabuddhayānayor uttaraṃ vajrayānaṃ kāyavākcittajñānaikalolībhūto vā tatra mahāsukharūpatayā rājata iti guhyarāṭ.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> The *Amrtakanikā* of Raviśrījñāna (1994, p. 8):

māyājāle māyājālābhisambodhilakṣaṇe tanyate vyutpādyata iti tantram/... mahātantraṃ mahāsukhajñānaṃ ity arthaḥ/ uktañ ca tantraṃ prabandham ākhyātaṃ saṃsāraṃ tantraṃ iṣyate/ tantraṃ guhyaṃ rahaṣyākhyam uttaram tantraṃ ucyate//

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>31</sup> The *Vimalaprabhā* commentary on the *Kālacakratantra* (1994, Chap. 3, v. 1, p. 2): *mantram iti jñānam/ manastrāṇabhāvatvāt/* Cf. the *Amṛtakaṇikā* of Raviśrījñāna (1994, p. 28): *mahāmantraṃ mahāsukhajñānaṃ tenottamo niravadyaḥ tadrūpa ity arthah/* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>32</sup> The *Mañjuśrīnāmasangīti* and the *Amṛtakaṇikā* of Raviśrījñāna (1994, v. 19, p. 67):

natural and innate (sahaja) and the later Buddha-Dharma as artificial (krtaka). <sup>34</sup> In this way, the  $K\bar{a}lacakratantra$ 's conception of the Gnostic Body as a single, indivisible, and omnipresent ultimate reality has allowed for the appropriation and reinterpretation of the Brāhmaṇic conceptions of absolute reality and its divine manifestations. Thus, as the ultimate body of all Buddhist and Brāmaṇic deities, the Gnostic Body is understood as a habitat of all divine forms.

## The Gnostic Body as a Social Habitus of Gnosis

The phenomenal aspect of the Gnostic Body—whether cosmic, social, or individual—is an appearance of spiritual ignorance whereby one perceives a material form where there is none and identifies it as "I" or "mine." A phenomenal body is not a thing in and of itself, but rather a series of experiential events taking place in con-

pañcaskandhasvabhāvair krpayā vajrayosidbhageṣu/ sattvānām pācanārtham tv avihitaniyamānām apunyārjitānām/ śuddhāvāsādike yadviharati bhagavān śrāvakānām nimittam/ evamkāre sthitir yā paramaniyaminām uttare sthāpanārtham//

iha yat klesādyāvaraṇarahitāṇāṃ garbhāvakramaṇaṃ pañcaskhandagrahaṇaṃ vajrayoṣidbhageṣu strīgarbhe sambhavāya viharaṇaṃ tat kṛpayā avihitaniyamānāṃ prākṛtajanānāṃ apuṇyārjitānāṃ pacanāya/ tathā —

vajrakāyaśarirāṇāṃ buddhānāṃ yadanityatā/ kadalīgarbhatulyesu kā cintā 'nyesu jantusu//

ityādinā 'nityavādinām pācanāya/ punaḥ śuddhāvāsādike yadutpādaḥ sa śrāvakāṇām devatvam gatānām ahamkāravināśāya/ idam devatvam cyavanakāle mahad duḥkham iti deśanayā teṣām pācanam/ evamkāre sthitir yā śūnyatāyām sā paramaniyaminām subhūtyādīnām maitreyaprabhṛtīnām uttare samyaksambuddhatve sthāpanāya caturthakāyadeśanāyeti/ Cf. the Mañjuśrīnāmasamgīti and the Amṛtakaṇikā of Raviśrījñāna (1994, p. 55, v. 3): arūpo rūpavān agryo nānārūpo manomayah/ sarvarūpāvabhāsaśrīr aśeṣapratibimbadhṛk//

ākāśaniṣṭhatayā sarvacittacaitāsikāvidyāpratibhāsanirodhān na vidyate prakṛtisvarūpātiriktam rūpam yasya sa tathā/ dharmakāyarūpakāyaikalolībhhāvād anāvilarūpatvād rūpavān/ . . . dvāsaptatinādīsahasreṣu prakṛtirūpeṇa sukhadharmadhāturūpanispandarūpatvān nānārūpah/ ekakṣaṇābhisambodhirūpatvān manomayah/. . . viśvabimbadarśanena kṛṣṇarekhāyām anantāntajñānakāyābhinnasambhogakāyapratibhāsanād aśeṣapratibimbadhṛk.



