

Metascience, 1 year later

K. Brad Wray¹ · Luciano Boschiero²

Published online: 23 February 2016
© Springer Science+Business Media Dordrecht 2016

2015 has been an exciting year for *Metascience*. The three issues of 2015 include 94 pieces, including symposia, essay reviews, books reviews, and book notes, written by 102 contributors. We would like to take this opportunity to thank the many reviewers for their contributions that both sustain and constitute the journal. And both of us have enjoyed the opportunity to read, in the process of editing, so many engaging reviews about so many thoughtful new books. We hope our readers find the reviews helpful in drawing their attention to the many new books in our disciplines: history of science, philosophy of science, and science studies. It has become a valuable source for our own recommendations to the libraries at our home institutions.

It is rewarding to see that our work is not done in vain. Lucy Fleet was able to provide us with some statistics on the use of the content published in *Metascience* in 2015. In total over 25,000 downloads were made comprising both old and new contributions. Leading the pack this year was Ian James Kidd's review of a classic, Feyerabend's *Against Method*. Kidd provides a reflection on the impact of *Against Method*, four decades after its publication. His thoughtful piece was downloaded over 800 times in 2015. We are equally delighted to see that older content is still being downloaded at a high rate, reflecting the scholarly value of the book reviews published in *Metascience*. Though they are seldom cited at the same rate as articles, they are consulted by scholars, signaling the fact that they are useful resources for researchers. Our own reading choices have been shaped by the reviews we have read.

✉ K. Brad Wray
brad.wray@oswego.edu

Luciano Boschiero
l.boschiero@campion.edu.au

¹ State University of New York, Oswego, NY, USA

² Champion College, Sydney, Australia

Over the past year there were a number of changes in personnel, and we would like to take this time to thank our team in the Netherlands, Lucy Fleet, Steve O'Reilly, and Catherine Murphy, for helping us in bringing the journal to production. As well, we acknowledge the help we get from the production team in India who are responsible for, among other things, ensuring that authors get their proofs.

Both of us would also like to take this opportunity to again thank our own university administrators and colleagues for aiding us in working on the journal. David Lambie, our assistant editor, based in Oswego, New York, plays a crucial role in ensuring that our massive spreadsheets are kept up-to-date, as we try to coordinate the many new invitations to reviewers, and edit and prepare the reviews for production as they come into our offices.

Finally, we would like to thank our predecessors, Stathis Psillos, Theodore Arabatz, and Vassilis Sakellariou, for ensuring that we were equipped to take on the editorship. We have had some challenges to deal with, but the transfer has been as seamless as one could expect. And though we work half a world away from each other, we have found the work with each other on the journal very enjoyable.

We hope that 2016 is as productive and enjoyable as 2015. And we already have some exciting content scheduled for 2016.