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>34</sup> See the *Vimalaprabhā* commentary on the *Kālacakratantra* (Chap. 5, 1994, p. 95): *atha brahmarṣīṇāṃ duṣṭavacanam iha prāgvedadharmaḥ sahajaḥ paścāt sarvajñadeśito dharmaḥ kṛtakaḥ / tasmād vedadharmo jyesta iti.* 

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>35</sup> The Kālacarkatantra and the Vimalaprabhā (1994, Chap. 5, v. 92, p. 48):

secutive moments. Until the mental obscurations are removed and the material nature of the mind-body complex sublimated, the phenomenal manifestations of the Gnostic Body are experienced as the source of bondage and suffering on account of grasping onto them as real.

Just as a human being is a phenomenal aspect of the unitary Gnostic Body, which has the capacity for transformation, so is human society. Social hierarchies based on social class and blood lineages are rooted in the ignorance of social ego, with its attachments to class distinctions, race, and social status. When a social hierarchical order is deconstructed through the uprooting of the social ignorance, and when a new integrated society is constituted, the Gnostic Body is instantiated in the from of a social body united by gnosis. In this regard, the Gnostic Body is a body in the sense of a social *habitus* of gnosis.

Even though the Kālacakra tradition's discourse on the Gnostic Body points to liberation as freedom from a corporeal body and to spiritual progress as a process of disembodiment, it does not propound a duality between the corporeal body and the immaterial Gnostic body. The corporeal body is able to function as a soteriological instrument through which liberation is achieved only because its ultimate nature does not rest in the transitory psychophysical aggregates and incidental mental obscurations but in the all-encompassing gnosis of bliss. Thus, as we have already seen, the Gnostic Body is at the same time immaterial and corporeal.

### Conclusion

In concluding reflections, I would like to point to the broader theoretical implications of this analysis of constructions of the Gnostic Body in this tantric tradition. Its various interpretative characterizations of the Gnostic Body show that not only the material form that provides the basis for physical experiences can be considered a body but also the domain of mental experiences. According to the Kālacakra literature, empty space can be taken as a grand body. An event, in which knowledge and the object of knowledge are non-differentiated can also be a body, and so too can pure bliss be a body. As indicated earlier in this paper, this model of embodiment challenges the prevailing views of what makes up a body by introducing new categories of embodiment and expanding the existing definitions of the body.

One can also say that while the Kālacakra tradition takes up the body as a useful category for structuring its practice and constructing its complex theory, it ends deconstructing the entire category by positing the Gnostic Body as inconceivable and inexpressible ultimate reality that transcends any categorizations. The tradition seems to suggest that being an experiential reality, the Gnostic Body cannot be reduced to any definition but can be only experienced. Since the Gnostic Body can mean a wide variety of different things, it gives way to different conceptualizations,

evam na śakyate vaktum samādhirathitaih sukham/ samādhāv aksaram prāpya svato vetti mahāsukham//



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>36</sup> The *Sekoddeśatippaṇī* of Sādhuputra Śrīdharānanda (1997, v. 137, p. 26):

none of which is able to encompass it in its entirety.<sup>37</sup> Likewise, when grasped in cognitive terms, it is difficult to definitely determine what the Gnostic Body actually is, for it can be anything and everything, and ultimately it is neither a thing nor an absence of the thing, only an experience. In this way, the Kālacakra tradition's exposition of the Gnostic Body confronts us with a paradoxical need to apprehend in cognitive terms that which by nature evades any cognition, and yet, it itself is self-cognizant.<sup>38</sup>

#### References

Āryamañjuśrīnāmasamgīti with Amrtaknikā-Tippanī by Bhikṣu Raviśrījñāna and Amrtaknikodyota-Nibandah of Vibhūticandra. (1994). Edited by B. Lal. Bibliotheca Indo-Tibetica (Vol. 30). Sarnath, Varanasi: Central Institute of Higher Tibetan Studies.

Gnoli, R. 1997. La Sekoddeśaţippani di Sādhuputra Śrīdharānanda. Rivista degli Studi Orientali, LXX, fasc. 1–2. 115–142.

The Ṣaḍangayoga by Anupamarakṣita with Raviśrījñāna's Guṇabharanīnāma ṣaḍangayoga-ṭippanī. (2000). Edited by F. Sferra. Serie Orientale Roma (Vol. LXXXV). Rome: Istituto Italiao per l'Africa e l'Oriente.

The Sekoddeśaṭīkā by Nāropā (Paramārthasaṃgraha). (2006). Sanskrit text edited by F. Sferra and Tibetan by S. Merzagora. Serie Orientale Roma, VXCIX. Rome: Istituto Italiano per l'Africa e l'Oriente.

 $^{\rm 37}$  The  $K\bar{a}lacakratantra$  (1994, Chap. 5, v. 249, pp. 151–152):

eko naiko 'pi caikaḥ samaviṣamasamaḥ savyavāmāgrapṛṣṭha ūrdvādho vai samantāt sitaharitamahāviśvavarṇaikarūpaḥ/ hrasvo dīrghaḥ plutaś cāguṇa iti saguṇaḥ strī naraś cānarastrī yah sarvādhāra ekah subhagavarabhagas te namaste namaste//

The  $Vimalaprabh\bar{a}$  commentary on the  $K\bar{a}lacakratantra$  (1994, Chap. 5, v. 89, p. 45), where the following verse from the  $\bar{A}dibuddhatantra$  is cited:

na sannāsan na sadasan na cāpyanubhayātmakam/

catuskotivinirmuktam natvā kāyam mahāsukham//

See also the following verses from the  $\bar{A}dibuddhatantra$  cited in the  $Vimalaprabh\bar{a}$  commentary on the  $K\bar{a}lacakratantra$  (1986, Chap. 1, v. 1, p. 44):

astināstivyatikrānto bhāvābhāvaksayo 'dvayah/

śūnyatākaruṇābhinno vajrayogo mahāsukhaḥ//

paramānudharmātītah śūnyadharmavivarjitah/

śāśvatocchedanirmukto vajrayogo niranvayah//

The Sekoddeśaṭippaṇī of Sādhuputra Śrīdharānanda (1997, vs. 148–150, p. 28):

nirvānarahitam bimbam samsārātītam aksaram/

śāśvatocchedanirmuktas tayor yogo 'dvayo 'parah//

abhāvo nāsti bimbasya abhāvodbhūtalakṣaṇāt/ bhāvo nāsty akṣarasyāpi bhāvasambhūtalakṣaṇāt//

bhāvābhāvasamāyogo vajrayogo 'dvayo 'paraḥ/ rūpārūpavinirmuktaḥ pratiseneva darpaṇe//

The Kālacaktratantra (1994, Chap. 5, v. 98, p. 49): buddhānām apy agamyā tv apramitagunā buddhanirmānamāyā ātmanam darsayantī tribhuvananilaye sakrajālam yathāiva/ nānābhāvair vibhinnā sajinasurannām svasvacitte pravistā esānutpannadharmā payasi nabha iva bhrāntidotpattir atra//



Vimalaprabhātīkā of Kalkin Śrīpuṇḍarīka on Śrīlaghukālacakratantrarāja by Śrīmañjuśrīyaśas. (1986). Edited by J. Upadhyaya. Bibliotheca Indo-Tibetica Series No. 11 (Vol. 1). Sarnath, Varanasi: Central Institute of Higher Tibetan Studies.

- Vimalaprabhāṭīkā of Kalkin Śrīpuṇḍarīka on Śrīlaghukālacakratantrarāja by Śrīmañjuśrīyaśas. (1994a) Vol. 2. Edited by V. Dwivedi and S. S. Bahulkar. Rare Buddhist Text Series (Vol. 12). Sarnath, Varanasi: Central Institute of Higher Tibetan Studies.
- Vimalaprabhātīkā of Kalkin Śrīpuṇḍarīka on Śrīlaghukālacakratantrarāja by Śrīmañjuśrīyaśas. (1994b). Vol. 3. Edited by V. Dwivedi and S. S. Bahulkar. Rare Buddhist Text Series (Vol. 13). Sarnath, Varanasi: Central Institute of Higher Tibetan Studies.

